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#### Abstract

Researchers and students sometimes need to deal with large volumes of data, causing them to have difficulty in the analysis and interpretation of these data. In the statistical analysis of high dimensional data, it is required to reduce the dimension of data set without losing any important information. One way of achieving this goal is the use the principal component analysis (PCA). The PCA objectives are to extract an important part of information from the data set, reducing the size of data with no damage to data and information. This is achieved by finding a new set of independent (uncorrelated) variables called principal components which are obtained as a linear combination of the original variables. The calculation of PCs means the computation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors for a positive-semidefinite symmetric matrix. The first PC has the largest proportion of variance of the data, and the second component has the second largest proportion of variance and is orthogonal to the first principal component. Remaining PCs represents the remainin variance in descending order, and each PC is orthogonal to its prdecesor. After computing the PCs, the first several PCs that represents the large part of variation are selected for use in further analysis. Finally, discussion of correlation between the PCs and original variables and determine which variable has more influence on each PC.
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## ÖZ

Araştırmacılar ve öğrenciler çalışmalarında büyük veri kitleleri ile çalışmak durumunda kalabilirler. Bu durum verilerin analizinde ve yorumunda güçlükler yaratabilir. Büyük boyutlu verilerin istatistiksel analizinde verideki önemli bilgileri kaybetmeden veri boyutu indirgemesi yapılması gereksinimi vardır. Bu amaca ulaşmanın yollarından bir taneside temel bileşenler analizi (TBA) dir. TBA'nın amacı verideki önemli bilgi içeriğini çıkarmak, veri boyutunu indirgerken veriye ve içerdiği bilgiye hasar vermemektir. Bu hedefe ulaşırken temel bileşenler (TB) denen, mevcut değişkenlerin lineer bir kominasyonu olan, birbirinden bağımsız yeni değişkenler tanımlanır. TB’lerin hesabında prensip olarak pozitif-yarıkesin simetrik bir matrisin özdeğer ve özvektörlerinin hesabı gerekir. Birinci TB verideki salınımın (varyasyonun) en büyük kısmını, ikinci TB birinciye orthogonal olub verideki salınımın ikinci en büyük kısmını temsil eder. Benzer şekilde geriye kalan TB’lerde azalan oranda salınımı temsil eder ve her biri kendinden önce gelene ortogonaldir. TB'lerin saptanmasından sonra, verideki salınımın büyük kısmını temsil eden ilk birkaç TB, daha ileri analiz ve yorumda kullanılmak üzere seçilir. TB’ler ile verideki değişkenler arasındaki ilişki ve hangi değişkenlerin TB üzerinde daha büyük etkisi olduğu incelenir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Temel bileşenler analizi (TBA), ortogonal matris, özdeğer, özvektör, tekil değer ayrışımı (TDA), kovaryans, korelasyon.
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## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

At the beginning of a statistical study, the researchers often collect a set of data. When the data set and the variables involved are large, processing, analysis and interpretation becomes very demanding. Hence, the principal component analysis PCA method studied in this thesis provides an alternative by finding a set of linear combinations of the variables representing the data.

Initial foundations for PCA was defined by Karl Pearson (1901) [1], and it is now used in many scientific fields. PCA ingredients used to find the most influential variables of data (a combination form) and that illustrate a greater part of the variance in the data.

PCA is a technique used in statistical analysis to transform a large number of correlated variables to a smaller number of uncorrelated (orthogonal) components which is called principal components, while maintaining the important information of the original data, and this makes the data easier to understanding and representation.

In the third chapter some mathematical concepts which are important to understanding the PCA technique are introduced. Fourth chapter begins discussing the reduction of the dimensions geometrically, followed by the Mathematics of PCA and its properties are discussed. Third part of the chapter discusses the interpretation
of PCA and the correlation between PCs and the original variables and the methods of how to choosing the number of PCs that provides the best explanation of population data. In the final part of chapter 4, a data set is used to highlight the theoretical concepts of PCA in application, as well as interpretation of the results.

## Chapter 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Jolliffe (2002) [2], the first description of the PCA was given by Karl Pearson in (1901). In his article "On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space," [1], he also discussed the geometrical representation of the data and the best lines representing data. He concluded that "The best-fitting straight line to a system of points coincides in direction with the maximum axis of the correlation ellipsoid". Also he pointed to the possibility of the using of analysis of several variables

Jolliffe (2002), Hotelling (1933; 1936) and Girshick (1939) provided significant contributions to the development of PCA.

Hotelling (1933) started with the ideas of factor analysis, enabling the determination of a smaller set of uncorrelated variables which represent the original variables. He also chose the component which maximizes the total variances of original variables [3]. In a further study, Hotelling gave the accelerated version of power method for finding PCs [4].

Girshick (1939) illuminated the asymptotic variances and covariance of the coefficients of PCs [5].

Anderson (1963) discussed the PCA from the theoretical point of view [6]. However, the use of PCA remained limited until the development of computers. Parallel to the rapid developments in the computer hardware and software in 1960s resulted in a significant contribution to PCA.

Rao (1964) found new ideas for the use, techniques and interpretation of PCA [7]. Gower (1966) disscused the relation between the PCA and other statistical techniques [8]. Jeffers (1967) disscused the practical side of PCA through a practical application in two case studies of PCA [9].

## Chapter 3

## SOME MATIMATICAL AND STATISTICAL CONCEPTS

In this chapter some basic mathematical and statistical concepts that will be required to understand the Principal Components Analysis (PCA) and related topics in subsequent chapters are introduced.

### 3.1 Matrix Algebra Concepts

### 3.1.1 Eigenvalue and Eigenvector

In many statistical applications matrix algebra is widely used. Hence, some basic ideas on matrix algebra are given below to facilitate the understanding of the statistical methods introduced in the following chapters. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be any square matrix of size $n \times n$. If there exist a non-zero vector $\mathbf{x}$ and scalar $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A x}=\lambda \mathbf{x} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the vector $\mathbf{x}$ is called eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda$ [10].

### 3.1.2 Orthogonal Matrix

An $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is called orthogonal if $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{I}_{n}$.

### 3.1.3 Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a $m \times n$ matrix of real-values of data and with rank $=r$. The SVD of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is the factorizing of $\mathbf{A}$ into the multiplication of three matrices.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{U D Q}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ is a $m \times m$ matrix with orthogonal columns. The columns of $\mathbf{U}$ are referred to the left singular vectors and ( $\mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{I}$ ), while $\mathbf{Q}$ is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix, the columns of $\mathbf{Q}$ (or rows of $\mathbf{Q}^{T}$ ) are referred to the right singular vectors $\left(\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}\right)$, and $\mathbf{D}$ is a $m \times n$ rectangular diagonal matrix defined as

$$
d(i, j)= \begin{cases}\delta_{i} & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, p$, the values $d(i, j)=\delta_{i}$ in the main diagonal of $\mathbf{D}$ is known as the singular values of $\mathbf{A}$ [11].

### 3.1.4 Quadratic Form

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be $n \times n$ matrix. Then, the function $f(x): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ definded by

$$
f(x)=\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}
$$

is called the quadratic form of $\mathbf{A}$.

### 3.2 Statistical Concepts

To understand the statistical concepts, suppose that a random sample is taken from population.

### 3.2.1 The Population Moment, Mean and Variance

Let $X$ be a random variable with p.d.f. $f(x)$. The $k^{\text {th }}$ moment about the origin of a r.v. $X$, denoted by $\mu_{k}$, is the expected value of $X^{k}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}=E\left(X^{k}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{k} f(x) d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $X$ is continuous and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}=E\left(X^{k}\right)=\sum_{x} x^{k} f(x) \quad k=0,1,2,3 \ldots \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $X$ is discret. The first moment when $(k=1) E(X)=\mu$ is called the population mean.

The $k^{\text {th }}$ moment about the mean is called the central $k^{\text {th }}$ moment of a random variable $X$, and is defined as the expected value of $(X-\mu)^{k}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(X-\mu)^{k}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(X-\mu)^{k} f(x) d x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $k=2$, we have the variance $\sigma_{X}^{2}$ and can also be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{X}^{2}=E(X-\mu)^{2}=E\left(X^{2}\right)-(E(X))^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The standard deviation $\sigma$ is the value that gives information on how the values of the random variable are deviating from the population mean, and is given by the square root of the variance.

### 3.2.2 The Sample Moment, Mean and Variances

Assume we have a sequence of random samples $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{p}$, the rth sample moment for any $n$ of random samples is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X}_{p}^{r}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} X_{i}^{r} \quad p=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first sample moment is called the average and is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each of random samples has numerical average value $\bar{x}_{n}$, which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{i}$ is the observation value of $X_{i}$.

### 3.2.2(a) The Properties of Sample Moment

a) The expected value of $\bar{X}_{n}^{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\bar{X}_{n}^{r}\right]=\frac{1}{n} E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{r}\right]=\frac{1}{n}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}^{r}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i, r} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the r.vs $X_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, n$ are identically distributed, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\bar{X}_{n}^{r}\right]=\mu_{r} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $r=1$ the expected value of $\bar{X}_{n}$ is the mean $(\mu)$.
b) The $\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}^{r}\right)$, where we have $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ samples

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}^{r}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{r}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{r}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When samples are independent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}^{r}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}^{r}\right) . \tag{3.1.}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the samples are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}^{r}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{r}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $r=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.3 The Sample Variance

The sample variance of $n$ random samples is denoted by $s^{2}$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}}{n-1}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}-n \bar{X}^{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expected value of sample variance is

$$
E\left(s^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} E X_{i}{ }^{2}-n E\left(\bar{X}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

Since $E\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)=\sigma^{2}+\mu^{2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(s^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[n\left(\sigma^{2}+\mu^{2}\right)-n\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}+\mu^{2}\right)\right]=\sigma^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $s^{2}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$. The purpose of division by $n-1$ in equation (3.16) is to ensure that $S^{2}$ is an unbiased estimator for the variance $\sigma^{2}$. Division by $n$ instead of $n-1$ will introduce a negative bias methodically producing too-small estimator for $\sigma^{2}$

### 3.2.3(a) Properties of Variance and Covariance

$\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{a}^{T} X\right)=\mathbf{a}^{T} \operatorname{Var}(X) \mathbf{a}=\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j} \sigma_{X_{i} X_{j}}$
$\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{A} X+b)=\mathbf{A} \operatorname{Var}(X) \mathbf{A}^{T}$
$\operatorname{Var}(X+Y)=\operatorname{Var}(X)+\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)+\operatorname{Cov}(Y, X)+\operatorname{Var}(Y)$
$\operatorname{Cov}(X+Y, Z)=\operatorname{Cov}(X, Z)+\operatorname{Cov}(Y, Z)$
$\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{A} X, \mathbf{B} Y)=\mathbf{A} \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) \mathbf{B}^{T}$

### 3.2.4 Covariance

The covariance is a measurement tool between two random variables and is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\sigma_{X_{i} X_{j}}=E\left(X_{i} X_{j}\right)-E\left(X_{i}\right) E\left(X_{j}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Statistically the sample covariance is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=s_{X_{i} X_{j}}=\frac{\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(X_{j}-\bar{X}\right)}{n-1} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariance between a random variable $X_{i}$ and itself is the variance $\sigma_{X_{i}}^{2}$ of the variable.

### 3.2.5 Covariance Matrix

If the r.v. X , is $p$-dimensional e.g., $X=\left(\begin{array}{llll}X_{1} & X_{2} & \ldots & X_{p}\end{array}\right)^{T}$, then theoretical covariance among all elements is given by the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{X_{1} X_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & \sigma_{X_{1} X_{p}} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\sigma_{X_{p} X_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\sigma_{X_{p} X_{p}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the covariance matrix of the sample is denoted by $\mathbf{S}$

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
s_{x_{1} x_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & s_{x_{1} x_{n}} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
s_{x_{n} x_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & s_{x_{n} x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{S}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. To show this, assume r.v.s
$X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ and $X^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{T}$ are given
$E(\mathbf{S})=\frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{i}^{\prime}-n \overline{x x^{\prime}}\right)$
$=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} E\left(x_{i} x_{i}^{\prime}\right)-n E\left(\overline{x x^{\prime}}\right)\right)$
$=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}+\mu \mu^{\prime}\right)-n\left(V(\bar{x})+E(\bar{x}) E\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)$
$=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(n \mathbf{\Sigma}+n \mu \mu^{\prime}-n \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}-n \mu \mu^{\prime}\right)$
$=\frac{1}{n-1}((n-1) \boldsymbol{\Sigma})=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

### 3.2.6 Correlation Coefficient

Correlation Coefficient $\rho$ is a measure of the linear relationship between two random variables. $(-1 \leq \rho \leq 1)$

If the correlation between two variables is positive, then an increase (decrease) in the value of one variable corresponds to an increase (decrease) in the value of the other. Similarly a negative correlation would mean an increase (decrease) in the value of one variable will correspond to an decrease (increase) in the value of the other. The case of independence when there is no relation between two variables the correlation is zero. The correlation coefficient denoted by $\rho$, and is computed by (2.22) [12].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{X Y}=\operatorname{corr}(X, Y)=\frac{\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(X) \operatorname{var}(Y)}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Statistically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{corr}(X, Y)=r_{X Y}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this formula, let $X$ and $Y$ be two random variables with bivariate normal distribution with joint probability density function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left[\left(\frac{x-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)^{2}-2 \rho\left(\left(\frac{x-\mu_{X}}{\sigma_{X}}\right)\left(\frac{y-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)\right)+\left(\frac{y-\mu_{Y}}{\sigma_{Y}}\right)^{2}\right]}}{2 \pi \sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $-\infty<x<\infty$ and $-\infty<y<\infty$ where $\sigma_{X}>0, \sigma_{Y}>0$ and $-1<\rho<1$. Consider a set of paired data $\left[\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right): i=1,2, \ldots, n\right]$ where $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ are values of r.v. from bivariate normal population with the parameters $\mu_{X}, \mu_{Y}, \sigma_{X}, \sigma_{Y}$ and $\rho$. The estimation of these parameters require the likelihood function given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Maximization of $L$ starts with differentiation of $\ln L$ with respected to $\mu_{X}, \mu_{Y}, \sigma_{X}, \sigma_{Y}$ and $\rho$. Equate the result to zero and then solve the system of equations for all parameters. Let us deal with $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu_{X}}$ and $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu_{Y}}$ equated to zero.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu_{X}}=-\frac{1}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left[-\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}+\frac{2 \rho \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}\right] \\
& \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu_{Y}}=-\frac{1}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left[-\frac{2 \rho \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}+\frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu_{X}}=-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}+\frac{\rho \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}=0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \mu_{Y}}=-\frac{\rho \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}=0
$$

By solving this equation system for $\mu_{X}$ and $\mu_{Y}$, the maximum likelihood estimates for these parameters are obtained as

$$
\mu_{X}=\bar{x} \quad \mu_{Y}=\bar{y}
$$

Subsequently, by equating $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma_{X}}, \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \sigma_{Y}}$ and $\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \rho}$ to zero, substituting $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{y}$ in place of $\mu_{X}$ and $\mu_{Y}$ and Solving the system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\sigma}_{X}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n}}, \hat{\sigma}_{Y}=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n}} \\
& \hat{\rho}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}}{n}}} \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

are obtained.

### 3.2.7 Correlation Matrix

Let $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{T}$ be $n$-dimensional random sample, the correlation between r.vs $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ is denoted by $r_{x_{i} x_{j}}$ and given by

$$
\operatorname{corr}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=r_{x_{i, j}}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{i k}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{j k}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{i k}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{j k}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{2}}}
$$

Obtained $r_{x_{i} x_{j}}$ values can be represented in $(n \times n)$ matrix form

$$
\mathbf{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
r_{x_{1} x_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & r_{x_{1} x_{n}} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
r_{x_{n} x_{1}} & \cdot & \cdot & r_{x_{n} x_{n}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

### 3.2.8 Relation Between the Correlation Matrix and Covariance Matrix

The correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ formula can be rewrite in algebra matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{X_{i} X_{j}}=\operatorname{corr}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(X_{i}\right) \operatorname{var}\left(X_{j}\right)}} \\
= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(X_{i}\right)}} \operatorname{cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(X_{j}\right)}} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a diagonal matrix such that the diagonal elements are the same as those of the covariance matrix $\mathbf{S}$ i.e. $\left(d_{i i}=s_{i i}\right)$. From (3.27) the relation between the correlation matrix and the covariance matrix is given by (3.28) [13].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{D}^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{S D}^{-1 / 2} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Chapter 4

## PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

Principal component analysis (PCA) is a technique used in statistics to facilitate the easy analysis of multivariate data. It works by extracting the important information from the data set and to expressing this information as a set of new orthogonal variables called principal components (PCs).

### 4.1 Geometry of Dimension Reduction

Assume that $\mathbf{X}(n \times p)$ is the data matrix composed of $p$ variables and $n$ observations. Each row $\mathbf{x}_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, x_{i 2}, \ldots, x_{i p}\right), i=1,2,3, \ldots, n$ is a vector in $p$-dimensional space Figure 4.1.


Figure 4-1: Cloud of $n$ points (variable) in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

Each column $\mathbf{x}_{j}=\left(x_{1 j}, x_{2 j}, \ldots, x_{n j}\right) j=1,2,3, \ldots, p$ is a vector in $n$-dimensional space Figur 4.2.


Figure 4-2: Cloud of $n$ points (observation) in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$

### 4.1.1 Fitting p-dimensional Point (observation) Cloud

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be represented by $n$-point (observation) cloud in p-dimensional space. The question is how to reduce the cloud into $r$-dimensional subspace such that $r<p$. The simplest case when $r=1$, the problem is how to project the $n$-point cloud into onedimensional subspace. Let L be the line of projection, it's direction is given by the unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$. For any vector of points $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$, let $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$ is the projection along the direction $\mathbf{u} . \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$ is the error vector (figure 4.3). The mean squared error (MSE) is given by [14].

$$
\operatorname{MSE}(\mathbf{u})=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}
$$



Figure 4-3: The projection of a point on the direction

The MSE ( $\mathbf{u}$ ) optimization is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MSE}(\mathbf{u}) & =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}-\mathbf{2} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}-\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}-2\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}\right)+\left(\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}-2 \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}+\left(\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}-2 \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}+\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}\right) \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}-\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right\|^{2}-\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \mathbf{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Details of how to reduce $\operatorname{MSE}(\mathbf{u})$ by finding $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ with $\|\mathbf{u}\|=1$ that maximizes $\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X u}$ are given in Theorems (4.2) and (4.3).

Theorem 4.1: A $p \times p$ symmetric matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is orthogonally diagonalizable and can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{A}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{T}}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{j}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right), \lambda_{i}$ being the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{2}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{p}}\right)$ is an orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{j}}$ of $\mathbf{A}$ [15].

Theorem 4.2 (The Principal Axes Theorem): Let $\mathbf{A}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{T}}$ be defined as in theorem 4.1, associated with the quadratic form $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A x}$, then the change of variable $\mathbf{x}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{y}$ transforms the quadratic form $\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A x}$ into the quadratic form $\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda y}$ [16].

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} & =(\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{y})^{T} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{y} \\
& =\mathbf{y}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { y }}=\mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{y}=\lambda_{1} y_{1}+\lambda_{2} y_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{p} y_{p} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 4.3: Let $f(x)=\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A x}$ be the quadratic form of the $p \times p$ symmetric matrix A and $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\ldots>\lambda_{p}$ be the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}$. Then the maximum value of $f(x)$ is $\lambda_{1}$. Hence, it occurs when $\mathbf{x}$ is a unit eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$. Generally

$$
\max _{\left\{x: \max \times \mathbf{x}^{T}=1\right\}} \mathbf{x A} \mathbf{x}^{T}=\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\ldots>\lambda_{p}=\min _{\left\{x: \max \times \mathbf{x}^{T}=1\right\}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{T},
$$

The vector which maximizes (minimizes) $\mathbf{x A} \mathbf{x}^{T}$ under the constraint $\mathbf{x x}^{T}=1$ is the eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}$ which corresponds to the largest (smallest) eigenvalue of $\mathbf{A}$ [16]. Proof : By Theorem 4.1

$$
\mathbf{A}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{T}}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{j}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

By the Principal Axes theorem, set $\mathbf{x}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{y}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{y} & =(\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{y})^{T}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{y})=\mathbf{y}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}=1 \\
f(x)=\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}= & \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{y}=\lambda_{1} y_{1}+\lambda_{2} y_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{p} y_{p} \\
& \leq \lambda_{1} y_{1}+\lambda_{1} y_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{1} y_{p} \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\ldots+y_{p}\right) \\
& \leq \lambda_{1} \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{y}=\lambda_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $f(x) \leq \lambda_{1}$ for all $\mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}=1$. Let $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}$ be the eigenvector of $\mathbf{A}$
which corresponds to $\lambda_{1}$, then

$$
\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}=\lambda_{1} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}
$$

Thus,

$$
f\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \lambda_{1} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}=\lambda_{1} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}=\lambda_{1}
$$

Hence, the vector $\mathbf{u}$ which maximizes $\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X u}$ is the eigenvector of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ that corresponds to the largest eigenvalue.

The point cloud coordinates on a straight line are given by new factorial variable $\mathbf{z}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{z}_{1}=\mathbf{X u} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This factor is a linear combination of the original variables $\left(x_{[1]}, x_{[2]}, \ldots, x_{[p]}\right)$, with coefficients represented by the vector $\mathbf{u}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{z}_{1}=u_{1} x_{[1]}+u_{2} x_{[2]}+\ldots+u_{p} x_{[p]} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 2-dimensional subspaces, the projection of a point cloud onto a plane is represented by best linear fitting of $\mathbf{u}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{2}\left(\mathbf{u}_{1}\right.$ and $\mathbf{u}_{2}$ are orthogonal), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\mathbf{u}_{1},\left|\mathbf{u}_{1}\right|=1} \mathbf{u}_{1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{1} \text { and } \max _{\substack{\mathbf{u}_{2},\left|\mathbf{u}_{2}\right|=1 \\ \mathbf{u}_{1}^{T}=\mathbf{u}_{2}=0}} \mathbf{u}_{2} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.4: The second factorial axis $\mathbf{u}_{2}$, is the eigenvector of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ corresponding to the second largest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ [17].

The representation of the $n$-point cloud in two-dimensional subspace is given by $\mathbf{z}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{z}_{2}$ figure (4.4) such that

$$
\mathbf{z}_{1}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{1} \text { and } \mathbf{z}_{2}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{2}
$$



Figure 4-4 Representation of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ individuals in 2-dimensional subspace

In $r$-dimensional sub space $2<r<p$, the factor directions are $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{u}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{r}$ which denote the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ corresponds to the largest eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\ldots>\lambda_{r}$. The coordinates for representing the point cloud of individuals on $r$-dimensional subspace are given by $\mathbf{z}_{1}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{2}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{2}, \ldots$ and $\mathbf{z}_{r}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{r}$, $\mathbf{z}_{r}=\left(z_{1 r}, z_{2 r}, \ldots, z_{n r}\right)^{T}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i r}=\sum_{m=1}^{p} x_{i m} u_{m r} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1.2 Fitting $\boldsymbol{n}$-dimensional Point (variable) Cloud

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be represented by a $p$ point (variable) cloud in $n$-dimensional space. The aim is to reduce the cloud into $q$-dimensional subspace such that $q<n$. Algebraically, this is the same case as $p$-dimensional point cloud (replace $\mathbf{X}$ by $\mathbf{X}^{T}$ ).

The representation of $p$ variables in $q$-dimensional subspace is done by the same technique of the $n$ individuals; the $q$-subspace is spanned by orthonormal eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{q}$ of $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\mu_{1}>\mu_{2}>\ldots>\mu_{q}$ respectively. Representation of the $p$ variables on the $k^{\text {th }}$ axis are given by the factorial variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{w}_{k}=\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{k} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, q \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{k}=\left(w_{k 1}, w_{k 2}, \ldots, w_{k p}\right)$

In 2 dimensional subspace the $j^{\text {th }}$ variable is represented as in Figure 4.5.


Figure 4-5: Representation of $j^{\text {th }}$ variable in tow dimensional subspace

### 4.1.3 Subspaces Relationships

Illustration of the duality relationship between two models, requires the consideration of the equations of eigenvector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X X}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{k}=\mu_{k} \mathbf{v}_{k} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, r \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T}\right)=\operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{X})$. Multiplying (4.8) by $\mathbf{X}^{T}$ we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{X}^{T}\left(\mathbf{X X}^{T}\right) v_{k}=\mathbf{X}^{T} \mu_{k} v_{k} \\
\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} v_{k}\right)=\mu_{k}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} v_{k}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (4.9), each eigenvector ( $\mathbf{X}^{T} v_{k}$ ) of $\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)$ is corresponding to an eigenvector $v_{k}$ of $\mathbf{X X} \mathbf{X}^{T}$.

Now consider the equations of eigenvectors in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{k}=\lambda_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k} \quad k=1,2, \ldots, r \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (4.10) by $\mathbf{X}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{u}_{k}=\mathbf{X} \lambda_{k} \mathbf{u}_{k} \\
& \left(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{k}\right)=\lambda_{k}\left(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{k}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Particularly, assume that $\mathbf{v}_{k}=\left(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{k}\right)$, by rewriting (4.11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X X}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{k}=\lambda_{k} \mathbf{v}_{k} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the non-zero eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ are eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T}$ as well.

The relation between the eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{k}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{k}$ is given in Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 4.5 : (Duality Relations) Let $r$ be the rank of $\mathbf{X}$. For $k<r$, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$ of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T}$ are the same and the eigenvectors ( $\mathbf{u}_{k}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{k}$ respectively) are related by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{v}_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{u}_{k}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \mathbf{u}_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{v}_{k} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.2 Mathematics of PCA

PCA is a procedure that seeks an $r$-dimensional basis that best captures the variance in the data. The vector that has the largest variance is called the first principal component. The orthogonal vector that captures the second largest variance is called the second principal component, and so on.

### 4.2.1 Data Pre-treatment

Prior to starting PCA procedure, data are often pre-treated to transform it into suitable form for analysis.

Variables frequently have different numerical units, and different range. For example when there are two variables, the first one being a persons' weight and the second variable is the height, the weight has large range so it has a large variance, but the height has small range, then it has small variance. Since PCA is a method of maximum variance projection, it follows that the variable which has large variance will contribute more than the variable with low-variance [18].

### 4.2.1(a) Unit Variance (UV) Scaling

In the data matrix each element of a column is divided by the column standard deviation, see figure (3.6) and figure (3.7).


Figure 4-6 Unit Variance (UV) scaling processing


Figure 4-7 Unit Variance (UV) scaling

### 4.2.1(b) Mean-centering

The second method of pre-treatment of data is mean centering. In this process the mean of each scaled variable are computed and subtract from the UV scaled data.


Figure 4-8 UV Scaling and Mean-centering

### 4.2.2 Centering a Data Matrix Algebraically

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be $n \times p$ data matrix ( $p$ variables and $n$ observations). The "center of gravity" of the columns is a vector $\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{p}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ of the means $\bar{x}_{j}$ of the $p$ variables (columns) which given by:

$$
\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\bar{x}_{1} \\
\bar{x}_{2} \\
\cdot \\
\cdot \\
\bar{x}_{p}
\end{array}\right)=n^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{1}_{n}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{n}$ is $n \times n$ unit matrix.

The covariance matrix $\mathbf{S}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}=n^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X}-\overline{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathbf{T}} & =n^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X}-n^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{1}_{n} \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right) \\
& =n^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{T}}\left(\mathbf{I}_{n}-n^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{n} \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T}\right) \mathbf{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left(\mathbf{I}_{n}-n^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{n} \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T}\right)$ is a centering matrix denoted by $\mathbf{H}$. Rewriting the covariance formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}=n^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained.

Note that $\mathbf{H}$ is symmetric and idempotent $\left(\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{H}^{2}\right)$. Then the standardized data matrix is denoted as $\mathbf{X}_{*}$ and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}_{*}=n^{-1 / 2} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X D}^{-1 / 2} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(s_{X_{i} X_{i}}\right)$

### 4.2.3 Relationship Between SVD and PCA

Let $\mathbf{X}_{c}$ be the centered matrix of $\mathbf{X} n \times p$ data matrix. By (2.2) the SVD of $\mathbf{X}_{c}$ given
as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{X}_{c}=\mathbf{L} \Delta \mathbf{Q}^{T} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now calculate the matrix $\mathbf{X}_{c}{ }^{T} \mathbf{X}_{c}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}_{c}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{c} & =\left(\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{Q}^{T}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{Q}^{T}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{T} \mathbf{L}^{T} \mathbf{L} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{Q}^{T} \\
& =\mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \mathbf{Q}^{T} \\
& =\mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{x}_{c}}^{2} \mathbf{Q}^{T} \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}_{c}}^{2}$ is $n \times n$ matrix with diagonal entries $\delta_{i}^{2}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, p$ (3.2).

Since $\mathbf{X}_{c}$ is centered data matrix, the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}_{c}{ }^{T} \mathbf{X}_{c}$ by theorem (4.2). This can be decomposed as $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{X}_{c}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{c} & =n \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \\
& =n \mathbf{U}^{\mathbf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{U} \\
& =\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{T}}(n \mathbf{\Lambda}) \mathbf{U} \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.18) and (4.19), $\mathbf{Q}$ (right singular vectors) are the same of the eigenvectors of matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$, additionally, the singular values of $\mathbf{X}_{c}$ are related with the eigenvalue of $\Sigma$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \lambda_{i}=\delta_{i}^{2} \\
& \lambda_{i}=\frac{\delta_{i}^{2}}{n}
\end{aligned} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, p
$$

### 4.2.4 Standardized Linear Combinations (SLC)

A simple way to reducing dimension is to weigh all variables equally. This is undesirable, since all of the elements of vector $\mathbf{x}$ are measured with equal importance (weight). A more suitable approach is to study a weighted average, namely Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)^{T}$ be a vector, and $\delta=\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{p}\right)^{T}$ weighting vector. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{T} \mathbf{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta_{j} x_{j} \quad \text { so that } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_{i}=1 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.20) is called a standardized linear combination (SLC). The goal is to maximize the variance of the projection $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{T} \mathbf{x}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} \delta_{j} x_{j}$, i.e., to choose $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\{\delta ;||| |=1\}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\delta^{T} X\right)=\max _{\{\delta:|| |=1\}} \delta^{T} \operatorname{Var}(X) \delta \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The weighting vector $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ in (4.21) is found through the spectral decomposition of the covariance matrix, by Theorems (4.2) and (4.3). The direction $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is given by the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ of the covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}=\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{X})$ that corresponds to the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$.

The SLC with the maximum variance obtained from maximizing (4.21) is the first PC $\mathbf{y}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{X}$. In orthogonal direction to $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}$ we compute the SLC with the second highest variance $\mathbf{y}_{2}=\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2}{ }^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{X}$, the second PC.

By processing in this way the result for r.v. $X$ with $E(X)=\mu$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X)=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T}$ the PC transformation can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T}(X-\mu) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variable $X$ was centered in order to obtain a PC variable $Y$ with mean equal to zero.

The next numerical example explains how to calculate the PCs from covariance matrix.

Example (4.1): Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ be the r.vs. and $\mathbf{X}$ data matrix

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
125 & 137 & 121 \\
144 & 173 & 147 \\
105 & 119 & 125 \\
154 & 149 & 128 \\
137 & 139 & 109
\end{array}\right)
$$

The sample mean $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ of $\mathbf{X}$ is $\left(\begin{array}{lll}133 & 143.4 & 126\end{array}\right)^{T}$

Covariance matrix $\mathbf{S}$ of $\mathbf{X}$ is

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
356.5 & 290 & 68.25 \\
290 & 390.8 & 191 \\
68.25 & 191 & 190
\end{array}\right)
$$

The ordered eigenvalues of $\mathbf{S}$ from the highest to the lowest are (729.3961, $183.8405,24.0634)$ and the eigenvectors is the columns of next matrix corresponds to the eigenvalues respectively

$$
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.6163 & -0.6355 & -0.4651 \\
0.7146 & 0.2031 & 0.6694 \\
0.3310 & 0.7449 & -0.5793
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then the first eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}$ which corresponding to the largest eigenvalue is the first column of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$

$$
\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.6163 \\
0.7146 \\
0.3310
\end{array}\right)
$$

The PC transformation is

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{T}}(X-\overline{\mathbf{X}}) \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\eta}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{\eta}_{2}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
\boldsymbol{\eta}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}-133 \\
x_{2}-143.4 \\
x_{3}-126
\end{array}\right) \\
y_{1}=0.6163\left(x_{1}-133\right)+0.7146\left(x_{2}-143.4\right)+0.3310\left(x_{3}-126\right) \\
y_{2}=-0.6355\left(x_{1}-133\right)+0.2031\left(x_{2}-143.4\right)+0.7449\left(x_{3}-126\right) \\
y_{3}=-0.4651\left(x_{1}-133\right)+0.6694\left(x_{2}-143.4\right)-0.5793\left(x_{3}-126\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The first PC is $y_{1}$ which corresponds to the largest eigenvalue and the second PC is $y_{2}$ is orthogonal to $y_{1}$ and corresponds to second largest eigenvalue.

### 4.2.5 PCs in Practice

The PCs are obtained from the SVD of the covariance matrix. In the principal component transformation, the estimator $\mu$ is replaced by $\bar{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is replaced by S. Spectral decomposition of the covariance matrix can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{G L G} \mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{T}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the PCs are obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\left(X-\mathbf{1}_{n} \bar{x}^{T}\right) \mathbf{G} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{L}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{p}\right)$ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of $\mathbf{S}$ and $\mathbf{G}=\left(\mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{g}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ is a matrix of orthogonal eigenvectors $\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{j}}$ of $\mathbf{S}$.

If all original $p$ variables are uncorrelated (orthogonal, independent), then the variables themselves are the PCs. Hence $\mathbf{S}$ would have the form

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
s_{11} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & s_{p p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the eigenvalues $\ell_{j}$ of the covariance matrix $\mathbf{S}$ will be

$$
\ell_{j}=s_{j j} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, p .
$$

Correspondingly the normalized eigenvectors $\mathbf{g}_{j}$ which have 1 in $j^{\text {th }}$ position and zeros else where are

$$
\mathbf{g}_{j}{ }^{T}=(0,0, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0) \quad j=1,2, \ldots, p
$$

Thus the $j^{\text {th }} \mathrm{PC}$ is

$$
\mathbf{z}_{j}=\mathbf{g}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{x}_{j} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, p
$$

As another illustration, in the covariance $\mathbf{S}$ or correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$, a distinguishing pattern may be identified, from which formulation of the principal components can be deduced. For example, if one of the variables has the highest variance compared with others, this variable will dominate the first component, accounting for the majority of the variance.

Generally, the PCs are computed from $\mathbf{S}$ rather than $\mathbf{R}$, specially if the PCs are used in farther computation. However, in some cases, the PCs will be more interpretable if calculated from $\mathbf{R}$ [19].

After centering the data matrix $\mathbf{X}_{c}=\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{1}_{n} \overline{\mathbf{x}}^{T}, \mathbf{X}_{c}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{c}$ is the covariance matrix which is used in PCA. When the variables are measured with different unit, the data must be standardized by dividing each variable (each column) by column standard deviation (4.15) (Figure 4.6). In this case $\mathbf{X}_{*}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{*}$ is equal to correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$. Then the analysis referred to correlation PCA [19].

The next simple bivariate example explains how the principal components are changed when computed from original data, centered data and standardized data.

Example 4.2: Dtat given in Table 1 represents the number of engineers in various disciplines with monthly salary, years of experience and working hours

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=\text { Experience }(\text { in years }) \\
& X_{2}=\text { Salary } \\
& X_{3}=\text { Work hours }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1 Engineering salary

| Engineering competence | Experience <br> (years) | Salary <br> (IRD per month) | Work hour <br> (hours/ day) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAE Analyst | 10 | 900,000 | 6 |
| Design Engineer | 10 | 900,000 | 5 |
| Purchase Engineer | 11 | 850,000 | 8 |
| SCM Enigneer | 8 | 850,000 | 7 |
| Quality Engineer | 11 | 850,000 | 5 |
| Production Engineer | 9 | 750,000 | 9 |
| Maintenance Engineer | 12 | 750,000 | 6 |
| Mechatronics Engineer | 10 | 800,000 | 8 |
| OEM Sales Engnineer | 9 | 950,000 | 7 |
| Engineer | 12 | 800,000 | 5 |
| Application Engineer | 10 | 800,000 | 9 |
| Service Engineer | 13 | 600,000 | 6 |
| Homologation Engineer | 10 | 850,000 | 9 |
| Management | 8 | 800,000 | 7 |
| Electronics \& Comunication | 11 | 800,000 | 5 |
| Lead final Assembly Line | 11 | 800,000 | 8 |
| RAMS Engineers Electrical | 10 | 700,000 | 6 |
| Structural Design Engineers | 9 | 600,000 | 7 |
| Configuration Engineers | 10 | 600,000 | 7 |
| Aerospace Stress Engineer | 12 | 550,000 | 8 |

PCs from raw, centered and the standardized data matrices are computed for comparison.

The eigenvalues and eigenvector of $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llrr}
\lambda_{1}=1.0100 & \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0.0124 \\
\end{array} 0.9999\right. & 0.0082
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus the PCs are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{y}_{1 \mathrm{X}}=0.0124\left(X_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)+0.9999\left(X_{2}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)+0.0082\left(X_{3}-\bar{x}_{3}\right) \\
& \mathbf{y}_{2 \mathrm{X}}=0.9870\left(X_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)-0.0135\left(X_{2}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)+0.1603\left(X_{3}-\bar{x}_{3}\right) \\
& \mathbf{y}_{3 \mathrm{X}}=0.1604\left(X_{1}-\bar{x}_{1}\right)+0.0062\left(X_{2}-\bar{x}_{2}\right)-0.9870\left(X_{3}-\bar{x}_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvector of the covariance matrix for the centered data $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{X}_{c}{ }^{T} \mathbf{X}_{c}$ are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{llrl}
\lambda_{1}=9.3341 & \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T}=(-0.0093 & 1.0000 & -0.0005
\end{array}\right)
$$

the PCs are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Y}_{1 \mathrm{~S}}=-0.0093 X_{1}+1.0000 X_{2}-0.0005 \mathrm{X}_{3} \\
& \mathbf{Y}_{2 \mathrm{~S}}=0.5533 X_{1}+0.0047 X_{2}-0.8329 \mathrm{X}_{3} \\
& \mathbf{Y}_{3 \mathrm{~S}}=-0.8329 X_{1}-0.0080 X_{2}-0.5534 \mathrm{X}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the correlation matrix after standardizing data ( $\mathbf{X}_{*}$ ) $\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{X}_{*}^{T} \mathbf{X}_{*}$ are

$$
\left.\begin{array}{lllr}
\lambda_{1}=1.6673 & \mathbf{v}_{1}^{T}=(0.7144 & -0.5457 & -0.4380
\end{array}\right)
$$

the PCs in third case are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{Y}_{1 \mathrm{R}}=0.7144 X_{1}-0.5457 X_{2}-0.4380 X_{3} \\
& \mathbf{Y}_{2 \mathrm{R}}=-0.0084 X_{1}-0.6326 X_{2}+0.7744 X_{3} \\
& \mathbf{Y}_{3 \mathrm{R}}=-0.6997 X_{1}-0.5495 X_{2}-0.4565 X_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2.6 Mean and Variance of PCs

Let $X \sim(\mu, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and $Y=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T}(X-\mu)$ be a linear transformation then the following properties apllies
a) $E Y_{j}=0 \quad j=1,2, \ldots, p$

$$
E Y_{j}=E\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}^{T}(X-\mu)\right)=\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}^{T} E(X-\mu)=0
$$

b) $\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j} \quad j=1,2, \ldots, p$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}^{T}(X-\mu)\right) \text { by (3.18) and (3.19) } \\
& \quad=\boldsymbol{\eta}_{j}^{T} \operatorname{Var}(X) \eta_{j}=\lambda_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

c) $\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=0 \quad i \neq j$

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)=E\left(Y_{i} Y_{j}\right)-E\left(Y_{i}\right) E\left(Y_{j}\right)=0
$$

d) Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the covariance matrix of original variables, and let $\mathbf{Y}=\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{1}_{n} \bar{x}^{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ The covariance matrix of the PCs is

$$
\mathbf{S}_{Y}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right)$ is the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{S}$, by (4.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}_{Y}=n^{-1} \mathbf{Y}^{T} \mathbf{H Y} & =n^{-1}\left(\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{1}_{n} \bar{x}^{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)^{T} \mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{1}_{n} \bar{x}^{T}\right) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=n^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \\
& =\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T} \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.3 Interpreting the Meaning of the PC

PCA produce two items of basic information for interpreting results. First one is the correlation coefficients between the original variables and the PCs which are used in interpreting the meaning of the PCs. The second one is each principal component is associated with an eigenvalue which converts to the proportion of the variation that explained by the PC.

### 4.3.1 Loading: Correlation Between the r.v. $X$ and its PC

The covariance between the original r.v $X$ and the PC $Y$ is given in [2] as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) & =E\left(X Y^{T}\right)-E(X) E\left(Y^{T}\right)=E\left(X Y^{T}\right) \\
& =E\left(X X^{T} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}\right)-\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \\
& =\operatorname{Var}(X) \boldsymbol{\Gamma}  \tag{4.25}\\
& =\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \\
& =\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}
\end{align*}
$$

where the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma} \mathbf{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right)$ is the eigenvalues and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}=\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{p}}\right)$. This is a matrix of orthogonal eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathbf{j}}$ of the covariance matrix.

The correlation between each PC and the original variables is denoted by $\rho_{X_{i} Y_{j}}$ and given by

$$
\rho_{X_{i} Y_{j}}=\frac{\eta_{i j} \lambda_{i}}{\left(\sigma_{x_{i} X_{i}} \lambda_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}}=\eta_{i j}\left(\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\sigma_{X_{i} X_{i}}}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \begin{align*}
& i=1,2, \ldots, p  \tag{4.26}\\
& j=1,2, \ldots, q
\end{align*}
$$

Using actual data, (4.26) translates to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{X_{i} Y_{j}}=g_{i j}\left(\frac{\ell_{j}}{s_{X_{i} X_{i}}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This correlation coefficient between the r.v $X$ and PC is also called "loading". Note that sum of squares of loadings is equal to 1 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{p} r_{X_{i} Y_{j}}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \ell_{j} g_{i j}^{2}}{s_{X_{i} X_{i}}}=\frac{s_{X_{i} X_{i}}}{s_{X_{i} X_{i}}}=1 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.3.2 Number of PCs to be used

Usually, only the important information is required to be drawn from a data matrix. In this case, the problem is to find how many components are needed to be considered. There are many methods to decide on the number of PCs. Four of them are given below.

### 4.3.2(a) Scree Plot Test

The Cattell scree test (Cattell, 1966) is based on a graphical representation of the eigenvalues. In this method, the eigenvalues are presented in descending order with corresponding PCs in a scatter plot and drawing the curve. Cattell's scree rule says to
drop all PCs after the elbow point. The logic behind this test is that the elbow point divides the major or important PCs (factors) from the trivial or minor PCs (factors). This rule is criticized because of the elbow point selection is subjective and depends on the researcher [20].


Figure 4-9 Scree plot test

### 4.3.2(b) Kaiser Criterion

This method is proposed by Kaiser (1960), it's rule says only the PCs That corresponding to the eigenvalues which are greater than 1 are retained for interpretation [21]. Despite the ease of this method, it carries many weaknesses. One such weakness is in the selection of PCs that do not satisfy the majority of the variance. For instance, it regards a PC with an eigenvalue of 1.01 as 'major' and one with an eigenvalue of .99 as 'trivial' which is not a very healthy decision.

### 4.3.2(c) Horn's Parallel Analysis (PA)

This technique based on a simulation method that make a comparison between the observed eigenvalues with those obtained from orthogonal normal variables. A PC is maintained if the corresponding eigenvalue is greater than the 95 th of the distribution of eigenvalues derived from the random data [22].

The algorithem of Horn's Parallel Analysis (PA) can be explained as below.

Step 1: Generation of a Random Data
i. Setting up the number of observations and variables in the original data;
ii. Setting up the values taken by original data set (e.g. Likert scale 1-5);
iii. Create a random data set by using SPSS or similar program.

Step 2:Computing Eigenvalues from the Random Data Correlation Matrix
i. Computing the eigenvalues from the random data set, either by a PCA using the SPSS, or any equivalent program;
ii. Note the eigenvalues sequentially in MS Excel or similar software
iii. Repeat Step 1 (iii) and Step 2(i)-(ii) for at least 50 times to create a set of 50 or more parallel eigenvalues.

Step 3: Average Eigenvalues
i. Find the mean, and 95 th percentile of all eigenvalues generated by PCA of random data sets;
ii. The result will be a vector of average (and 95th percentile) of eigenvalues. The number of eigenvalues is the same as the number of variables, and in decreasing order.

Step 4: Compare Real Data with Parallel Random Data:
i. Plot eigenvalues from the real and random data sets
ii. Retain only those factors whose eigenvalues are greater than the eigenvalues from the random data.

### 4.3.2(d) Variance Explained Criteria

The proportion of variance of each PC is calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{j}\right)}=\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j}} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varphi_{q}$ be the proportion of the sum of first $q$ eigenvalues to $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{q}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{q} \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{j}\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{j}\right)}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{q} \lambda_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j}} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the number of PCs to be considered are expected to satisfy above $70 \%$ of the total variation $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j}$.

### 4.3.3 Rotation

Most of the foundations of rotation are developed by Thurstone (1947) and Cattell (1978), who defends the use of rotation to make interpretation of PCs easier and more reliable [23].

After the number of PCs has been selected, an attempt is made to facilitate interpretation and the analysis often based on a rotation of the selected PCs. There are two main kinds of rotation, orthogonal and oblique rotation.

### 4.3.3(a) Orthogonal Rotation

An orthogonal rotation method is described by a rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}$, where the rows represents the original factors and the columns represents the new (rotated) factors. At the intersection of row $i$ and column $j$ we have the cosine of the angle $\theta$ between the original axis and the new axis.

$$
\mathbf{R}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta_{1,1} & \cos \theta_{1,2} \\
\cos \theta_{2,1} & \cos \theta_{2,2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \theta_{1,1} & -\sin \theta_{1,1} \\
\sin \theta_{1,1} & \cos \theta_{1,1}
\end{array}\right]
$$



Figure 4-10 Orthogonal rotation in 2-dimensional space

### 4.3.3(b) VARIMAX

VARIMAX is the most popular orthogonal rotation technique, which was developed by Kaiser (1958) [24]. In statistics, VARIMAX rotation means changing of coordinates used in PCA that maximizes the sum of variances of the squared loadings (squared correlations between variables and PCs).

$$
v=\sum\left(q_{j, \ell}^{2}-\bar{q}_{\ell}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Where $q_{j, \ell}$ being the loading of $j^{\text {th }}$ variable of matrix loadings matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ of PC $\ell$ and $\bar{q}_{\ell}^{2}$ the squared mean of loading. VARIMAX simple solution implies each PC has a small quantity of large loading and a large number of small (or zero) loading.

If the loadings in each column were approximately equal, the variance would be close to 0 . As the squared loadings teands 0 , the variance will approach a maximum. Thus the VARIMAX technique attempts to make the loadings either large or small to facilitate interpretation [13].

The VARIMAX is available in most of factor (PC ) analysis software programs, the output usually includes the rotated loading matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{*}$, the variance accounted for (sum of squares of each column of $\mathbf{Q}^{*}$ ), and the orthogonal rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ that used to obtain $\mathbf{Q}^{*}=\mathbf{Q R}$.

### 4.3.3(c) Oblique Rotation

The aim of using the Oblique Rotation is to get a simple stracture by relocation of factor axes. Oblique rotations strongly recommended by Thurstone [25], since PCs are orthogonal, so they are used more rarely than their orthogonal rotation methods.

### 4.4 Example

The data in Table A. 5 contians library collections, staff and operating expenditures of the 60 largest college and Uni. libraries: Fiscal year 2008 [26]. The following variables are defined on the data set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=\text { Number of volumes at end of year (in thousands) } \\
& X_{2}=\text { Number of e-books at end of year } \\
& X_{3}=\text { Number of serials at end of year } \\
& X_{4}=\text { Technician } \\
& X_{5}=\text { Librarians } \\
& X_{6}=\text { Other expenses } \\
& X_{7}=\text { Salaries and wages } \\
& X_{8}=\text { Public service hours per typical week } \\
& X_{9}=\text { Gate count per typical week1 } \\
& X_{10}=\text { Reference transactions per typical week }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the variables were measured using different units, they are standardized and the correlation matrix is used in PCA. The correlation matrix

$$
\mathbf{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1.0000 & 0.1926 & 0.4975 & 0.8644 & 0.7801 & 0.8677 & 0.8657 & 0.0631 & 0.2709 & 0.4047 \\
0.1926 & 1.0000 & 0.2063 & 0.0895 & 0.0231 & 0.1433 & 0.0700 & -0.1365 & 0.0867 & 0.0155 \\
0.4975 & 0.2063 & 1.0000 & 0.4362 & 0.3050 & 0.4929 & 0.4195 & -0.0193 & 0.0953 & 0.0165 \\
0.8644 & 0.0895 & 0.4362 & 1.0000 & 0.8906 & 0.9534 & 0.9707 & 0.1516 & 0.3157 & 0.3657 \\
0.7801 & 0.0231 & 0.3050 & 0.8906 & 1.0000 & 0.8504 & 0.8744 & 0.2453 & 0.2984 & 0.3428 \\
0.8677 & 0.1433 & 0.4929 & 0.9534 & 0.8504 & 1.0000 & 0.9724 & 0.0787 & 0.1416 & 0.2947 \\
0.8657 & 0.0700 & 0.4195 & 0.9707 & 0.8744 & 0.9724 & 1.0000 & 0.0902 & 0.2045 & 0.3110 \\
0.0631 & -0.1365 & -0.0193 & 0.1516 & 0.2453 & 0.0787 & 0.0902 & 1.0000 & 0.2157 & 0.0436 \\
0.2709 & 0.0867 & 0.0953 & 0.3157 & 0.2984 & 0.1416 & 0.2045 & 0.2157 & 1.0000 & 0.3408 \\
0.4047 & 0.0155 & 0.0165 & 0.3657 & 0.3428 & 0.2947 & 0.3110 & 0.0436 & 0.3408 & 1.0000
\end{array}\right)
$$

As seen from the correlation matrix, the linear correlation between variables ranges from very strong to very weak.

The ordered eigenvalues of the correlation matrix from highest to lowest are

$$
\mathbf{1}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllllll}
5.0787 & 1.3459 & 1.0911 & 0.9236 & 0.6705 & 0.5527 & 0.1624 & 0.1318 & 0.0259
\end{array} 0.0175\right)
$$

The matrix $\mathbf{G}$ is made up of eigenvectors $\mathbf{g}_{j}$ of $\mathbf{R}$.

$$
\mathbf{G}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
0.4104 & 0.0839 & -0.0493 & 0.0504 & 0.0041 & -0.0598 & -0.8249 & 0.3647 & -0.0324 & -0.0375 \\
0.0665 & 0.4226 & -0.6327 & -0.3285 & -0.5435 & -0.0463 & 0.0921 & -0.0039 & -0.0320 & 0.0408 \\
0.2288 & 0.3902 & -0.0345 & -0.3801 & 0.6724 & -0.3918 & 0.1899 & 0.0618 & -0.0278 & 0.0581 \\
0.4327 & -0.0146 & 0.0792 & 0.0290 & -0.0443 & 0.1232 & 0.1072 & -0.3177 & -0.7264 & -0.3850 \\
0.4017 & -0.1287 & 0.1401 & 0.0114 & -0.1591 & 0.1568 & 0.4826 & 0.7193 & 0.0426 & 0.0151 \\
0.4242 & 0.1341 & 0.1445 & 0.0391 & -0.0982 & 0.0237 & 0.0602 & -0.3393 & 0.6715 & -0.4469 \\
0.4258 & 0.0558 & 0.1586 & 0.0840 & -0.0871 & 0.1312 & 0.0166 & -0.3374 & 0.0255 & 0.8024 \\
0.0710 & -0.5486 & 0.2138 & -0.6574 & -0.2511 & -0.3702 & -0.0877 & -0.0864 & 0.0143 & 0.0253 \\
0.1527 & -0.4465 & -0.5471 & -0.2096 & 0.3805 & 0.5171 & -0.0235 & -0.0755 & 0.1251 & 0.0016 \\
0.1911 & -0.3521 & -0.4231 & 0.5094 & 0.0114 & -0.6154 & 0.1356 & -0.0514 & 0.0164 & 0.0303
\end{array}\right)
$$

Table 2 lists the eigenvalues of the correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ in the first column, ratio of each eigenvalue to the total in the second column, and the cumulative proportion in the third column. From the third column it is evident that the first 4 eigenvalues which are the variance of the first 4 PCs , represents about $84 \%$ of the total variation in the data. Therefore, the use of the first 4 PCs is considered adequate for the representation of the data.

Table 2 : Example 4.4 The proportion of variance of PCs

| Eigenvalue | Proportion of variance | Cumulated Proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $l_{i}$ | $l_{i} / \sum_{j=1}^{p} l_{j}$ | $\sum_{j=1}^{q} l_{j} / \sum_{j=1}^{p} l_{j}$ |
|  | 0.507865 | 0.51 |
| 1.3459 | 0.134589 | 0.64 |
| 1.0911 | 0.109109 | 0.75 |
| 0.9236 | 0.092359 | 0.84 |
| 0.6705 | 0.067049 | 0.91 |
| 0.5527 | 0.055269 | 0.97 |
| 0.1624 | 0.01624 | 0.98 |
| 0.1318 | 0.01318 | 0.99566 |
| 0.0259 | 0.00259 | 0.99825 |
| 0.0175 | 0.00175 | 1 |



Figure 4-11: Example 4.4, The proportion of variance $l_{i} / \sum_{j=1}^{p} l_{j}$ of PCs
The coefficients used in the computation of the first four PCs that accounts for $84 \%$ of total variation are given in Table 3.

Table 3 : Example 4.4 Characteristics coefficients (weights or eigenvectors of the correlation matrix) for first 4 PCs for the PCA of libraries data.

| Variables | $g_{1}$ | $g_{2}$ | $g_{3}$ | $g_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | 0.4104 | 0.0839 | -0.0493 | 0.0504 |
| $X_{2}$ | 0.0665 | 0.4226 | -0.6327 | -0.3285 |
| $X_{3}$ | 0.2288 | 0.3902 | -0.0345 | 0.0290 |
| $X_{4}$ | 0.4327 | -0.0146 | 0.0792 | -0.3801 |
| $X_{5}$ | 0.4017 | -0.1287 | 0.1401 | 0.0114 |
| $X_{6}$ | 0.4242 | 0.1341 | 0.1445 | 0.0391 |
| $X_{7}$ | 0.4258 | 0.0558 | 0.1586 | 0.0840 |
| $X_{8}$ | 0.0710 | -0.5486 | 0.2138 | -0.6574 |
| $X_{9}$ | 0.1527 | -0.4465 | -0.5471 | -0.2096 |
| $X_{10}$ | 0.1911 | -0.3521 | -0.4231 | 0.5094 |
| Total | 2.3945 | 2.5772 | 2.4229 | 2.2989 |
| $g_{1 i} / \sum_{j=1}^{10} g_{j i}$ | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.2 |

The weights of PCs in Table 3 explain which variables are dominant in each PC. The first PC which accounts for $51 \%$ of total variation in the data, is highly influenced by the variables $X_{1}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}$ and $X_{7}$, and using $\mathbf{y}_{j}=\left(X-\mathbf{1}_{n} \bar{x}^{T}\right) \mathbf{g}_{j}$ can be written as

$$
y_{1}=0.4104 X_{1}+0.067 X_{2}+0.228 X_{3}+0.433 X_{4}+0.402 X_{5}+0.424 X_{6}+0.426 X_{7}+0.071 X_{8}+0.1527 X_{9}+0.191 X_{10}
$$

The second PC accounts for $13.5 \%$ of total variation is mainly composed of the difference between $X_{2}, X_{3}$ and $X_{8}, X_{9}$. This is given by

$$
y_{2}=0.084 X_{1}+0.423 X_{2}+0.390 X_{3}-0.015 X_{4}-0.129 X_{5}+0.134 X_{6}+0.056 X_{7}-0.549 X_{8}-0.447 X_{9}-0.352 X_{10}
$$

Similarly other PCs can be interpreted.

Scatter diagrams for PC1 versus PC2 and PC3 versus PC4 are given in Figure 4.12 and Figure 4.13 respectively. To highlight the effect of a variable on the PCs, the points on the scatter diagrams are marked as " o " if the $X_{1}$ value involved in the computation of the PC is less than $\bar{X}_{1}$, and those greater than the $\bar{X}_{1}$ are marked as "+". In Figure 4.12 two groups forms reasonably separate scaters mainly due to the high influence $X_{1}$ has on PC1 (17\% of weights assigned with PC1), compared with its low influence on PC2 (3\% of weights assigned to PC2).

In Figure 4.13, two groups of points are mixed as the influence of $X_{1}$ on both PC3 and PC4 is about the same, but opposite in sign.


Figure 4-12 Example 4.4: PC1 versus PC2 of the college and Uni. Libraries data.


Figure 4-13 Example 4.4: PC3 versus PC4 of the college and Uni. Libraries data.

The correlation between original variable and PCs computed by (4.27) are given in table 4

Table 4: Example 4.4 the correlation between original variable $X_{i}$ and PCs $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ and $Y_{3}$

| variables | $r_{X_{i} Y_{1}}$ | $r_{X_{i} Y_{2}}$ | $r_{X_{i} Y_{3}}$ | $r_{X_{i} Y_{4}}$ | $\sum_{j=1}^{p} r_{X_{i} Y_{j}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.9596 | 0.0973 | -0.0514 | 0.0484 | 0.935284 |
| $X_{2}$ | 0.1499 | 0.4903 | -0.6609 | -0.3157 | 0.799319 |
| $X_{3}$ | 0.5156 | 0.4527 | -0.0361 | -0.3653 | 0.605528 |
| $X_{4}$ | 0.9752 | -0.0169 | 0.0828 | 0.0279 | 0.958935 |
| $X_{5}$ | 0.9249 | -0.1493 | 0.1463 | 0.0110 | 0.899255 |
| $X_{6}$ | 0.9053 | 0.1555 | 0.1510 | 0.0376 | 0.867963 |
| $X_{7}$ | 0.9560 | 0.0647 | 0.1657 | 0.0807 | 0.952091 |
| $X_{8}$ | 0.1601 | -0.6365 | 0.2233 | -0.6318 | 0.879798 |
| $X_{9}$ | 0.3441 | -0.5179 | -0.5715 | -0.2014 | 0.753799 |
| $X_{10}$ | 0.4307 | -0.4085 | -0.4419 | 0.4895 | 0.787261 |

From table 4: we can see that the first PC has a positive high correlation with $X_{1}, X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}$ and $X_{7}$. Thus these variables are well explained by first PC. This property is clearly visible in Figure 4.14, as all the correlation values pertaining to these variables lie on the right hand side on the circle. The second PC is well described by the difference between the sum of $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}$ and the sum of $X_{8}$ and $X_{9}$. The position of these variables on Figure 4.14 clearly indicates this.

Figure 4.15 shows the same correlation regarding the second PC as in Figure 4.14. $X_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{9}$ and $X_{10}$ have negative effect on the third PC as they are below the 0 line on the vertical axis. In Figure 4.16 it is clear to see that the variables $X_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{9}$ and $X_{10}$ lie on the left hand side on the circle, this means these variables have negative correlation with $3^{r d} \mathrm{PC}$. The $4^{\text {th }} \mathrm{PC}$ depicts the difference between $X_{10}$ and the sum of $X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{8}$ and $X_{9}$.


Figure 4-14 Example 4.4 Correlation between original variables $X_{i}$ and PCs $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$


Figure 4-15 Example 4.4 Correlation between original variables $X_{i}$ and PCs $Y_{2}, Y_{3}$.


Figure 4-16 Example 4.4 Correlation between original variables $X_{i}$ and PCs $Y_{3}, Y_{4}$.

The theory given in 4.5 (Duality Relations) is applied to the data in Appendix A shows the relationship between the variables $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots \ldots, X_{10}\right)$ and the representation of universites (obsevations) in two dimensions. PCs obtained from $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ (Figure 4.17) and from $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{T}$ (Figure 4.18). It indicates that for Harvard Uni. it has the highest full-time equivalent value for Technician and Librarians ( $X_{4}$ and $X_{5}$ ). Similarly Yale Uni. has the largest number of serials ( $X_{3}$ ) at end of year.


Figure 4-17 Some outliers universities explanation by the first and second PC


Figure 4-18 Staff, and operating expenditures of Uni.s (variables) in 2-dimension

## Chapter 5

## CONCOLUSION

High dimensional data has been reduced by finding an orthogonal transformation. This transform generated a new set of uncorrelated variables called principal components that are combination of the original variables without losing the importance of information inherent to the data.

The first component has the largest possible variance, i.e. it represents the largest proportion of the total variance. Second PC has the second largest variance and so on. After the PCs are computed, examine the correlation between the original variables and these components.

PCA is regarded as a data reduction technique. This means, the use of the first few PCs that represents the great majority of variation in the data (preferably over 80\%), facilitates the analysis of a large data set with many variables by only analyzing the first few PCs.

An application example with 10 variables with 60 observations for each variable are studied, and it is found that the first 4 PCs represented $84 \%$ of the total variation in the data set. This greatly reduces the load of work in the further analysis of the data. Interpretations of the correlation between the variables and the PCs give a good idea about the variables that have high influence on the PCs.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix A：Table 5 Data of Example 4.4

Collections．staff．and operating expenditures of the 60 largest college and Uni．libraries：Fiscal year 2008 ［25］．

| Institution |  |  | 4 <br> ت <br> ＊ <br> $\frac{0}{0}$ 0 0 0 0 0 <br> ジ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{ँ} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Full－time－ equivalent staff |  | Operating expenditures（in thousands） |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reference trans- } \\ & \text { actions per typical week } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvard Uni，（MA） | 1 | 16，250 | 1，167 | 110，628 | 1，229 | 418 | 117，884 | 62，798 | 168 | 39，748 | 5，468 |
| Yale Uni，（CT） | 2 | 12，284 | 840，000 | 295，557 | 735 | 175 | 92，248 | 35，781 | 111 | 14，900 | 1，970 |
| Uni，of California，Berkeley | 3 | 11，020 | 610，920 | 87，876 | 487 | 92 | 48，020 | 24，305 | 77 | 27，502 | 2，100 |
| Uni，of Illinois at Urbana－ Champaign | 4 | 10，933 | 319，533 | 109，803 | 473 | 113 | 40，571 | 20，988 | 144 | 85，632 | 6，214 |
| Columbia Uni，in the City of New York | 5 | 9，596 | 703，121 | 132，740 | 616 | 161 | 56，089 | 27，240 | 108 | 81，862 | 3，557 |
| Uni，of Texas at Austin | 6 | 9，447 | 593，450 | 56，847 | 528 | 130 | 43，850 | 20，773 | 120 | 87，115 | 20，693 |
| Uni，of Michigan，Ann Arbor | 7 | 9，175 | 701，019 | 69，457 | 570 | 169 | 52，395 | 25，853 | 168 | 73，543 | 2，884 |
| Stanford Uni，（CA） | 8 | 8，558 | 419，515 | 33，903 | 680 | 151 | 78，377 | 41，382 | 105 | 20，100 | 3，074 |
| Uni，of California，Los Angeles | 9 | 8，467 | 495，238 | 175，207 | 596 | 125 | 53，154 | 28，197 | 97 | 64，072 | 1，843 |
| Uni，of Wisconsin，Madison | 10 | 7，934 | 766，032 | 54，164 | 553 | 229 | 43，282 | 23，459 | 148 | 110，368 | 2，640 |


| Cornell Uni, (NY) | 11 | 7,750 | 391,897 | 89,000 | 549 | 118 | 46,798 | 22,667 | 146 | 98,000 | 1,497 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Uni, of Chicago (IL) | 12 | 7,745 | 851,880 | 76,607 | 323 | 68 | 34,680 | 12,638 | 146 | 33,881 | 779 |
| Indiana Uni,, Bloomington | 13 | 7,618 | 631,617 | 103,228 | 445 | 94 | 36,282 | 16,061 | 168 | 90,061 | 2,446 |
| Uni, of Minnesota, Twin Cities | 14 | 6,878 | 307,082 | 85,075 | 394 | 93 | 40,734 | 18,118 | 100 | 36,527 | 2,300 |
| Uni, of Washington, Seattle <br> Campus | 15 | 6,844 | 387,281 | 61,847 | 458 | 135 | 36,814 | 19,345 | 138 | 116,00 | 2,128 |
| Princeton Uni, (NJ) | 16 | 6,779 | 763,158 | 51,746 | 410 | 97 | 48,970 | 18,789 | 116 | 13,492 | 671 |
| Uni, of North Carolina at <br> Chapel Hill | 17 | 6,017 | 510,110 | 60,713 | 452 | 143 | 41,124 | 18,944 | 146 | 60,214 | 2,543 |
| Ohio State Uni,, Main Campus | 18 | 6,016 | 269,097 | 78,903 | 396 | 62 | 35,833 | 16,642 | 168 | 39,030 | 1,476 |
| Duke Uni, (NC) | 19 | 5,829 | 144,939 | 61,964 | 369 | 117 | 37,331 | 16,444 | 161 | 9,250 | 2,638 |
| Uni, of Pennsylvania | 20 | 5,756 | 340,446 | 61,676 | 370 | 111 | 37,599 | 16,991 | 111 | 38,589 | 5,000 |
| Uni, of Pittsburgh, Main <br> Campus (PA) | 21 | 5,657 | 591,468 | 59,141 | 382 | 120 | 32,907 | 12,539 | 118 | 84,789 | 2,587 |
| Pennsylvania State Uni,, Main <br> Campus | 22 | 5,355 | 42,083 | 88,668 | 608 | 134 | 47,686 | 24,437 | 168 | 46,247 | 3,549 |
| Uni, of Arizona | 23 | 5,266 | 645,463 | 24,466 | 239 | 54 | 24,676 | 9,471 | 142 | 42,916 | 531 |
| Uni, of Virginia, Main <br> Campus | 24 | 5,158 | 374,731 | 163,032 | 379 | 101 | 35,930 | 16,921 | 149 | 76,424 | 2,886 |
| Rutgers Uni,, New <br> Brunswick/Piscataway | 25 | 5,081 | 195,296 | 74,031 | 305 | 66 | 23,918 | 13,651 | 108 | 53,419 | 1,216 |
| New York Uni, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Uni, of Georgia | 32 | 4,637 | 128,694 | 80,748 | 315 | 81 | 24,451 | 10,106 | 137 | 17,700 | 1,910 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arizona State Uni, at the <br> Tempe Campus | 33 | 4,422 | 302,266 | 87,566 | 332 | 93 | 28,571 | 12,266 | 149 | 75,265 | 2,053 |
| Uni, of Florida | 34 | 4,288 | 280,238 | 71,336 | 402 | 85 | 29,731 | 13,905 | 111 | 56,209 | 1,587 |
| Uni, of Southern California | 35 | 4,084 | 267,657 | 70,066 | 374 | 75 | 38,393 | 17,149 | 159 | 53,534 | 1,173 |
| Louisiana State Uni, and <br> Agricultural \& Mechanical <br> College | 36 | 4,067 | 346,389 | 101,738 | 192 | 52 | 15,874 | - | 113 | 32,228 | 712 |
| Texas A \& M Uni, | 37 | 3,934 | 461,225 | 86,737 | 359 | 85 | 34,150 | 12,329 | 146 | 49,683 | 880 |
| Uni, of Colorado at Boulder | 38 | 3,928 | 175,377 | 55,519 | 216 | 58 | 21,454 | 8,693 | 104 | 40,532 | 1,374 |
| Uni, of South Carolina, <br> Columbia | 39 | 3,885 | 91,940 | 21,505 | 275 | 71 | 19,743 | 7,975 | 140 | 31,415 | 2,969 |
| Johns Hopkins Uni, (MD) | 40 | 3,878 | $2,003,18$ <br> 4 | 74,701 | 338 | 80 | 32,881 | 13,282 | 120 | 19,373 | 1,593 |
| Washington Uni, in St, Louis <br> (MO) | 41 | 3,841 | 382,891 | 69,400 | 266 | 93 | 32,366 | 10,219 | 120 | 30,000 | 1,409 |
| Brown Uni, (RI) | 42 | 3,825 | 284,749 | 60,499 | 208 | 55 | 19,862 | 9,162 | 112 | 20,064 | 510 |
| Brigham Young Uni, (UT) | 43 | 3,743 | 337,546 | 69,361 | 383 | 85 | 27,167 | 12,126 | 105 | 82,238 | 3,070 |
| SUNY at Buffalo (NY) | 44 | 3,720 | 369,721 | 80,431 | 242 | 60 | 19,972 | 10,339 | 168 | 26,000 | 562 |
| Uni, of Kentucky | 45 | 3,720 | 406,014 | 73,251 | 287 | 79 | 21,414 | 8,257 | 135 | 57,316 | 1,734 |
| Miami Uni, (OH) | 46 | 3,718 | 511,114 | 91,229 | 146 | 41 | 9,488 | 4,652 | 168 | 28,862 | 1,529 |
| Uni, of Maryland, College <br> Park | 47 | 3,717 | 88,393 | 42,393 | 258 | 119 | 32,156 | 12,600 | 162 | 47,982 | 5,186 |
| Uni, of Rochester (NY) | 48 | 3,701 | 51,134 | 28,561 | 207 | 89 | 24,850 | 8,949 | 119 | 4,478 | 1,004 |
| Uni, of Cincinnati, Main <br> Campus (OH) | 49 | 3,632 | 459,542 | 86,363 | 185 | 49 | 21,466 | 7,729 | 95 | 26,700 | 1,600 |
| Uni, of Hawaii at Manoa | 50 | 3,559 | 193,133 | 55,276 | 237 | 64 | 17,860 | 9,108 | 135 | 31,380 | 1,791 |
| Uni, of Nebraska, Lincoln | 51 | 3,554 | 321,180 | 46,865 | 187 | 49 | 12,633 | 6,465 | 96 | 15,004 | 1,000 |


| Uni, of Missouri, Columbia | 52 | 3,494 | 25,434 | 38,364 | 198 | 55 | 17,025 | 6,386 | 114 | 36,426 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1,374 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida State Uni, | 53 | 3,484 | 477,476 | 62,093 | 250 | 67 | 18,563 | 7,090 | 142 | 37,531 |
| 1,219 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Carolina State Uni, at <br> Raleigh | 54 | 3,477 | 401,497 | 67,995 | 268 | 98 | 23,296 | 10,960 | 146 | 37,649 |
| Wayne State Uni, (MI) | 55 | 3,454 | 206,736 | 20,384 | 247 | 53 | 20,802 | 9,349 | 142 | 38,599 |
| Uni, of Notre Dame (IN) | 56 | 3,393 | 2,295 | 82,866 | 260 | 60 | 24,077 | 10,306 | 126 | 19,191 |
| Uni, of Utah | 57 | 3,373 | 132,859 | 48,777 | 370 | 69 | 26,290 | 12,877 | 123 | 39,724 |
| Uni, of California, San Diego | 58 | 3,373 | 231,216 | 34,800 | 367 | 63 | 30,748 | 16,330 | 114 | 51,347 |
| Uni, of Connecticut | 59 | 3,368 | 338,682 | 71,371 | 152 | 61 | 16,262 | 9,420 | 114 | 51,539 |
| Uni, of California, Davis | 60 | 3,354 | 504,736 | 50,442 | 231 | 53 | 18,652 | 9,568 | 95 | 33,978 |

## Appendix B: Matlab Code of Example 4.4

```
close all
clc
clear
x=load('2013.dat');
[n p]=size(x)
y=vertcat(ones(n/2,1),zeros(n/2,1));
h=diag(ones(n,1))-ones(n,n)./n; % Centering Matrix
y=mean(x);
a=x-repmat(y,n,1); % Substracts mean
d=diag(1./sqrt(sum(a.*a)'/n));
xs=h* x*d;
xs=xs./sqrt(n);
rr=xs'*xs
[gamma lambda1]=eigs(rr,p,'la') % Eigenvalues sorted by
size from largest to smallest(Note: Command generates a
Warning(Disregard it))
lambda=(lambda1*ones(p,1))'; % Turns Eigenvalue matrix
into a row vector
w1=gamma.*sqrt(repmat(lambda,p,1)) % coordinates of food
w=w1(:,1:2) % Two eigenvectors with
highest eigenvalues
z1=xs*gamma; % coordinates of families
pc=sqrt(n/p).*z1; % xs' scaled by square root of p
[f l]=size(pc)
z=pc(:,1:4);
aa=corr(pc);
%pc(:,1:4) =
rotatefactors(pc(:,1:4),'Method','varimax','Coeff',gamma)
s=sum(lambda);
e1=lambda/s;
r=horzcat(pc,a);
r=corr(r);
r1=r(11:20,1:4);
y=vertcat(ones(n/2,1),zeros(n/2,1));
%Plotting relative proportion of variance explained by PCs
nr=1:p;
figure(2)
scatter(nr,e1,75,'MarkerFaceColor','r')
xlabel('Index')
ylabel('Variance Explained')
title('colleage & uni. libraries')
xlim([0.5 6.5])
ylim([-0.02 1])
%plot(nr,e1,'r')
```

```
%Plot the correlation of the original variable with the PCs.
figure
hold on
%Plotting Eigenvalues
subplot(2,2,4,'FontSize',10)
gscatter(pc(:, 3) ,pc(:,4),y,'bb','oo',7,'off')
xlabel('PC3 ')
ylabel('PC4 ')
title('third vs. fourth PC')
%Plot of the first vs. second PC
subplot(2,2,1,'FontSize',10)
gscatter(pc(:,1),pc(:, 2),y,'bb','oo',7,'off')
xlabel('PC1 ')
ylabel('PC2 ')
title('First vs. Second PC')
%Plot of the second vs. third PC
subplot(2,2,2,'FontSize',10)
gscatter(pc(:, 2),pc(:, 3),y,'bb','oo', 7, 'off')
xlabel('PC2 ')
ylabel('PC3 ')
title('Second vs. Third PC')
%Plot of the first vs. third PC
subplot(2,2,3,'FontSize',10)
gscatter(pc(:, 1),pc(:, 3),y,'bb','oo',7,'off')
xlabel('PC1 ')
ylabel('PC3 ')
title('First vs. Third PC')
hold off
%Plot the correlation of the original variable with the PCS.
figure
hold on
xlim([-1.2 1.2])
ylim([-1.2 1.2])
line([-1.2 1.2],[0 0],'Color','k')
line([0 0],[1.2 -1.2],'Color','k')
title('colleage & uni. libraries')
xlabel('First PC')
ylabel('Second PC')
circle = rsmak('circle');
fnplt(circle)
text(r1(1,1),r1(1,2),'X1')
text(r1 (2,1),r1 (2,2),'X2')
text(r1(3,1),r1(3,2),'X3')
text(r1 (4,1),r1 (4,2),'X4')
text(r1 (5,1),r1 (5,2),'X5')
text(r1 (6,1),r1(6,2),'X6')
text(r1(7,1),r1(7,2),'X7')
```

```
text(r1 (8,1),r1(8,2),'X8')
text(r1 (9,1),r1(9,2),'X9')
text(r1(10,1),r1(10,2),'X10')
hold off
figure
hold on
xlim([-1.2 1.2])
ylim([-1.2 1.2])
line([-1.2 1.2],[0 0],'Color','k')
line([0 0],[1.2 -1.2],'Color','k')
title('colleage & uni. libraries')
xlabel('1st PC')
ylabel('3rd PC')
circle = rsmak('circle');
fnplt(circle)
text(r1(1,1),r1(1,3),'X1')
text(r1 (2,1),r1(2,3),'X2')
text(r1 (3,1),r1(3,3),'X3')
text(r1 (4,1),r1(4,3),'X4')
text(r1(5,1),r1(5,3),'X5')
text(r1(6,1),r1(6,3),'X6')
text(r1(7,1),r1(7,3),'X7')
text(r1(8,1),r1(8,3),'X8')
text(r1(9,1),r1(9,3),'X9')
text(r1(10,1),r1(10,3),'X10')
hold off
figure
hold on
xlim([-1.2 1.2])
ylim([-1.2 1.2])
line([-1.2 1.2],[0 0],'Color','k')
line([0 0],[1.2 -1.2],'Color','k')
title('colleage & uni. libraries')
xlabel('2nd PC')
ylabel('3rd PC')
circle = rsmak('circle');
fnplt(circle)
text(r1(1,2),r1(1,3),'X1')
text(r1 (2,2),r1 (2,3),'X2')
text(r1 (3,2),r1(3,3),'X3')
text(r1 (4,2),r1 (4,3),'X4')
text(r1 (5,2),r1 (5,3),'X5')
text(r1 (6,2),r1 (6,3),'X6')
text(r1 (7,2),r1(7,3),'X7')
text(r1 (8,2),r1 (8,3),'X8')
text(r1 (9,2),r1(9,3),'X9')
text(r1(10,2),r1(10,3),'X10')
hold off
figure
[X,Y,Z] = sphere(16);
```

```
xx = pc(:,1);
y = pc(:,2);
z = pc(:,3);
xlabel('First PC')
ylabel('Second PC')
zlabel('Third PC')
scatter3(xx,y,z,'MarkerFaceColor','g')
figure
hold on
xlim([-1.2 1.2])
ylim([-1.2 1.2])
line([-1.2 1.2],[0 0],'Color','k')
line([0 0],[1.2 -1.2],'Color','k')
title('colleage & uni. libraries')
xlabel('3rd PC')
ylabel('4th PC')
circle = rsmak('circle');
fnplt(circle)
text(r1(1,3),r1(1,4),'X1')
text(r1 (2,3),r1 (2,4),'X2')
text(r1(3,3),r1(3,4),'X3')
text(r1(4,3),r1(4,4),'X4')
text(r1 (5,3),r1 (5,4),'X5')
text(r1 (6,3),r1 (6,4),'X6')
text(r1 (7,3),r1(7,4),'X7')
text(r1 (8,3),r1 (8,4),'X8')
text(r1 (9,3),r1(9,4),'X9')
text(r1(10,3),r1(10,4),'X10')
hold off
max=load('max.dat')
pc1=max(:,1:2)
pc2=max(:,3:4)
namepc1=['Harvard '
    'Yale
    'columbia'
    'Taxas
    'Stanford'];
    %Universities
figure
hold on
title('Univesities');
xlabel('PC1');
ylabel('PC2');
xlim([-2 4]);
ylim([-2 2]);
line([-2 4],[0 0],'Color','r');
line([0 0],[-2 2],'Color','r');
for i=1:5
    text(pc1(i,1),pc1(i,2),namepc1(i,1:3),'FontSize',12);
```

end;

```
namew=['x1 '
            'x2 '
            'x3 '
            'x4 '
            'x5 '
            'x6 '
            'x7 '
            'x8 '
            'x9 '
            'x10'];
```

figure
hold on
title('variables');
xlabel('PC1');
ylabel('PC2');
$x \lim ([-0.21 .2])$;
$y \lim ([-0.70 .7])$;
line([-0.2 1.2], [0 0],'Color','b');
line([0 0],[1-1],'Color','b');
for $i=1: p$
text(w(i,1),w(i, 2), namew(i, 1:3), Color','r','FontSize', 12);
end;

