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#### Abstract

Theory of statistics and its application to data analysis in all fields of endeavor, forms the base of statistical analysis. While data collection and validation is not dealt with in this thesis, the importance of data on the analysis results is obvious. In general data are discrete observations in a continuous process. Number of variables involved is also very important. Hence, multivariate statistical analysis has gained importance, especially after computers could be used to process huge amounts of data.

In this thesis, simple and multivariate regression techniques, principal component analysis are explained in detail, and also used in the analysis of a real life data. Since the matrix algebra is implemented in all computations, a brief introduction to certain concepts of matrix algebra is also given under Chapter 3. Chapter 4 introduces some important concepts of multivariate linear regression theory, while Chapter 5 gives basic theoretical background to principal component analysis.

In the application section a data set consisting of 8 variables affecting the heating load of buildings is studied. Following careful examination of the variables and pairwise correlations, it was considered useful to reduce the number of variables to 5, all having a high correlation with the dependent variable. An attempt is made to estimate the predictor variables after the principal components were obtained. The methodology used proved to be a successful one as estimation errors were minimal.
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## öZ

İstatistik teorisi ve her alandaki veri analizine olan uygulaması istatistiğin temelini oluşturur. Veri toplanması, temizlenmesi veya geçerliliğnin saptanması bu tezin kapsamına alınmadı. Ancak verilerin istatistik analizi ve analiz sonuçları üzerindeki etkisi ortadadır. Veriler sürekli bir olayın ayrık ölçümlerinden elde edilen değerlerdir. Bu nedenle çok deişkenli istatistiksel analiz gittikçe önem kazanmakta ve özellikle bilgsayar yazılımlarını istatistik analizindeki kullanımı bu önemi dahada artırmaktadır.

Bu tezde basit ve çok değişkenli regresyon teknikleri, temel bileşenler analizi detaylı olarak açıklanmıştır. Teorik kısmın uygulaması çok değişkenli gerçek bir veri üzerinde yapılmıştır. Tüm uygulamalarda matris cebiri teorilerinden yararlanıldığı için, matris cebiri ile ilgili bazı temel kavramlar kısaca açıklanmıştır. Dördüncü kısımda çok değişkenli lineer regresyon teorisi ile ilgili kavramlar, beşinci kısımda ise temel bileşenler analizi konusunda bazı temel teorik detaylar verilmiştir.

Uygulama alanında ise binaların 1sı yüklenme kapasitelerini etkileyen 8 değişkenli bir işlemden elde edilen veriler incelenmiştir. Değişkenlerin titiz incelenmesi sonucunda isı yükleme kapasinde en etkin olan 5 değişkenin analiz işlminde kullanılmasına karar verilmiştir. Elde edilen temel bileşenler kullanılarak etkin olduğu varsayılan 5 değişkenin tahmini yapılmışıır. Tahmin sonuçlarının geçerli olduğu tahmin hatalarının küçüklüğü ile kanıtlanmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Matris cebiri, regresyon analizi, tahmin, tahmin edici, tahmin edilen, regresyon katsayıları, temel bileşenler analizi, özvektör, özdeğer.
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## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

In statistical analysis, regression has an important place. It involves a set of processes that establishes the relationship between dependent (response) and independent (predictor) variables. Regression analysis includes some techniques for modeling several variables, these techniques work on the relationship between dependent variable/s and one or more independent variables or predictors.

More specifically, regression analysis helps to realize how the value of the dependent variable changes if any one of the independent variables is varied, while the other predictors may be fixed.

In case the number of variables to be dealt with is very large, a technique known as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) can be used to reduce the number of variables to manageable levels, without much loss of the inherent characteristic of the process under study. The reduced number of variables or principal components that are made of linear combinations of the original variables can be used to obtain some idea about the process. They can also be associated with the original variables via linear regression.

This thesis deals with the multiple linear regression. Explains important parts of the multivariate regression, and explains how estimation is carried out, its accuracy and
associated errors. It also gives a short review of the theory of PCA and attempts to integrate the PCA results to multivariate regression.

Linear algebra plays a main role in multivariate statistics. Both in multivariate regression and the PCA application of algebraic concepts simplifies, otherwise tedious numeric computations. Hence a review of the matrix algebra is included in Chapter 3. Intense numeric computations necessitate the use of software such as SPSS and Matlab which are used in all computations in this thesis.

Since the regression analysis is based on the relationship between dependent and independent variables, the correlation coefficient between the variables is a necessity to determine the value of the regression analysis to be undertaken.

Theory related to linear simple and multivariate cases are explained in detail under Chapter 4. Derivation of the system that leads to the estimation of the regression parameters, and theorems proving some important properties of the regression process are given. The importance of the correlation coefficient between the response and explanatory variables is highlighted.

Principal components analysis is focused on explaining the variance-covariance structure of a set of variables by some linear combinations of these variables. The first aim of the principle components is dimension reduction and interpretation of the new variables named as principal components. Chapter 5 explains the theory and ideas behind this matter.

In Chapter 6 the analysis of a multivariate data with a response and 8 independent variables are analyzed using multivariate regression and PCA. The relation between the ratio of each principle component of total variation in the data, and the correlation coefficient and sum squares of error in linear regression are investigated The obtained results are summarized using tables and graphs, and interpreted.

## Chapter 2

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Work that relates to the technique of regression analysis can be traced back to the nineteenth century. The English mathematician Galton collected data of heights of individuals and the heights of their parents. After organizing frequency tables that classified these individuals, both by their height and by the average height of their parents, Galton came to the conclusion that tall parents usually had tall children and short parents usually had short children. He reached to the conclusion that the heights of children can be predicted by the heights of their parents [1].

In 1805 the idea of least-squares analysis was proposed by the French mathematician Adrien-Marie Legendre [2]. Similar ideas were also proposed by the American mathematician Robert Adrain in 1808, and by Gauss in 1809 [3]. In 1821 the theory of least squares was published by Guass. In addition, a version of the Gauss-Markov theorem was included with least of squares [4]. Udny Yule and Karl Pearson extended the work of Galton [1] and found that the response and explanatory variables represent the joint distribution that is assumed to be Gaussian [5] [6].

In 1922 and 1954 Fisher assumed that the conditional distribution of the response variable is Gaussian [7] [8], but the joint distribution was not. At this point the assumption of Fisher is close to the theory of Gauss in 1821 [4]. In the 1950s and 1960s electromechanical desk calculators were used to compute the regression
parameters. In the early years of electronic computation, a long time (as long as 24 hours) was needed to carry out simple regression analysis [9].

The problem of fitting a line when both $X$ and $Y$ variables have an error in measurement was mentioned by Karl Pearson. His solution to the regression problem in the presence of errors is named "major axis" of ellipse of data. $X$ and $Y$ covariation was taken into account [10]. When the units of the X and Y variables were changed the major axis was not uniquely determined: The slope and intercept would differ if the scales are changed. That was mentioned by Kermack and Haldane and suggested the use of "reduced major axis" in case both $X$ and $Y$ were transformed to standardized variables where the mean $\mu=0$ and the standard deviation $\sigma=1$ [11].

A method of weighing was developed by York [12]. This method was called the least squares cubic because the slope of the regression equation needs the solution of a cubic equation to determine the regression parameters. The geometric mean regression and the formulae for the asymmetrical confidence limits for the slope of the geometric mean regression was shown by Ricker [13].

Sprint and Dolby gave some comments about the geometric mean regression, especially when the selection of the response and regressor variables is problematic. If the line splits the minor angle between the two models, $Y$-on- $X$ and $X$-on- $Y$, this line is assumed as the least squares bisector While the geometric mean regression and the least squares bisector may have a small difference in slope that is probably not statistically significant [14].

Sokal and Rohlf wrote in great details a textbook is named "Biometry" about the issues of model-I (where $Y$ is random and assumed to depend on $X$ that can be random or fixed) versus model-II regression. Laws presented an extensive chapter about the techniques of model-II regression [15].

Regression analysis continues to be an area of active research. In recent decades, new methods have been developed for regression, regression involving correlated responses such as time series and growth curves, regression in which the predictor (independent variable) or response variables are curves, images, graphs, or other complex data objects, regression methods accommodating various types of missing data, nonparametric regression, Bayesian methods for regression, regression in which the predictor variables are measured with an error.

Principal component analysis (PCA) is essential in multivariate data analysis field. Karl Pearson proposed the principle components analysis in 1901 as an analogue of the principal axis theorem in mechanics [16]. In 1923 Fisher and MacKenzie mentioned PCA as more suitable than analysis of variance for the modelling of the response in regression analysis [17]. Harold Hotelling developed (PCA) independently and named it in the 1930s depending on the field of application [18].

In 1966 Fisher and MacKen- zie also outlined the NIPALS algorithm (Nonlinear estimation by iterative least squares) [19]. It is the most commonly used methods for calculating the principal components of a data set. It gives more accurate results when compared with the SVD of the covariance matrix [21] [22], but is slower in computational aspects.

Starting in late 1970s PCA started taking place in many textbooks on statistical data analysis [20]. In his book Jolliffe (2002) discusses the differences between PCA and factor analysis [23]. In most cases (PCA) is used as a tool in exploratory data analysis and for providing predictive models.

The terms of component scores or factor scores were usually used to discuss the results of the PCA. The variable values were transformed corresponding to a particular data point. Each standardized original variable should be multiplied to load the weight and get the component score [24].

PCA has a connection with canonical correlation analysis that is called (CCA). CCA defines coordinate systems that show the cross-covariance between two datasets whilst PCA defines a new orthogonal coordinate system that shows variance in a single dataset. [25] [26].

## Chapter 3

## MATRIX ALGEBRA APPLIED IN STATISTICS

The establishment of a relationship between the multivariate regression results, with those obtained after dimension reduction using principal component analysis is a challenging problem. While attempting to establish such a relationship, mathematical tools such as matrix algebra will simplify the otherwise long set of computations. Hence a review of matrix algebra as needed in this study is given.

### 3.1 Matrix Algebra and Statistics

When large volumes of data are to be processed, computer software packages such as Matlab and Mathematica are extensively used for the analysis of such data. The use of matrix operations in the design of algorithms for the analysis of such data is essential.

### 3.1.1 Definition of Vector and Matrix

Definition 3.1. The vector is an array of $n$ real elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Statistically, it represents the data values for a variable and is written as

$$
\mathbf{x}_{n \times 1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right] . \text { It has } n \text { rows and one column. }
$$

Its transpose is $\mathbf{x}_{1 \times n}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}x_{1} & x_{2} & \ldots & x_{n}\end{array}\right]$.
Definition 3.2. A matrix is made up of vectors of the same size, hence if $p$ vectors of the same size are used the rectangular matrix of size $n \times p$ is obtained. It means the
matrix has $n$ rows and $p$ columns. Statistically it is very convenient to represent a multivariate data set using a rectangular matrix $\mathbf{X}_{n \times p}$.
$\mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1 p} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2 p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n 1} & x_{n 2} & \cdots & x_{n p}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{p}\end{array}\right]$

### 3.1.2 Some Important Statistical Measures and their Representation by Vectors

 and Matricesa) The sample mean

Given a set of observations $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, the sample mean is defined by
$\bar{x}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}}{n}$

When $p$ variables with $n$ observations are available, then the mean vector $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ can be represented in the given form
$\overline{\mathbf{x}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\bar{x}_{1} \\ \bar{x}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_{i} \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_{p}\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}x_{11} & x_{12} & \ldots & x_{1 j} & \ldots & x_{1 p} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \ldots & x_{2 j} & \ldots & x_{2 p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ x_{i 1} & x_{i 2} & \ldots & x_{i j} & \ldots & x_{i p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ x_{n 1} & x_{n 2} & \ldots & x_{n j} & \ldots & x_{n p}\end{array}\right]$ Where $\mathbf{1}_{n \times 1}$ is the vector of 1 s .
b) Sample variance/covariance and variance-covariance matrix

Theoretically the expectation of the squared deviation of a random variable from its mean is called variance and defined as $\sigma^{2}=\mathrm{E}\left[(x-\mu)^{2}\right]$. The single sample variance is given by $s^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{n-1}$.

When there is more than one variable in question, the covariation between pairs of variables is to be considered. In probability theory the covariance between $p$ pairs of random variables is defined as:
$\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\sigma_{X_{i} X_{j}}=E\left[\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X_{i}}\right)\left(X_{j}-\mu_{X_{j}}\right)\right]$ Where $i, j=1,2, \ldots, p$.
It can be shown that $-\infty<\sigma_{i j}<\infty$ when $i \neq j$, and $\sigma_{i j} \geq 0$ When $i=j$
When $i=j \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{i}\right)=\sigma_{X_{i} X_{i}}=\sigma_{X_{i}}^{2}=E\left[\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X_{i}}\right)\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X_{i}}\right)\right]=E\left[\left(X_{i}-\mu_{X_{i}}\right)^{2}\right]$. $\sum=E\left[(X-\mu)(X-\mu)^{\prime}\right]$ $=E\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{1}-\mu_{1} \\ x_{2}-\mu_{2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{p}-\mu_{p}\end{array}\right]\left[x_{1}-\mu_{1}, x_{2}-\mu_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}-\mu_{p}\right]\right)$ $=E\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)^{2} & \left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right) & \ldots & \left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right)\left(X_{P}-\mu_{P}\right) \\ \left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right) & \left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)^{2} & \ldots & \left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right)\left(X_{P}-\mu_{P}\right) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \left(X_{P}-\mu_{P}\right)\left(X_{1}-\mu_{1}\right) & \left(X_{P}-\mu_{P}\right)\left(X_{2}-\mu_{2}\right) & \ldots & \left(X_{P}-\mu_{P}\right)^{2}\end{array}\right]$

The result of the covariance computations can be expressed as the covariance matrix given below

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{X_{i} X_{j}}=\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} & \cdots & \sigma_{1 p} \\
\sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} & \cdots & \sigma_{2 p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_{p 1} & \sigma_{p 2} & \cdots & \sigma_{p p}
\end{array}\right]
$$

This is a diagonal and symmetric matrix with elements of the diagonal representing the variances of the particular variable, i.e. $\sigma_{i i}=\sigma_{i}^{2}$. It can also be shown that when two random variables $X_{i}, X_{j}$ are independent, $\sigma_{X_{i} X_{j}}=0$, but the vice versa case is not always true.

Statistically the covariance between $p$ pairs of variables with $n$ observations is given by
$\mathrm{S}_{X_{i} X_{j}}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{k i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{k j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)}{n-1}$ where $i, j=1,2, \ldots, p$
Variance-covariance matrix or covariance matrix is a matrix where the elements in the $j, k$ position are the covariances $\left(s_{j k}\right)$ between the $j^{\text {th }}$ and $k^{\text {th }}$ elements of a random vector, and the elements in a $j \times j$ position are the variances $\left(s_{j j}\right)$. Variance-covariance matrix maps a linear operator $c$ of the random variables $X$ onto a vector that is consisted of covariances for those variables.
$\mathbf{S}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}s_{11} & s_{12} & \ldots & s_{1 p} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & \ldots & s_{2 p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{p 1} & s_{p 2} & \ldots & s_{p p}\end{array}\right]$
$s_{j k}=s_{k j}$, since the covariance matrix is symmetric. In addition, it is positivesemidefinite matrix which means that, the eigenvalues of this matrix are either positive or zero
c) Correlation coefficient and correlation matrix

Correlation coefficient plays an important role in regression analysis as it is used to measure the strength of the relationship between the variables. The range of $\rho$ is located in the interval [-1:1]. $\rho A$ value close to -1 or 1 indicates the very strong linear relation between the variables. As $\rho$ approaches 0 the strength of linear correlation diminishes and $\rho=0$ means no linear correlation between the two variables.

The coefficient of determination $\rho^{2}$ is the squared value of $\rho$ and it indicates the rate of the total variation in the values of the dependent variable $y$ that can be calculated by a linear relationship with the values of the random variable $x$

The population correlation matrix is denoted as $\boldsymbol{\rho}$
$\boldsymbol{\rho}=\frac{\sigma_{x_{i} x_{j}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{x_{i} x_{i}} \sigma_{x_{j} x_{j}}}}$

Where $\sigma_{x_{i} x_{j}}$ is the covariance between the variables $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$, while $\sigma_{x_{i} x_{i}}$ and $\sigma_{x_{j} x_{j}}$ are the variances of the $i^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{\text {th }}$ variables.

The amount of a linear association between the random variables $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ is measured by the elements of the matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$.

Let the population correlation matrix be a $p \times p$ symmetric matrix.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\rho}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\sigma_{11}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}} \sqrt{\sigma_{11}}} & \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}} \sqrt{\sigma_{22}}} & \ldots & \frac{\sigma_{1 p}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}} \sqrt{\sigma_{p p}}} \\
\frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}} \sqrt{\sigma_{22}}} & \frac{\sigma_{22}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{22}} \sqrt{\sigma_{22}}} & \ldots & \frac{\sigma_{2 p}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{22}} \sqrt{\sigma_{p p}}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\sigma_{1 p}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{11}} \sqrt{\sigma_{p p}}} & \frac{\sigma_{2 p}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{22}} \sqrt{\sigma_{p p}}} & \ldots & \frac{\sigma_{p p}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{p p}} \sqrt{\sigma_{p p}}}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \boldsymbol{\rho}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & \rho_{12} & \ldots & \rho_{1 p} \\
\rho_{12} & 1 & \ldots & \rho_{2 p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\rho_{1 p} & \rho_{2 p} & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The diagonal elements of the population correlation matrix indicate the correlations between the random variables with themselves, hence are $\rho_{i i}=1$. The off-diagonal
elements of $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ indicate the correlations between $\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ where $i \neq j$. the matrix $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is symmetric, i.e. $\rho_{i j}=\rho_{j i}$.

The sample correlation matrix is denoted by $\mathbf{R}$. It is obtained an analogous to the covariance matrix with correlations in place of covariances. Linear correlation between the ith and jth variables is computed by
$r_{i j}=\frac{s_{x_{i} x_{j}}}{\sqrt{s_{x_{i} x_{i}} s_{x_{j} x_{j}}}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}_{i}\right)^{2}\left(x_{j}-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{2}}}$
$R=\left(r_{j k}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}1 & r_{12} & \ldots & r_{1 p} \\ r_{21} & 1 & \ldots & r_{2 p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{p 1} & r_{p 2} & \ldots & 1\end{array}\right]$

## Example 3.1

A data set consisting of 53 observations for each of the 5 variables is used for the computation and explanation of the relationship between the variables.
$X_{1}$ : Average of death per 1000 residents.
$X_{2}$ : Availability of doctors per 100,000 residents.
$X_{3}:$ Hospitals availability per 100,000 residents.
$X_{4}$ : Income per person each year in thousand dollars.
$X_{5}$ : Population density per square mile.

Data are given in Appendix, Table 3.1 (row data residents, income and density)
Mean for each variable:
$\bar{x}_{1}=9.3057, \bar{x}_{2}=116.0943, \bar{x}_{3}=589.7925, \quad \bar{x}_{4}=9.4358, \quad \bar{x}_{5}=110.6415$.
Standard deviation for each variable:
$s_{1}=1.6626 \quad s_{2}=37.8866 \quad s_{3}=332.6183 \quad s_{4}=1.0754 \quad s_{5}=47.1797$.

Then to compare the coefficient of variation, $(s / \bar{x})$ is calculated, the respective coefficients of the variation for the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ are $0.18,0.33,0.56$, 0.11 and 0.43 . In general, the coefficient variation gives an idea about how the set of data points is distributed around the mean or it shows the measurement of the variation in points from the mean.

For instance, the coefficient variation for the first variable $C V_{1}=0.18$ that means the average of death per 1000 residents has variation $18 \%$ from its mean ( $\bar{x}_{1}=9.3057$ ), and the same for the other variables. As a result the experimenter would choose $C V_{4}$ that indicates the income per person each year in thousand dollars because it gives the lowest variation (0.11) from its mean $\left(\bar{x}_{4}=9.4358\right)$ so that the minimize risk is the best to be chosen. The correlation matrix for the same data is computed as:

$$
\mathbf{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0.1158 & 0.1106 & -0.1720 & -0.2776 \\
0.1158 & 1 & 0.2956 & 0.4333 & -0.0199 \\
0.1106 & 0.2956 & 1 & 0.0275 & 0.1866 \\
-0.1720 & 0.4333 & 0.0275 & 1 & 0.1287 \\
-0.2776 & -0.0199 & 0.1866 & 0.1287 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The highest correlation between $x_{2}$ and $x_{4} r_{2,4}=0.4333$ that means there is a nearly strong positive relationship between the availability of the doctors and the rate of the income per person each year. The lowest correlation between $x_{2}$ and $x_{5}$ $r_{2,5}=-0.0199$ that means almost there is no relationship between the doctors and the availability of the population density per square mile.

The covariance matrix is obtained

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
2.7640 & 7.2917 & 61.1550 & -0.3075 & -21.7749 \\
7.2918 & 1435.4 & 3725.4 & 17.6542 & -35.6386 \\
61.1550 & 3725.4 & 110630 & 9.8383 & 2928.5 \\
-0.3075 & 17.7645 & 9.8383 & 1.1566 & 6.5323 \\
-21.7749 & -35.6386 & 2928.5 & 6.5323 & 2225.9
\end{array}\right]
$$

From the previous matrix, the variances between the variables with themselves are located in diagonal elements. For instance, $s_{11}=2.7640$. The off-diagonal elements indicate the covariances between the variables $\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ where $i \neq j$. Remembering the fact that the magnitude of the covariance value does not indicate the strength of the relationship between the concerned variables, is evident in the $\mathbf{S}$ matrix. For example the variables with the highest linear correlation $r_{2,4}=0.4333$ does not record the highest covariance value which is evident from the $\mathbf{S}$ matrix.

### 3.2 Using Matrices in Statistics

### 3.2.1 Regression Analysis

Using matrices in regression analysis is essential to find the linear regression model in case the number of independent variables exceeds two. Matrix theory can simplify the mathematical operations in estimating the parameters of the multiple regression model.

### 3.2.2 General Linear Model by Using Matrices

The general linear model for a process governed by $k$ variables is defined as
$Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k i}+\varepsilon_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, n$

This regression model represents $n$ equations, showing the dependent variable's (response) relation to the independent variables via the coefficients $\beta_{j} ; j=1,2, \ldots, k$, Then, the linear system given in equation (3.1) can be written in matrix format as
$\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, where

$$
\mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right], \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & x_{11} & x_{21} & \ldots & x_{k 1} \\
1 & x_{12} & x_{22} & \ldots & x_{k 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{1 n} & x_{2 n} & \ldots & x_{k n}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{\beta}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{0} \\
\beta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\beta_{k}
\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{1} \\
\varepsilon_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\varepsilon_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The vector $\mathbf{y}$ represents the dependent variables $y_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then any
$y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k} x_{k}+\varepsilon$
The matrix $\mathbf{X}$ must have 1's in the first column, the other columns representing the observations for the independent variables,

The vector $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ indicates the regression coefficients.
The vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ indicates the random errors.

Using the data collected in the process under study, the coefficients $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ are used to estimate the true but unknown coefficients $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. In doing so, it is desired to minimize the sum of the square of the errors between the estimated value of the response variables and their true values. The error can be expressed as

$$
\operatorname{SSE}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-b_{0}-b_{1} x_{1 i}-b_{2} x_{2 i}-\ldots-b_{k} x_{k i}\right)^{2}
$$

In matrix format the SSE becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S S E=(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x b})^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x b})=\left(\mathbf{y}^{\prime}-(\mathbf{x b})^{\prime}\right)(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x b}) \\
& =\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{x b}-(\mathbf{x b})^{\prime} \mathbf{y}+(\mathbf{x b})^{\prime} \mathbf{x b}=\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{2} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{b} \\
& \mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{2} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}=0 \\
& -\mathbf{2} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{2} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X b}=0 \\
& -\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X b}=0 \\
& \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X b}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the result reduces to the solution of the parameters $b$ in the equation
$\left(X^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right) \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$
Let $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$, then
$\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}n & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2 i} & \ldots & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} x_{2 i} & \ldots & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} x_{k i} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} x_{1 i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} x_{2 i} & \ldots & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{2}{ }_{k i}\end{array}\right]$
$\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}g_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \\ g_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} y_{i} \\ \vdots \\ g_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} y_{i}\end{array}\right]$

Then the estimators $\boldsymbol{b}$ of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ are calculated from: $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{g}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$

### 3.2.3 Some Properties of the Least Squares Method by Using Matrices

We obtain the estimators $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ under the assumption on the random errors $\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{k}\right)$, if we assume those errors are distributed with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^{2}$, Then it is possible to show the parameters $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ as unbiased estimators to $\left(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}\right)$ respectively.

The matrix $\mathbf{A}^{-1} \sigma^{2}$ represents the variance-covariance matrix of the estimators, where the main diagonal elements display the variances of the estimators $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$ and the covariances of the off-diagonal elements. In case we have $k$ independent variables (predictors), The inverse of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ gives

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{00} & c_{01} & \ldots & c_{0 k} \\
c_{10} & c_{11} & \ldots & c_{1 k} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{k 0} & c_{k 1} & \ldots & c_{k k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Diagonal and off-diagonal elements can be defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{b i}^{2}=c_{i i} \sigma^{2}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, k \\
& \sigma_{b i b j}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(b_{i}, b_{j}\right)=c_{i j} \sigma^{2}, i \neq j .
\end{aligned}
$$

$S^{2}$ Is unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ that can be obtained by : $s^{2}=\frac{S S E}{n-k-1}$, where
$S S E=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}$. Where $\hat{y}_{l}=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+b_{k} x_{k}$.

### 3.2.4 Principal Components Analysis

Principal components analysis (PCA) is the method used in dimension reduction for data sets with a large number of variables. This reduction is performed by employing various techniques provided by matrix algebra. Particularly correlation or variancecovariance matrix is used in determining the principal components. Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of these squares and symmetric matrices are computed and used in the process.

### 3.2.5 Principal Components Analysis by Using Variance - Covariance Matrix

Let the random vector $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{p}\right]$ represents a dataset with $p$ variables, having a variance-covariance matrix denoted by $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ The eigenvalue - eigenvector pairs of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ are $\left(\lambda_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\lambda_{p}, \mathbf{e}_{p}\right)$ where $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p}$. The principal components $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{P}\right)$ are then obtained as linear combinations initial variables as
$Y_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime} X=e_{11} X_{1}+e_{12} X_{2}+\ldots+e_{1 p} X_{P}$ $Y_{2}=\mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime} X=e_{21} X_{1}+e_{22} X_{2}+\ldots+e_{2 p} X_{P}$
$Y_{P}=\mathbf{e}_{p}^{\prime} X=e_{p 1} X_{1}+e_{p 2} X_{2}+\ldots+e_{p p} X_{P}$
Then the variance and covariance of a principal component are computed as
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}=\lambda_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, p$
$\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{k}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{k}=0, i \neq k$

## Chapter 4

## REGRESSION ANALYSIS

### 4.1 General Linear Regression

In statistics, the general linear model (GLM) provides the flexibility of generalizing the ordinary linear regression. This is achieved by permitting the linear model to be associated with the response variable via a link function and by permitting the magnitude of the variance of every measurement to be a function of its expected value.

Regression analysis is a set of statistical operations to estimate the relationships between the dependent and independent variables. However, this should not be taken as to mean that the dependent variable is caused by the independent variable/s. The linear regression tries to calculate the intercept and slope/s of the linear line/s by minimizing the sum of the squares of the errors between each observation and its corresponding estimated value via the regression equation. If the scatter diagram of the data does not exhibit some kind of linearity, then the nonlinear regression model can be used. The independent variable/s can be either continuous or categorical.

### 4.1.1 Some Assumptions in Linear Regression

1. Linearity

The concept of linearity means that the mean of the dependent (response) variable can be written as a linear combination of the independent variables their coefficients being computed by the regression model.

## 2. Constant variance

This assumption leads to equality of the variances in the errors of the values of the dependent variable.
3. Independence of errors

This assumes that the errors of the dependent variables have no correlation with each other.

### 4.1.2 General Linear Regression Model

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$ be predictor variables and $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}$ response variables, then we have the following general linear model
$Y_{1}=\beta_{01}+\beta_{11} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k 1} x_{k}+\varepsilon_{1}$
$Y_{2}=\beta_{02}+\beta_{12} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k 2} x_{k}+\varepsilon_{2}$
$\vdots=\vdots \quad \vdots$
$Y_{m}=\beta_{0 m}+\beta_{1 m} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{k m} x_{k}+\varepsilon_{m}$
where: $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{k}$ are regression coefficients, $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m}$ are random errors.

### 4.1.3 Cases Where There is a Demand to Use the Linear Regression Analysis

There are many applications that fall into one of the following cases:
1.In case the target is prediction, forecasting or error reduction.
2.If the goal is to explain the variation in the dependent variable (response).
3.To measure the strength of the relationship between the response/s and predictor/s.

### 4.1.4 Three Types of Linear Regression Analysis

There are three types of the linear regression according to the number of variables (dependent and independent variable/s)

1. Simple linear regression: It includes one dependent variable that is called response and one independent variable that is called regressor.
2. Multiple linear regression: One dependent (response) variable and several independent (regressor) variables.
3. Multivariate multiple linear regression: Several dependent variables and several independent variables.

### 4.2 Simple Linear Regression

Definition: It is a statistical approach modeling the linear relationship between the response ( $y$ ) and predictor ( $x$ ) variables. Determination of the unknown parameters that establishes the linear function is crucial. However, simple linear regression can only determine the linear relationship between the response and one predictor variable.

### 4.2.1 Simple Linear Regression Model

$Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\varepsilon$,
where $\beta_{0}$ is the intercept, $\beta_{1}$ is the slope, $\varepsilon$ is the random error, random error is assumed to be normally distributed with, $E(\varepsilon)=0, \operatorname{Var}(\varepsilon)=\sigma^{2}$, where $\beta, \sigma^{2}$ are unknown parameters, $\sigma^{2}$ is called residual variance, $\varepsilon$ is called random error that has constant variance. The existence of the random error in the system ensures that the model is not a simple deterministic equation, but has a distribution function that is associated with. Figure 4.1 illustrates the relationship between the predictor and the response variables.


Figure 4.1: $\beta_{0}$ is the Intercept, $\beta_{1}$ is the Slope

### 4.2.2 Estimated Regression Model

While the true but unknown simple linear regression model is given by $Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\varepsilon$, its estimator is
$y=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{i}+e_{i}$,
where $b_{0}, b_{1}$ are regression coefficients, $e_{i}$ is the residual error. Using data collected from a certain process, then the estimated value of $y$ and coefficients will be $\hat{y}, \hat{b}_{0}, \hat{b}_{1}$. Then, $\hat{y}=\hat{b}_{0}+\hat{b}_{1} x$ can be written. As the residual error $e_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ has mean zero, it is omitted.

The error $\varepsilon_{i}$ also called total error is made up of two components. Namely the explained error $e_{i}$ and unexplained or random parts that cannot be explained by the regression equation obtained from the data. When the squares of these are considered

Sum of the squares of the explained errors: $\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\operatorname{SSE}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}$.
Sum of the squares of the unexplained errors: $\operatorname{SSR}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)$.
Sum of the squares of the total errors: $S S T=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$.
Then the following can be written

$$
S S T=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=S S E+S S R=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}
$$



Figure 4.2: Illustrates the Difference between the Random and Residual Errors

### 4.2.3 The Method of Least Squares

## Theorem 4.1

The linear equation to be obtained from available data must ensure that SSE value is minimized $\operatorname{Min} \operatorname{SSE}=\operatorname{Min} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}$.

## Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{y / x}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x \\
& y_{i}=\mu_{y / x}+\varepsilon_{i} \\
& y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, n \\
& \varepsilon_{i}=y_{i}-\mu_{y / x}=y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i} \\
& S S E=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& \frac{\partial(\mathrm{SSE})}{\partial \beta_{0}}=-2 \sum_{I=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i}\right)=0 \\
& \frac{\partial(\mathrm{SSE})}{\partial \beta_{1}}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\beta_{1} x_{i}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
$n \hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}$
$\hat{\beta}_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}$

The equations (4.4.1) are called the normal equations. Solution of the normal equations gives the $\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}$ values that minimize $\operatorname{SSE}$.
$\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{S_{x y}}{S_{x x}}$
$S_{x y}$ And $S_{x x}$ can be rewritten as follows
$S_{x y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-n \overline{x y}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{n}$
$S_{x x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-n(\bar{x})^{2}$
Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{y}-\hat{\beta}_{1} \bar{x}  \tag{4.1.5}\\
& \hat{y}_{i}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{4.1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Also the residual error can be found for each value of the estimator $y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}=y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{4.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example 4.1

The following data given in Table 4.1 represents the height in cm and weight in kg of 12 people.

Table 4.1: Height, Weight Data for 12 Randomly Selected People.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\hat{Y}_{1}$ | 150 | 150 | 150 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 155 | 160 | 160 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| $\hat{Y}_{2}$ | 50 | 61 | 54 | 54 | 63 | 59 | 61 | 68 | 65 | 77 | 82 | 72 |

The following will be done:

- Determination of the regression coefficients $\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)$ respectively
- The equation of the regression line.
- Draw the line on a scatter plot.

For the calculation of the parameters and simple linear regression equation see Appendix B, table 4.2
$\bar{x}=63.92, \bar{y}=159.58$.

We take the results from the Table (4.2), in Appendix and apply the following equations to determine the regression equation.
$S_{x y}=123365-\frac{(1915)(767)}{12}=964.583$
$S_{x x}=306675-\frac{(1915)^{2}}{12}=1072.917$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{964.583}{1072.917}=0.899  \tag{4.1.2}\\
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=63.92-(0.899)(159.58)=-79.6  \tag{4.1.5}\\
& \hat{y}=\hat{\beta}_{0}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x  \tag{4.1.6}\\
& \hat{y}=-79.6+0.899 x
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 4.3: Scatter Plot for Data

### 4.2.4 Mean and Variance of Estimators

## Theorem 4.2

Mean and variance of the estimators $\hat{\beta}_{0}, \hat{\beta}_{1}$ are
$\mu \hat{\beta}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \mu_{i}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}$
$\sigma^{2} \hat{\beta}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \sigma_{Y_{i}}^{2}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right) \sigma_{Y_{i}}^{2}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\right]^{2}}$

## Proof

If we have $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ with a normal distribution with means $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots \mu_{n}$ and variances, $\sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}^{2}$ respectively, then the random variable $Y=a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}+\ldots+a_{n} X_{n}$ which is a linear combination of the random variables $X_{i}$ has a normal distribution with, Mean, $\mu_{Y}=a_{1} \mu_{1}+a_{2} \mu_{2}+\ldots+a_{n} \mu_{n}$

And variance, $\sigma_{Y}^{2}=a_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+\ldots+a_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n}^{2}$
Since the estimator
$\beta_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right) Y_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} Y_{i}$
Where $c_{i}=\frac{\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}, \quad \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, n$
Based on the properties of linear combination of random variables, we can write
Since $\beta_{1}=c_{1} y_{1}+c_{2} y_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} y_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} Y_{i}$
And since $Y$ follows the normal distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu \beta_{1}=c_{1} \mu_{1}+c_{2} \mu_{2}+\ldots+c_{n} \mu_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \mu_{i} \\
& \sigma^{2} \beta_{1}=c_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}+\ldots+c_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}^{2} \partial_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ has $\mathrm{N}\left(\mu \hat{\beta}_{1}, \sigma^{2} \hat{\beta}_{1}\right)$, distribution parameters being
$\mu \hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)} ; \sigma^{2} \hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right) \sigma_{Y_{i}}^{2}}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\right]^{2}}$.

Following the same logic, it can be shown that $\beta_{0}$ is normally distributed with parameters
$\mu \hat{\beta}_{0}=\beta_{0}$, and $\sigma^{2} \hat{\beta}_{0}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}{n \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \sigma^{2}$

### 4.2.5 Correlation Coefficient

This is denoted by $\rho$ and in simple linear regression it is used to measure the strength of the linear relationship between the response and the predictor variables. It also shows whether the relationship is positive or negative linear one.

Sample correlation coefficient $r$ can be found using the equation (3.10).

### 4.3 Multiple Linear Regression

Multiple linear regression analysis applies when there is more than one explanatory variable and one continuous dependent variable. Each response variable in the linear regression equation has its own equation with coefficients $\beta_{i}$.

### 4.3.1 The Classical Model of Multiple Linear Regression

The general linear model is defined in (3.12).
In matrix format $\mathbf{y}_{n \times 1}=\mathbf{X}_{n \times(k+1)}+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(k+1) \times 1}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n \times 1}$,
where $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the regression coefficients vector, $\mathbf{y}$ represent the observations of the response variable, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is the vector residuals.

In general, it is desired to have $(n>k)$.

### 4.3.2 Estimation of the Regression Coefficients (Method of Least Squares)

As we have mentioned before in chapter 3, it is not an easy job to estimate the parameters $b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ in multiple linear regression by using the method of least squares (4.2.3), which gives $k$ number of equations as following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n b_{0}+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}+b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2 i}+\ldots+b_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \\
& b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}^{2}+b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} x_{2 i}+\ldots+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} x_{k i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} y_{i} \\
& \vdots \\
& b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i}+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} x_{1 i}+b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} x_{2 i}+\ldots+b_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} y_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the regression coefficients, the linear equations (4.3) have to be solved simultaneously. For a large number of variables the solution of the system becomes difficult. Hence the use of matrix algebra makes the solution easier, as it is explained in the following section.

### 4.3.3 Least Square Estimation (by Using Matrices)

The purpose is to determine the values of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and error variance $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}$ from available data. Assume $\mathbf{b}$ are the trail values for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Let $y_{i}-b_{0}-b_{1} x_{1 i}-b_{2} x_{2 i}-\ldots-b_{k} x_{k i}$ be the expected difference if the values of the vector $\mathbf{b}$ are correct. However, this difference is not zero since the response value fluctuates about their expected value resulting in errors. The method that determines the coefficients vector $\mathbf{b}$ such that the sum of the squares of the errors is minimum is called the "least squares".

## Theorem 4.3

Let $S$ (b) be the function representing the difference between the true and estimated values of a response variable in a multivariate linear regression problem.
$S(\mathbf{b})=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-b_{0}-b_{1} x_{i 1}-b_{2} x_{i 2}-\ldots-b_{k} x_{i k}\right)^{2}$
$S(\mathbf{b})=(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X b})^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X b})$ Can be written. Show that the least squares estimate $\mathbf{b}$ or $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ can be obtained when $\mathbf{X}$ has full rank $k+1 \leq n$ and is given by $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$.

## Proof

The least square estimation of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in (4.4) can be given as $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$
Let $\hat{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{H y}$, where $\mathbf{H}$ is the hat matrix. $\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$
The residuals can then be expressed as $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{y}-\hat{\mathbf{y}}=(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}) \mathbf{y}$

Satisfies $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{0}$.
Residual sum of squares
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-b_{0}-b_{1} x_{1 i}-b_{2} x_{2 i}-\ldots-b_{k} x_{k i}\right)^{2}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$
$=\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right] \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$
Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X y}$
Then $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{y}-\hat{\mathbf{y}}=\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right] \mathbf{y}$
$\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right]$ satisfies

1) $\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right]$ symmetric.
2) $\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right]$
$=\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{2 X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}+\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$
$=\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right] \quad$ idempotent
3) $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$

So, $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}-\hat{\mathbf{y}})=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right] \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}$, then $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{0}$.
In addition, $\hat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right]\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right] \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{y}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}\right] \mathbf{y}$
$=\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}-\mathbf{y}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$.
To explain the equation for $\hat{\beta}$, the following can be written
$\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X b}=\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{X b}=\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b})$
$S(\mathbf{b})=(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X b})^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X b})$
$=(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})+(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b})^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b})+\mathbf{2}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^{\prime} \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b})$
$=(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^{\prime}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})+(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b})^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b})$
As $(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{X b})^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=\hat{\mathbf{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{0}^{\prime}$
The first part in $S(\mathbf{b})$ does not depend on $\mathbf{b}$ while the second part represents $\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b})$. Also $\mathbf{X}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{b}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ if $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \neq \mathbf{b}$ As $\mathbf{X}$ is full rank. This leads to the minimum sum of squares being unique and occurring for $\mathbf{b}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y} . Q E D$

### 4.3.4 Sampling Properties of the Estimators in the Classical Least Squares

1. In multiple linear regression the estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}$ has

$$
E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\boldsymbol{\beta}, \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}
$$

2. And the residual errors $e_{i}$ have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})=\mathbf{0}, \operatorname{cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})=\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right) . \\
\text { 3. } & E\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)=(n-k-1) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 4.4

Given $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{X}+\boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ with $E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})=\mathbf{0}, \operatorname{cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})=\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2} \mathbf{I}$. And $\mathbf{X}$ has full rank $k+1$, then for any vector of constants $\mathbf{h}$ the estimator $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=h_{0} \hat{\beta}_{0}+\ldots+h_{k} \hat{\beta}_{k}$ of $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ yields the minimum variance among all linear estimators of the form
$\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}=h_{1} Y_{1}+\ldots+h_{n} Y_{n}$.

## Proof

Assume for any fixed $\mathbf{c}$, $\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}$. This means $E\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}\right)=\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta} \quad$ regardless the value of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. We can also write $E\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}$. From these expectations, we can write
$\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{h}^{\prime}-\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right) \boldsymbol{\beta}=\mathbf{0}$ For all $\boldsymbol{\beta}$.
If $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(\mathbf{h}^{\prime}-\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\prime}$ is taken, then $\mathbf{h}^{\prime}=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ for any unbiased estimator.
Let $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{h}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{c}^{* \prime} \mathbf{Y}$ where $\mathbf{c}^{*}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{h}$
From the unbiased condition $E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\boldsymbol{\beta}$ hence $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{c}^{* \prime} \mathbf{Y}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, This leads to any $\mathbf{h}$ satisfying the unbiased condition $\mathbf{h}^{\prime}=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ giving
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{I} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2} \mathbf{c}$
$=\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}+\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}+\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)=\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c})^{\prime}+\mathbf{c}^{* \prime} \mathbf{c}^{*}\right]$

As $\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{c} \mathbf{X}-\mathbf{c}^{* \prime} \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{h}^{\prime}-\mathbf{h}^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}^{\prime}$ we can write
$\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{c}^{*}=\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{0}$.
Since $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ is fixed and $\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{c}^{*}\right)>0$ except when $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{c}^{*}$, the variance of $\mathbf{c Y}$ will be minimum when $\mathbf{c}^{* \prime} \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{h}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} . Q E D$.

By substituting $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ results in the best estimator of $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ for any $\mathbf{h}$. The estimator $\mathbf{h}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is named the minimum variance best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE).

### 4.3.5 Multicollinearity

Theoretically, each predictor in multiple linear regression has different effects on the response variable. It can be taken as each predictor having some degree of contribution in predicting the response variable's value. However, if the data matrix $\mathbf{X}$ is not of full rank, that means some linear combinations satisfy $\mathbf{a X}=\mathbf{0}$, a being the vector of coefficients, then the columns of $\mathbf{X}$ being collinear or there is a multicollinearity problem with the data, meaning $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ does not have an inverse. In case the linear combinations of the columns of $\mathbf{X}$ being close to $\mathbf{0}$, meaning large diagonal elements in $\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ rendering the computation of $\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ unstable.

As a result, $\hat{\beta}_{i}$ 's will have large variance. To alleviate the effect of the collinearity

1. If possible delete one pair of predictor variables that are strongly correlated.
2. Use the matrix of the principal components $\mathbf{F}$ obtained from the matrix $\mathbf{X}$.

### 4.3.6 Inferences on Regression Parameters

Determination of the sampling distribution of the regression parameters $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and error sum of squares $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ is very important. This is necessary in the assessment of the
importance of variables in a multivariate regression process. Hence the following theorems are used to clarify this point.

## Theorem 4.5

Given $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ with full rank $r+1$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ normally distributed with mean vector $\mathbf{0}$ and variance vector $\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}$. Then the maximum likelihood estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ being equal to the least squares estimator of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. Further $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$ has a normal distribution with mean $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and variance $\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$. This distribution is independent of the residuals, $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$. Also $n \hat{\sigma}^{2}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}$ has distribution $\sigma^{2} \chi_{n-r-1}^{2}$ where $\hat{\sigma}^{2}$ is the maximum likelihood estimator of $\sigma^{2}$.

## Theorem 4.6

Given $\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ with full rank $r+1$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ normally distributed with mean vector $\mathbf{0}$ and variance matrix $\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}$, then $1-\alpha$ confidence area for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ being $(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \leq(r+1) s^{2} F_{r+1, n-r-1(\alpha)}$,
where $F_{r+1, n-r-1(\alpha)}$ is the upper $\alpha^{\text {th }}$ percentile of the $F$ distribution with degrees of freedom being $r+1$ and $n-r-1$.

This translates into $1-\alpha$ confidence interval for $\beta_{i}$ being

$$
\hat{\beta}_{i} \pm \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{i}\right)} \sqrt{(r+1) F_{r+1, n-r-1(\alpha)}}, i=1,2, \ldots, r
$$

Where $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{i}\right)$ represents the diagonal element of $s^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$

## Proof

Assume we have the symmetric square-root matrix $\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Let $\mathbf{V}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta})$ where $E(\mathbf{V})=\mathbf{0}$ and
$\operatorname{Cov}(\mathbf{V})=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$
$=\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}$
As $\mathbf{V}$ consists of a linear combination of the estimators $\hat{\beta}_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$ then $\mathbf{V}$ is normally distributed. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{V} & \left.\left.=(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta})^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
& \left.=(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta})^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta})
\end{aligned}
$$

Has a distribution that given as $\sigma^{2} \chi^{2}{ }_{r+1}$. According to Theorem 4.5 $(n-r-1) s^{2}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ has a distribution $\sigma^{2} \chi^{2}{ }_{n-r-1}$, independent of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\mathbf{V}$.

As a result kk
$\left[\chi_{r+1}^{2} /(r+1)\right] /\left[\chi_{n-r-1}^{2} /(n-r-1)\right]=\left[\mathbf{V}^{\prime} \mathbf{V} /(r+1)\right] / s^{2}$ Has $F_{r+1, n-r-1}$ distribution.
From here the confidence ellipsoid for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ can be obtained.
Note that, projecting the confidence ellipsoid of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ for $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\boldsymbol{\beta})$ benefiting from theory given in Ref [27] with $\mathbf{A}^{-1}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right) / s^{2}, c^{2}=(r+1) F_{r+1, n-r-1}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbf{u}^{\prime}=[0, \ldots, 0,1, \ldots, 0]$.

Where (A) indicates the ellipsoid $\left|\beta_{i}-\hat{\beta}_{i}\right| \leq \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{i}\right)} \sqrt{(r+1) F_{r+1, n-r-1(\alpha)}}$. Here $\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{i}\right)$ is the diagonal element of $s^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ corresponding to $\hat{\beta}_{i}$.

The next important point is, being able to do inference from the estimated regression function. That gives a set of predictor variables $\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}=\left[1, x_{01}, \ldots x_{0 r}\right]$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$, then the regression function $\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{01}+\ldots+\beta_{r} x_{0 r}$, and the value of $Y$ at $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ can be estimated. In the classical regression model the expected value of $Y_{0}$ at $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ is given by $E\left(Y \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{01}+\ldots+\beta_{r} x_{0 r}=\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}$, while its least squares estimate is $\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$.

## Theorem 4.7

For the linear regression model $\mathbf{y}_{n \times 1}=\mathbf{X}_{n \times(\mathbf{k}+1)}+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(\mathrm{k}+1) \times 1}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n \times 1}, \mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the minimum variance unbiased linear estimator of $E\left(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{0}} \mid \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)$ with variance $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}} \sigma^{2}$. Then, the $1-\alpha$ confidence interval for $E\left(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{0}} \mid \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\beta}$ is given by $\quad \mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\beta} \pm t_{n-r-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sqrt{\left(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right) s^{2}} \quad$ When the errors $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ are normally distributed, with $n-r-1$ d.f. and $\alpha / 2$ upper percentile of the $t$-distribution.

## Proof

When $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ is fixed, then $\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is a linear combination of the regression coefficients $\beta_{i}{ }^{\prime} s$, as explained in Theorem 4.4

Also under the linear regression model it is known that, $\operatorname{Cov}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})=\sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}$ thus
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \operatorname{Cov} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \mathbf{x}_{0}=\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0} \sigma^{2}$
According to Theorem $4.5 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ is assumed to be normally distributed which confirm that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is $\mathbf{N}_{r+1}\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}\right)$ independently of $\mathrm{s}^{2} / \sigma^{2}$ that follows $x_{n-r-1}^{2} /(n-r-1)$

So the linear combination $\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is distributed normally as $N\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \sigma^{2} \mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)$ and
$\frac{\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right) / \sqrt{\sigma^{2} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}}}}{\sqrt{s^{2} / \sigma^{2}}}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\sqrt{s^{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)}}$ follows the $t$ distributed with $n-r-1$ degrees of freedom.

Then the confidence interval will be $\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \pm t_{n-r-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sqrt{s^{2}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)}$.

## Theorem 4.8

Let $\mathbf{Y}_{(n \times 1)}=\mathbf{X}_{(n \times(r+1))} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{((r+1) \times 1)}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(n \times 1)}$ be the linear regression model. Then by using this model to predict the a future value $Y_{0}$ can be achieved via the unbiased predictor given by
$\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{0}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{01}+\ldots+\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathbf{x}_{0 \mathrm{r}}$
Then the variance of the prediction error $\left(Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$ is
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(1+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)$

Assuming the errors $\boldsymbol{E}$ are normally distributed, the $1-\alpha$ prediction interval for $Y_{0}$
will be $\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \pm t_{n-r-1}(\alpha / 2) \sqrt{s^{2}\left(1+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)}$

## Proof:

$\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ Can be used to estimate $\mathrm{Y}_{0}$, resulting in the estimation $E\left(Y_{0} / z_{0}\right)$
From Theorem 4.7 $E\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\beta}\right)=\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0} \sigma^{2}$. can be written.
Then the prediction of error is $Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\beta}+\varepsilon_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\varepsilon_{0}+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$.
Hence $\mathrm{E}\left(Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)=E\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)+E\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\beta}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})\right)=0$ meaning the predictor is unbiased. Due to $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ being independent
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)+\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)=\sigma^{2}+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0} \sigma^{2}=\sigma^{2}\left(1+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)$ can be written.
$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ Is normally distributed which gives the error $\boldsymbol{E}$ has a normal distribution. Therefore, the linear combination $Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is also normally distributed. As a result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) / \sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$

Dividing the equation (4.1) by $\sqrt{s^{2} / \sigma^{2}}$. Where, $\sqrt{s^{2} / \sigma^{2}}$ having $\sqrt{\chi_{n-r-1}^{2} /(n-r-1)}$ a distribution with $n-r$-ld.f. Yields
$\frac{\left(Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right) / \sqrt{\sigma^{2}\left(1+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)}}{\sqrt{s^{2} / \sigma^{2}}}=\frac{Y_{0}-\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}{\sqrt{s^{2}\left(1+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)}}$
that has $t$ distribution with $n-r-1$ d.f.
Then the prediction interval can be obtained as
$\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \pm t_{n-r-1}\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sqrt{s^{2}\left(1+\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{0}\right)}$ Q.E.D.

### 4.4 Multivariate Multiple Regression

It is an important concept in a regression analysis that represents the relationship between two or more dependent variables with two or more predictors (independent variables). In other words, in this model the behavior of many response variables is determined by several independent variables.

### 4.4.1 Multivariate Multiple Linear Regression (MMLR) Model

$Y_{1}=\beta_{01}+\beta_{11} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{r 1} x_{r}+\varepsilon_{1}$
$Y_{2}=\beta_{02}+\beta_{12} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{r 2} x_{r}+\varepsilon_{2}$
$\vdots \quad \vdots$
$\mathrm{Y}_{m}=\beta_{0 m}+\beta_{1 m} x_{1}+\ldots+\beta_{r m} x_{r}+\varepsilon_{m}$

The random error $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\prime}=\left[\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m}\right]$ with properties
$E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=\mathbf{0}, \operatorname{Var}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
Hence, the errors corresponding to different response variables may have some correlation to each other.

The following notation will be used.
$\mathbf{Y}_{j}^{\prime}=\left[Y_{j 1}, Y_{j 2}, \ldots, Y_{j m}\right]:$ The response variables.
$\left(x_{j 0}, x_{j 1}, \ldots, x_{j k}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, n$. The observations of the predictor variables.
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{j}^{\prime}=\left[\varepsilon_{j 1}, \varepsilon_{j 2}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{j m}\right]:$ Errors associated with each response variable.
Then the design matrix is represented as in the single response regression model.

$$
\mathbf{X}_{(n \times(k+1))}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{10} & x_{11} & \ldots & x_{1 r}  \tag{4.12}\\
x_{20} & x_{21} & \ldots & x_{2 r} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{n 0} & x_{n 1} & \ldots & x_{n r}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Response variables, regression coefficients and the error matrices are represented respectively as follows:

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{(n \times m)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
Y_{11} & Y_{12} & \ldots & Y_{1 m}  \tag{4.13}\\
Y_{21} & Y_{22} & \ldots & Y_{2 m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\
Y_{n 1} & Y_{n 2} & \ldots & Y_{n m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
\mathbf{Y}_{(1)} & \vdots & \mathbf{Y}_{(2)} & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \mathbf{Y}_{(m)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{((r+1) \times m)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta_{01} & \beta_{02} & \ldots & \beta_{0 m}  \tag{4.14}\\
\beta_{11} & \beta_{12} & \ldots & \beta_{1 m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\beta_{r 1} & \beta_{r 2} & \cdots & \beta_{r m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{(1)} & \vdots & \boldsymbol{\beta}_{(2)} & \vdots & \ldots & \boldsymbol{\beta}_{(m)}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(n \times m)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{12} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{1 m}  \tag{4.15}\\
\varepsilon_{21} & \varepsilon_{22} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{2 m} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\varepsilon_{n 1} & \varepsilon_{n 2} & \ldots & \varepsilon_{n m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(1)} & \vdots & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(2)} & \vdots & \ldots & \vdots & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(m)}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{1}^{\prime} \\
\ldots \\
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}^{\prime} \\
\ldots \\
\vdots \\
\ldots \\
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{m}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

MMLR model is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{Y}_{(n \times m)}=\mathbf{X}_{(n \times(r+1))} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{((r+1) \times m)}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(n \times m)}  \tag{4.16}\\
& E\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(i)}\right)=0 \text { and } \operatorname{cov}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(i)}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{(k)}\right)=\sigma_{i k} \mathbf{I} \quad i, k=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.4.2 Least Square Estimation Method

Based on the single response regression concept, the least squares estimates of the regression coefficients are
$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(i)}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{y}_{(i)}$
Then by taking into account $m$ set of regression coefficients we have
$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left[\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(1)} \vdots \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(2)} \vdots \ldots \vdots \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{(m)}\right]=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{y}_{(1)} \vdots \mathbf{y}_{(2)} \vdots \ldots \vdots \mathbf{y}_{(\mathbf{m})}\right]$
Or
$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$
Obtained estimators $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ are used in the prediction of the response values $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}$. Then the residuals can be computed by
$\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{Y}-\hat{\mathbf{Y}}=\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right] \mathbf{Y}$
The residuals, predicted values, and the columns of $\mathbf{Z}$ in MMLR satisfy the orthogonality condition which is also valid in classical linear regression. These become possible from the facts
$\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right]=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}-\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$ And $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{X}^{\prime}\left[\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}\right] \mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{0}$ This means the residuals $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{(\mathrm{i})}$ as $\mathbf{Y}=\hat{\mathbf{Y}}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \quad \mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}=(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})^{\prime}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})=\hat{\mathbf{Y}}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{Y}}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}+\mathbf{0}+\mathbf{0}^{\prime}$ or

From this relationship we can also write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\prime} \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}=\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\hat{\mathbf{Y}}^{\prime} \hat{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathbf{Y}^{\prime} \mathbf{Y}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{x} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.4.3 Hypothesis Test in Multiple Linear Regression

Individual regression coefficients follow a normal distribution. Hence, the confidence interval for these coefficients can be computed and tested via hypothesis testing. From section (3.2.3), $b_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, k$ are normally distributed with mean $\beta_{j}$ and variance $c_{i j} \sigma^{2}$. Where $c_{i j}$ is the diagonal elements of the matrix ( $\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ )

Note that $s^{2}$ is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ which is given by

$$
s^{2}=\frac{S S E}{n-k-1}
$$

Then the T statistic $t=\frac{b_{j}-\beta_{j 0}}{s \sqrt{c_{i j}}}$ has $(n-k-1)$ degrees of freedom. Then the hypothesis test on $\beta_{j}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \beta_{j}=\beta_{j 0} \\
& H_{1}: \beta_{j} \neq \beta_{j 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, if the test statistic $t$ is $-t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}<t<t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, H_{0}$ is not rejected. It means $\beta_{j}=\beta_{j 0}$ is acceptable.

### 4.4.4 Confidence Interval for Mean Response $\mu_{Y} \mid \mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_{20}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{k} 0}$

The $1-\alpha$ confidence interval for the mean response $\mu_{\mathbf{Y}} \mid \mathbf{x}_{10}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{2 0}}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{\mathrm{k} 0}$ is

$$
\left.\hat{y}_{0}-t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} s \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}} \mathbf{x}_{0}<\boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y} \right\rvert\, x_{10}, x_{20}, \ldots, x_{k 0}<\hat{y}_{0}+t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} s \sqrt{\mathbf{x}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}} \mathbf{x}_{0}
$$

where $t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ has $(n-k-1)$, degrees of freedom of the t -distribution.

### 4.4.5 The Effect of Some Factors on the Width of the Confidence Interval

The confidence interval for the mean response can be computed by using an appropriate software. It is considered necessary just to list some of the factors that affect this confidence interval. The width of the confidence interval decreases as the mean square error (MSE) decreases.

- The width of the confidence interval decreases as the level of the confidence level decrease (since the t-multiplier decreases when the confidence interval decreases).
- The width of the confidence interval decreases as the sample size ( $n$ ) increases.


## Chapter 5

## PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS ANALYSIS

### 5.1 Introduction to Principal Components Analysis

### 5.1.1 Definition of Principal Components

When a process is governed by a large number of variables $(p)$, the processing of data belonging to so many variables becomes a problem. One way of efficiently eliminating this handicap, is to find some linear combination of these variables in such a way that only a few of the linear combinations will be able to represent the process adequately. Given the $p$ random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{p}$, their linear combination will be in a new coordinate system via the rotation of the original system. This new coordinate system points to the directions where maximum variable exists in the original data. These linear combinations are called Principal Components (PC). The covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ or correlation $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ matrices obtained from $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{p}$ are used in determining the coefficients of the PCs.

In this chapter, the theoretical concepts of the principal components analysis (PCA) will be discussed and illustrated by some theorems and proofs.

### 5.1.2 Computation of the Principal Components

Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ be the covariance matrix obtained from the data matrix $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{p}\right]$. Let the eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ in descending order be $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$.

Then the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvectors are $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{p}$ respectively.

Using the elements of eigenvectors and the random variables forming the data matrix, the following linear combinations can be written for the population.
$Y_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=e_{11} X_{1}+e_{12} X_{2}+\cdots+e_{\mathbf{1 p}} X_{\mathbf{p}}$
$Y_{2}=\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{2}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=e_{\mathbf{2 1}} X_{1}+e_{22} X_{2}+\cdots+e_{2 \mathrm{p}} X_{\mathbf{p}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vdots \quad \vdots \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Y_{\mathbf{p}}=\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=e_{\mathbf{p} 1} X_{1}+e_{\mathbf{p} 2} X_{2}+\ldots+e_{\mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}} X_{\mathbf{p}}$
These linear combinations (PCs) expressed as $y_{i}=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, p$ expressed in complete form in (5.2).

$$
\mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1}  \tag{5.2}\\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{p}
\end{array}\right]_{(p \times 1)}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
e_{11} & e_{12} & \cdots & e_{1 p} \\
e_{21} & e_{22} & \cdots & e_{2 p} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
e_{p 1} & e_{p 2} & \cdots & e_{p p}
\end{array}\right]_{(p \times p)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{P}
\end{array}\right]_{(p \times 1)}
$$

The mean and covariance of the PCs can be written as in (5.3)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\mu}_{Y}=E(\mathbf{y})=E\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{)}=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{x}\right.  \tag{5.3}\\
& \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{Y}=\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{k}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}\right)=\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{X} \mathbf{e}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (5.3) we can obtain
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, p$
$\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{k}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{i}=\mathbf{0} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, p$

The PCs $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{p}$ are uncorrelated linear combinations obtained by using the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix. Each PC has maximum variance subject to the condition the eigenvectors are normal. That is
$\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)$ is max. if $\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{i}=1$ And
$\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{e}_{k}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)=0 \quad$ for $\mathrm{k}<i$

## Theorem 5.1

Given the random vector $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots x_{p}\right]$ with covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ and the eigenvalue-eigenvector pairs $\left(\lambda_{1}, e_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, e_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\lambda_{p}, e_{p}\right)$ where $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ the PC can be written as
$Y_{\mathbf{i}}=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}=e_{i 1} X_{1}+e_{i 2} X_{2}+\ldots+e_{i p} X_{p}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, p$ Where
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{i}=\lambda_{i} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, p$
$\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{k}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{k}=0 \quad i \neq k$

## Proof

Given a positive definite $p \times p$ square matrix $\mathbf{A}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ and corresponding normalized eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{p}$, it is known that the maximization of quadratic forms with points on the unit sphere can be achieved via $\max _{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}}=\lambda_{i}$, when $\quad \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{i}$ and $\quad \mathbf{A} \quad$ is the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$. Then $\max _{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \frac{\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}}=\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}=\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)$.

Similarly
$\max _{a k k_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{k}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}}=\lambda_{k+1} \quad$ Can $\quad$ be written. Setting $\quad \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{k+1}, k=1,2, \ldots, p-1$ with
$\mathbf{e}_{k+1}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{i}=0$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $k=1,2, \ldots, p-1$ we get
$\mathbf{e}_{k+1}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{k+1} / \mathbf{e}_{k+1}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{k+1}=\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{k+1}\right)$.
However $\mathbf{e}_{k+1}^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{k+1}\right)=\lambda_{k+1} \mathbf{e}_{k+1}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{k+1}=\lambda_{k+1}$ so $\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{k+1}\right)=\lambda_{k+1}$. That means
$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}$ And $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}$ are perpendicular (orthogonal) which means $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}=0, i \neq k$, giving $\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{k}\right)=0$. Then

1. If all the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}$ are distinct, the eigenvectors of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ will be orthogonal.
2. If the eigenvalues are not all distinct, the eigenvectors corresponding to common eigenvalues may be selected to be orthogonal. So, for any two eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}$ where $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}=0, i \neq k$.
$\Sigma \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}=\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}$ Multiplying by $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}$ giving
$\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{k}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{k}=\mathbf{e}^{\prime} \lambda_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{e}_{k}=\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{e}^{\prime} \mathbf{e}_{k}=0$
For any $i \neq k$.
Note: It must be stressed that some of the coefficient vectors $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ and so $Y_{i}$ will not be unique, if some eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ are equal.

## Theorem 5.2

Given a random vector $\mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{p}\right]$ that has covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

With eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ corresponding to the eigenvectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{p}}$. and PCs $Y_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}, Y_{2}=\mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}, \ldots, Y_{P}=\mathbf{e}_{P}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$, it can be shown that $\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{22}+\ldots+\sigma_{p p}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right)=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)$

## Proof

It is known that $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i i}$ where $\mathbf{A}=\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ indicates a $k \times k$ square matrix. Applying this to the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ yields $\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{22}+\ldots+\sigma_{p p}=\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})$, then by using $\mathbf{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i_{(k x \mid)}} \mathbf{e}_{i_{(1 k k)}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\mathbf{P}_{(k \times k)} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{(k \times k)} \mathbf{P}_{(k \times k)}^{\prime}$ with $\mathbf{A}=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{P}^{\prime}$ Where $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is a diagonal matrix of the eigenvalues and, $\mathbf{P}=\left[e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p}\right]$

Then $\mathbf{P}^{\prime} \mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P P}^{\prime}=\mathbf{I}$.
$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma})=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{P} \mathbf{\Lambda \mathbf { P } ^ { \prime }}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{\prime}\right)$, Since $\operatorname{tr}(A B)=\operatorname{tr}(B A)$.
Then, $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{N P P}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda})=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\ldots+\lambda_{p}$.

Then, $\sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma})=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}\right)$. Q.E.D.

## Theorem 5.3

Given principal components, $Y_{1}=\mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}, Y_{2}=\mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}, \ldots, Y_{P}=\mathbf{e}_{P}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$, then the correlation coefficients between the variables $X_{k}$ and the principal components $Y_{i}$ are
$\rho_{Y_{i}, X_{k}}=\frac{e_{i k \sqrt{\lambda_{i}}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{k k}}} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, p$,

## Proof

$\mathbf{a}_{k}^{\prime}=[0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0]$, is given, so $X_{k}=\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}$ and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{k}, Y_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)$. Also $\operatorname{Cov}\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{e}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{X}\right)=\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{i}$ based on the maximization of quadratic forms on the unit sphere concept.

Remembering that $\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{k}, Y_{i}\right)=\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime} \lambda \mathbf{e}_{i}=\lambda_{i} e_{i k}$ and $\Sigma \mathbf{e}_{i}=\lambda_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}$, then
$\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{e}_{k}=\lambda_{i}$ Yields $\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)=\lambda_{i}$ and, $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbf{X}_{k}\right)=\sigma_{k k}$ which gives
$\rho_{Y_{i}, X_{k}}=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(Y_{i}, X_{k}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right)} \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k}\right)}}=\frac{\lambda_{i} e_{i k}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{i}} \sqrt{\sigma_{k k}}}=\frac{e_{i k} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{k k}}} i, k=1,2, \ldots, p$ Q.E.D.

### 5.2 Optimum Number of the Principal Components

There are some important points that are used to judge on the optimum number of PCs which offer valuable information and summarize the data.

The guidelines are explained as follows:

1. Keeping enough principal components to account for a high proportion of the total variance. By using the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}} \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accepting a threshold value for this proportion the value of $k$ can be determined. This will be illustrated in the example 5.1.
2. Keeping the principal components that have eigenvalues which are greater than the average of the eigenvalues.
3. Using the scree plot where $\lambda_{i}$ (the eigenvalues) versus $i$ (the number of the eigenvalues) starting with the largest towards the smallest $\lambda_{i}$ are plotted. The optimum number of the PCs is taken to be those before the point where an elbow occurs in the graph including the elbow point. Figure 5.1 shows the scree plot obtained in example 5.1. The optimum number of the principal components is considered 2 since the elbow occurs at point 2 .


Figure 5.1: Scree Plot to Determine the Number of PCs

### 5.3 Interpretation of the Principal Components

### 5.3.1 Rotation

Essentially, we obtain the principal components by doing a rotation of the axes orderly to line up with natural extension of the system, then the obtained variables are changed to be uncorrelated with making a reflection to the directions of the maximum variance.

In case the interpretation of the new principal components was not satisfactory, then the angle of rotation can be changed and re-examine the variance direction relation.

### 5.3.2 The Correlation between the Variables and the Principal Components

It is recommended to use the correlation coefficient that is between the dependent variable and the principal components to get an interpretation of the components. While the magnitude of the coefficients of a PC indicates the contribution of that variable to the PC, the correlation between a variable and a PC may not be in direct association to the contribution of the variable to the PC.

## Example 5.1

## Source of Dataset

The data were collected from the database of National Agency Savreio Devito, (saverio.devito'@'enea.it).

## Information about the Dataset

The dataset consists of 48 observations and 5 variables indicate responses of an oxidizing chemical gas Multisensor device was installed in polluted area on the field in some city in Italy. The data were obtained in the period from March 2004 till February 2005. The variables used are as explained below.
$X_{1}$ : True hourly averaged concentration CO in $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$X_{2}$ : True hourly averaged overall Non Metanic Hydro Carbons concentration in microgram $/ m^{3}$.
$X_{3}$ : True hourly averaged Benzene concentration in microgram $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$X_{4}$ : Temperature $C^{\circ}$
$X_{5}$ : Relative Humidity (\%).
See Appendix, Table 5.1: Raw Data for Responses of an Oxidizing Chemical Gas Multisensor Device

To find the principle components, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ matrix is calculated by Matlab as follows

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0.0014 & 0.0992 & 0.0066 & 0.0011 & -0.0022 \\
0.0992 & 7.4434 & 0.4781 & 0.1057 & -0.2802 \\
0.0066 & 0.4781 & 0.0325 & 0.0077 & -0.0208 \\
0.0011 & 0.1057 & 0.0077 & 0.0087 & -0.0302 \\
-0.0022 & -0.2802 & -0.0208 & -0.0302 & 0.1383
\end{array}\right]
$$

Eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1}=7487.8 & \mathbf{e}_{1}=[-0.0133,-0.9970,-0.0641,-0.0143,0.0383] \\
\lambda_{2}=133 & \mathbf{e}_{2}=[0.0120,0.0415,-0.0195,-0.2029,0.9780] \\
\lambda_{3}=2.1 & \mathbf{e}_{3}=[-0.0643,0.0496,-0.6990,-0.6925,-0.1589] \\
\lambda_{4}=1.3 & \mathbf{e}_{4}=[-0.1373,0.0417,-0.6975,0.6901,0.1292] \\
\lambda_{5}=0.0215 & \mathbf{e}_{15}=[0.9883,-0.0049,-0.1430,0.0530,-0.0037]
\end{array}
$$

Then by using equation (5.1) the five principal components are obtained. See Appendix, Table 5.2: Row Data PCs. To determine the optimum number of the principal components, it is determined from the scree plot that 2 PCs are enough. It is also evident that the first two PCs represent $99 \%$ of total variation in the data
according to equation 5.1.1, which strongly supports the result obtained from the scree plot.
$\hat{Y}_{1}=0.98, \hat{Y}_{2}=0.017$ of the total variation, It means the five variables can be replaced by only first two principle components without much loss information since they explain approximately 0.99 of the total variation. Then the first 2 PCs will be $Y_{1}=-0.0133 X_{1}-0.997 X_{2}-0.0641 X_{3}-0.0143 X_{4}+0.0383 X_{5}$ $Y_{2}=0.012 X_{1}+0.041 X_{2}-0.0195 X_{3}-0.2029 X_{4}+0.9780 X_{5}$.

Here the first PC which represents about $99 \%$ of total variation is very important. It is also evident that the second variable with the highest negative coefficient, means true hourly averaged overall Non Metanic Hydro Carbons concentration has a major negative influence on the process in the direction where the biggest variation exists. On the second PC relative humidity seems to be the leading variable influencing the process in the second main direction with second larger variation occurs. Now the correlation coefficients between the first two principal components and the independent variables are calculated.

Table 5.3: Correlation Coefficients between Variables
and PCs $\left(\rho_{Y_{i}, X_{k}}=\frac{e_{i k} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{k k}}}\right)$.

|  | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $X_{5}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\hat{Y}_{1}$ | -0.972 | -1.000 | -0.973 | -0.421 | 0.281 |
| $\hat{Y}_{2}$ | 0.117 | 0.006 | -0.039 | -0.796 | 0.959 |

By checking table 5.3 it is obvious that the first principal component $\hat{Y}_{1}$ has high correlations with most of the variables since $98 \%$ of the total variation was explained by $\hat{Y}_{1}$, and the vice versa for the second one $\hat{Y}_{2}$ which represents 0.017 of the total variation.

## Chapter 6

## APPLICATIONS

### 6.1 The Target of the Application

Topics and theory explained in Chapter 4 regarding multivariate regression, and PCA as explained in Chapter 5 are used in the analysis of multivariate data. A study of the relationship between the linear regression and the PCA is undertaken. For this purpose the comparison of the MSE values obtained from multivariate regression, and MSE values obtained by treating each of the data variables as dependent variable and PCAs as the predictor variables.

Some factors which have a functional impact on the linear regression performance:

- The correlation coefficients between the dependent variable (response) and the independent variables (predictors).
- The number of the independent variables.
- Repeating the values in the independent variables.
- The size of the selected samples of the data.


### 6.2 Data Description

The data is related to the variables that affects the heat retaining capacity of a building. Therefore the heat retaining capacity is considered as the dependent (response) variable, onto 8 independent (predictor) variables.

### 6.2.1 Source of the Data

The dataset was created by Angeliki Xifara (angxifara '@' gmail.com, Civil/Structural Engineer) and was processed by Athanasios Tsanas (tsanasthanasis '@' gmail.com, Oxford Centre for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Oxford University, UK).

### 6.2.2 Information about the Data

The dataset consists of eight independent variables or features $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{8}\right)$ and a response variable $\left(Y_{1}\right)$. In the original data the number of the observations was 768 for each variable. Description of the variables is as follows.
$X_{1}$ : Relative compactness
$X_{2}$ : Surface area
$X_{3}$ : Wall area
$X_{4}$ : Roof area
$X_{5}$ : Overall height
$X_{6}$ : Orientation
$X_{7}$ : Glazing area distribution
$X_{8}$ : Glazing area distribution
$Y_{1}$ : Heating load.

### 6.2.3 Cleaning or Validating the Data

A preliminary study of the data via simple linear regression and the linear correlation $r_{Y, X_{i}} ; i=1,2, \ldots, 8$ between the response and each predictor variable was undertaken. Mean Square Errors (MSE) and $r_{Y, X_{i}}$ are given in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1: Simple Linear Regression and the Linear Correlation between the response and each predictor variable for the data in 6.2.2.

| Linear regression <br> equation | The correlation coefficient | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(Y / X_{1}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.4024$ | 24.5755 |
| $E\left(Y / X_{2}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=0.4529$ | 49.4473 |
| $E\left(Y / X_{3}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.1824$ | 73.8964 |
| $E\left(Y / X_{4}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=0.744$ | 23.1398 |
| $E\left(Y / X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.8024$ | 17.8573 |
| $E\left(Y / X_{6}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{6}}=0.0431$ | 82.2517 |
| $E\left(Y / X_{7}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{7}}=0.0431$ | 76.1569 |
| $E\left(Y / X_{8}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{8}}=0.0026$ | 79.2872 |

Based on the results given in Table 6.1, it was considered necessary to reduce the number of variables from 8 to 5 , as the variables $X_{6}, X_{7}$, and $X_{8}$ have very low correlation with the response variable and also resulted in high MSE values. Therefore, it was decided to discard these variables from the study, and using the data consisting of the following variables.
$X_{1}$ : Relative compactness.
$X_{2}$ : Surface area.
$X_{3}$ : Wall area.
$X_{4}$ : Roof area.
$X_{5}$ : Overall height.
$Y_{1}$ : Heating load.

It was also observed that in the original data under most variables, data values were either repeating or missing. Hence, it was decided to select a subset or a pilot data set of 50 observations Where repeated or missing values did not exist.

See Appendix, Table 6.2: Row Data about the Heating Load Process

### 6.2.4 Application of Simple and Multivariate Regression to Pilot Data

As a first step the correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ for the pilot data is computed to identify the correlation level between the response and predictor variables. This is given below.
$R=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}r_{y, y} & r_{y, x_{1}} & r_{y, x_{2}} & r_{y, x_{3}} & r_{y, x_{4}} & r_{y, x_{5}} \\ r_{x_{1}, y} & r_{x_{1}, x_{1}} & r_{x_{1}, x_{2}} & r_{x_{1}, x_{3}} & r_{x_{1}, x_{4}} & r_{x_{1}, x_{5}} \\ r_{x_{2}, y} & r_{x_{2}, x_{1}} & r_{x_{2}, x_{2}} & r_{x_{2}, x_{3}} & r_{x_{2}, x_{4}} & r_{x_{2}, x_{5}} \\ r_{x_{3}, y} & r_{x_{3}, x_{1}} & r_{x_{3}, x_{2}} & r_{x_{3}, x_{3}} & r_{x_{3}, x_{4}} & r_{x_{3}, x_{5}} \\ r_{x_{4}, y} & r_{x_{4}, x_{1}} & r_{x_{4}, x_{2}} & r_{x_{4}, x_{3}} & r_{x_{4}, x_{4}} & r_{x_{4}, x_{5}} \\ r_{x_{5}, y} & r_{x_{5}, x_{1}} & r_{x_{5}, x_{2}} & r_{x_{5}, x_{3}} & r_{x_{5}, x_{4}} & r_{x_{5}, x_{5}}\end{array}\right]=$
$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}1 & 0.6232 & -0.6704 & 0.3649 & -0.8365 & 0.8998 \\ 0.6323 & 1 & -0.9922 & -0.2437 & -0.8945 & 0.8410 \\ -0.6704 & -0.9922 & 1 & 0.2381 & 0.9048 & -0.8727 \\ 0.3649 & -0.2437 & 0.2381 & 1 & -0.1981 & 0.2011 \\ -0.8365 & -0.8945 & 0.9048 & -0.1981 & 1 & -0.9689 \\ 0.8998 & 0.8410 & -0.8727 & 0.2011 & -0.9689 & 1\end{array}\right]$

The previous matrix was checked so that, from the first row (or first column) the correlation values between the response and predictors were obtained.

The diagonal values indicate the correlation between the variables themselves.
Simple linear regression values between the response and predictor variables $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}\right) ; i=1,2, \ldots, 5$ are obtained (Table 6.3) from the pilot data have significantly improved.

Table 6.3: Simple linear Regression and the Linear Correlation between the Response and Each Predictor Obtained from the Pilot Data.

| $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}\right)$ | The correlation coefficient | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | 52.14 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{2}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | 81.83 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{3}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | 73.89 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{4}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | 25.59 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 16.22 |

It was then decided to check for multiple linear regression, initially using all possible 2 predictor pairs to estimate the response variable $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}, X_{j}\right) ; i, j=1,2, \ldots, 5 ; i<j$. Then obtained MSE results from each pair of predictors, and correlation between these predictors and the response variable are presented in Table 6.4.

Table 6.4: MSE Results from Each Pair of predictors, and Correlation between these Predictors and the Response Variable.

| $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ | Correlation coefficient |  | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{2}, X_{4}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | 25.73 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{3}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | 28.35 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 11.25 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{3}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 13.69 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{4}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | 19.32 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | 74.43 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{2}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 16.31 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | $r_{Y Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | 22.54 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{4}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 14.79 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | 14.79 |

Subsequently, multiple linear regression for all tuples with 3 predictors and the response variable is carried out $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}, X_{j}, X_{k}\right) ; i, j, k=1,2, \ldots, 5 ; i<j<k$. MSE results from each triplet of predictors, and correlation between these predictors and the response variable are presented in Table 6.5.

Table 6.5: MSE Results from Each Triplet of Predictors, and Correlation between these Predictors and the Response Variable.

| $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}, X_{j}, X_{k}\right)$ | The correlation coefficient |  |  | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | 29.13 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{4}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | 19.73 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 11.48 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | 22.9 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 13.78 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-$ <br> 0.841 | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 11.91 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{1}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-$ <br> 0.841 | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | 14.79 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{1}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-0.841$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | 11.5 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{5}, X_{4}, X_{2}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=-$ <br> 0.841 | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=-0.678$ | 14.85 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}=0.634$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=0.533$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 11.49 |

As expected, an increase in the number of predictors with high correlation with the response variable results in a decrease in the MSE values. This is clearly evident from Tables 6.3, 6.4, and 6.5.

Also in case four and five variables is shown in Tables 6.6 and 6.7 and have the result as same as previous Tables.

Table 6.6: MSE Results from Each Tuple of 4 Variables of Predictors, and Correlation between these Predictors and the Response Variable.

| $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}, X_{j}, X_{k}\right)$ | The correlation coefficient |  |  |  | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{Y, X_{1}}= \\ 0.634 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{2}}= \\ & -0.678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{3}}= \\ & 0.533 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{Y, X_{4}}= \\ -0.841 \end{gathered}$ | 14.87 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{5}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{Y, X_{1}}= \\ 0.634 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{Y, X_{2}}= \\ -0.678 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{Y, X_{3}}= \\ 0.533 \end{gathered}$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 11.72 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{1}}= \\ & 0.634 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{2}}= \\ & -0.678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{4}}= \\ & -0.841 \end{aligned}$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 11.73 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{2}}= \\ & -0.678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} r_{Y, X_{3}}= \\ 0.533 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{X}}= \\ & -0.841 \end{aligned}$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 11.62 |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{2}}= \\ & -0.678 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{3}}= \\ & 0.533 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & r_{Y, X_{4}}= \\ & -0.841 \end{aligned}$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}=0.900$ | 12.17 |

Table 6.7: Five Variables with the Response.

| $E\left(Y \mid X_{i}, X_{j}, X_{k}\right)$ | The correlation coefficient |  |  |  |  | MSE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(Y \mid X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}\right)$ | $r_{Y, X_{1}}$ | $r_{Y, X_{2}}=$ | $r_{Y, X_{3}}=$ | $r_{Y, X_{4}}=$ | $r_{Y, X_{5}}$ | 11.83 |
|  | 0.634 | -0.678 | 0.533 | -0.841 | $=0.900$ |  |

### 6.2.5 Application of PCA to the Pilot Data

As required by the PCA theory, the correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ for the 5 response variables is computed. Use of the $\mathbf{R}$ matrix is due to the facts explained under the relevant theory. That is a significant difference between the data values of different variables, and different units between the variables.

Then the obtained correlation matrix is given below.

$$
\mathbf{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1.000 & -0.9922 & -0.2437 & -0.8945 & 0.8410 \\
-0.9922 & 1.000 & 0.2381 & 0.9048 & -0.8727 \\
-0.2437 & 0.2381 & 1.000 & -0.1981 & 0.2011 \\
-0.8945 & 0.9048 & -0.1981 & 1.000 & -0.9689 \\
0.8410 & -0.8727 & 0.2011 & -0.9689 & 1.000
\end{array}\right]
$$

The eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors are as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=3.7381, \mathbf{e}_{1}^{\prime}=[0.4998,-0.5054,-0.0159,-0.5030,0.4914] \\
& \lambda_{2}=1.1986, \quad \mathbf{e}_{2}^{\prime}=[-0.2036,0.1916,0.9109,-0.2059,0.2229] \\
& \lambda_{3}=0.0596, \quad \mathbf{e}_{3}^{\prime}=[0.4968,-0.0835,0.2761,-0.2053,-0.7924] \\
& \lambda_{4}=0.0037, \quad \mathbf{e}_{4}^{\prime}=[0.6796,0.4901,0.0828,0.4583,0.2846] \\
& \lambda_{5}=-0.00, \quad \mathbf{e}_{5}^{\prime}=[-0.000,0.6788,-0.2948,-0.6726,-0.000]
\end{aligned}
$$

Subsequently the five principal components can be written as follows
$Y_{1}=0.4998 X_{1}-0.5054 X_{2}-0.0159 X_{3}-0.5030 X_{4}+0.4914 X_{5}$ $Y_{2}=-0.2036 X_{1}+0.1916 X_{2}+0.9109 X_{3}-0.2059 X_{4}+0.2229 X_{5}$ $Y_{3}=0.4968 X_{1}-0.0835 X_{2}+0.2761 X_{3}-0.2053 X_{4}-0.7924 X_{5}$ $Y_{4}=0.6796 X_{1}+0.4901 X_{2}+0.0828 X_{3}+0.4583 X_{4}+0.2846 X_{5}$ $Y_{5}=-0.0000 X_{1}+0.6788 X_{2}-0.2948 X_{3}-0.6726 X_{4}-0.000 X_{5}$

Using these PCs and the pilot data, the obtained PC values are given in Appendix, Table: 6.8

However, based on the eigenvalues it is observed that $99 \%$ of the total variation are represented by the first two eigenvalues. That is $\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{2}}=\frac{4.9367}{5}=0.98734$. This means the first two PCs $\left(\hat{Y}_{1}, \hat{Y}_{2}\right)$ are enough to represent the data (Theorm 5.2). Since the PC's are used to reduce the number of the variables, then those five independent variables can be represented by these two principal components.

### 6.2.6 Linear Regression Using the PCs as Predictors

Correlation coefficient values between the response and the PCs has surprisingly produced results in absolute terms, very close to the percent of variation each PC represents over the total variation in the data. Subsequently the linear regression between the response variable and each PC generated MSE results as given in Table
6.9. It is an expected result to observe higher MSE when correlation is low, as in $E\left(Y / \hat{Y}_{2}\right)$.

Table 6.9: Correlation and Regression Results between the Response and the PCs.

| Linear regression <br> equation | The correlation coefficient | Mean square <br> of error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(Y / \hat{Y}_{1}\right)$ | $r_{Y, Y_{1}}=0.74$ | 38.614 |
| $E\left(Y / \hat{Y}_{2}\right)$ | $r_{Y, Y_{2}}=-0.224$ | 80.978 |

### 6.2.7 Using the PCs as Predictors to Estimate $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$

As the PCs are a linear combination of the variables, $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$. And in the pilot data set the first two PCs represent $75 \%$ and $24 \%$ of total variation in the data respectively. Hence, it is logical to expect a dependence of each $X$ variable onto each PC. In order to decide which $X$ variables to be used as a response variable, and which PC to be used as a predictor, the correlations between each of the variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$ and the PCs $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ are computed and presented in Table 6.10.

Table 6.10: Correlation values between $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ and each of
$X_{\mathbf{1}}, X_{\mathbf{2}}, X_{\mathbf{3}}, X_{\mathbf{4}}, X_{\mathbf{5}}$.

| $r_{Y_{i}, X j}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $X_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0.981 | -0.990 | -0.102 | -0.955 | 0.923 |
| $Y_{2}$ | -0.409 | 0.405 | 0.985 | -0.023 | 0.033 |

Based on the correlation coefficients between each PC and each variable $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$, linear regression models $E\left(X_{i} / Y_{j}\right) ; i=1,2, \ldots, 5 ; j=1,2$ are
created for the five pairs with highest correlation. Obtained MSE results are given in Table 6.11.

Table 6.11: Correlation Coefficients and MSE Values Obtained from Using Each of $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$ as a Response and Each PC as a Predictor.

| $E\left(X_{i} \mid Y_{j}\right)$ | The <br> correlation <br> coefficient | MSE | RMSE | RMSED/ $\bar{X}_{i}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E\left(X_{1} \mid Y_{1}\right)$ | $r_{X_{1}, Y_{1}}=0.981$ | 0.0005 | 0.022 | 0.029 |
| $E\left(X_{2} \mid Y_{1}\right)$ | $r_{X_{2}, Y_{1}}=-0.990$ | 164.261 | 12.81 | 0.019 |
| $E\left(X_{4} \mid Y_{1}\right)$ | $r_{X_{4}, Y_{1}}=-0.955$ | 186.137 | 13.64 | 0.079 |
| $E\left(X_{5} \mid Y_{1}\right)$ | $r_{X_{5}, Y_{1}}=0.923$ | 0.468 | 0.68 | 0.13 |
| $E\left(X_{3} \mid Y_{2}\right)$ | $r_{X_{3}, Y_{2}}=0.74$ | 49.875 | 7.06 | 0.022 |

The first PC is $Y_{1}=0.4998 X_{1}-0.5054 X_{2}-0.0159 X_{3}-0.5030 X_{4}+0.4914 X_{5}$. With the exception of $X_{3}$ the coefficients of other variables are very close to each other in absolute terms. That means the contribution of these variables to $Y_{1}$ are almost the same. Correlation values between $Y_{1}$ and each of the variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$ are also very close to each other in absolute terms. However, MSE values are also reasonable, considering the fact that $Y_{1}$ represents $75 \%$ of total variation in the process.

The root mean square deviation (RMSD) is expressed as a percentage of the average for the variables $\left(\bar{X}_{i}\right)$ gives a good idea about the magnitude of the error committed in estimating data variables using PCs.

For instance, RMSED/ $\bar{X}_{1}=0.029$ for the first model $E\left(X_{1} / Y_{1}\right)$ in Table (6.11) which means the magnitude of the error in the estimation of the response $X_{1}$ by using the first PC is very small.

## Chapter 7

## CONCLUSION

The study concentrated on initially explaining the theoretical background on multivariate regression and PCA, together with some important theorems related to the topics. Establishing a relationship between the results of linear regression and PCA is attempted using a pilot data set.

On the other hand, it is very useful to realize the factors that have an impact on the accuracy of the linear regression model and avoiding big errors in the prediction process. Furthermore, focusing on the relationship between the PCs and the accuracy of the linear regression model is very functional.

In application chapter, by studying group of data and analyze it by applying the linear regression model, whether is simple or multiple (with different numbers of the predictors), some results were obtained as given under Chapter 6.

Some important factors that affect the linear regression model and the residuals are summarized as;

1. The level of the correlation between the dependent and independent variables.
2. Existence of missing observations in dataset.
3. Existence of repeated values in the data set.

Existence of missing and/or repeated values is a separate topic of research and is not dealt with, in this thesis. However, in selecting the pilot data set from the large data, only observations with no missing data and/or not pronounced repeat cases were included. In the regression analysis of the data careful examination of the correlations between the predictor and response variables was carried out to avoid high error margins.

In the application of PCA to the pilot data again the correlation matrix $\mathbf{R}$ between the variables used as predictor variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$, were used, since the use of covariance matrix will result in large discrepancies in estimation, due to big differences between the magnitude of the data under different variables. Following the determination of the PCs based on the $\mathbf{R}$ it is clear that the first two PCs represents $99 \%$ of total variation in the pilot data.

The main conclusion of this study was to use the idea of estimating the predictor variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}, X_{5}$, now treated as response variables regressed on each PC. The correlation between each $X_{i} ; i=1,2, \ldots, 5$ and the PCs is examined. Based on this the regression between each $X_{i}$ and the first PC $Y_{1}$, and the regression $X_{3} \mid Y_{2}$ are computed with very satisfactory error levels as given in Table 6.7.
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## APPENDIX

Table 3.1: Row Data Residents, Income and Density

| No | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{x}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 8 | 78 | 284 | 9.1 | 109 |
| 2 | 9.30000019 | 68 | 433 | 8.7 | 144 |
| 3 | 7.5 | 70 | 739 | 7.2 | 113 |
| 4 | 8.89999962 | 96 | 1792 | 8.9 | 97 |
| 5 | 10.1999998 | 74 | 477 | 8.3 | 206 |
| 6 | 8.30000019 | 111 | 362 | 10.9 | 124 |
| 7 | 8.80000019 | 77 | 671 | 10 | 152 |
| 8 | 8.80000019 | 168 | 636 | 9.1 | 162 |
| 9 | 10.6999998 | 82 | 329 | 8.7 | 150 |
| 10 | 11.6999998 | 89 | 634 | 7.6 | 134 |
| 11 | 8.5 | 149 | 631 | 10.8 | 292 |
| 12 | 8.30000019 | 60 | 257 | 9.5 | 108 |
| 13 | 8.19999981 | 96 | 284 | 8.8 | 111 |
| 14 | 7.9000001 | 83 | 603 | 9.5 | 182 |
| 15 | 10.3000002 | 130 | 686 | 8.7 | 129 |
| 16 | 7.4000001 | 145 | 345 | 11.2 | 158 |
| 17 | 9.60000038 | 112 | 1357 | 9.7 | 186 |
| 18 | 9.30000019 | 131 | 544 | 9.6 | 177 |
| 19 | 10.6000004 | 80 | 205 | 9.1 | 127 |
| 20 | 9.69999981 | 130 | 1264 | 9.2 | 179 |
| 21 | 11.6000004 | 140 | 688 | 8.3 | 80 |
| 22 | 8.10000038 | 154 | 354 | 8.4 | 103 |
| 23 | 9.80000019 | 118 | 1632 | 9.4 | 101 |
| 24 | 7.4000001 | 94 | 348 | 9.8 | 117 |
| 25 | 9.39999962 | 119 | 370 | 10.4 | 88 |
| 26 | 11.1999998 | 153 | 648 | 9.9 | 78 |
| 27 | 9.10000038 | 116 | 366 | 9.2 | 102 |
| 28 | 10.5 | 97 | 540 | 10.3 | 95 |
| 29 | 11.8999996 | 176 | 680 | 8.9 | 80 |
| 30 | 8.39999962 | 75 | 345 | 9.6 | 92 |
| 31 | 5 | 134 | 525 | 10.3 | 126 |
| 32 | 9.80000019 | 161 | 870 | 10.4 | 108 |
| 33 | 9.80000019 | 111 | 669 | 9.7 | 77 |
| 34 | 10.8000002 | 114 | 452 | 9.6 | 60 |
| 35 | 10.1000004 | 142 | 430 | 10.7 | 71 |
| 36 | 10.8999996 | 238 | 822 | 10.3 | 86 |
| 37 | 9.19999981 | 78 | 190 | 10.7 | 93 |
| 38 | 8.30000019 | 196 | 867 | 9.6 | 106 |
| 39 | 7.30000019 | 125 | 969 | 10.5 | 162 |
| 40 | 9.39999962 | 82 | 499 | 7.7 | 95 |
| 41 | 9.39999962 | 125 | 925 | 10.2 | 91 |
| 42 | 9.80000019 | 129 | 353 | 9.9 | 52 |
| 43 | 3.59999991 | 84 | 288 | 8.4 | 110 |
| 44 | 8.39999962 | 183 | 718 | 10.4 | 69 |
| 45 | 10.8000002 | 119 | 540 | 9.2 | 57 |
| 46 | 10.1000004 | 180 | 668 | 13 | 106 |
| 47 | 9 | 82 | 347 | 8.8 | 40 |
| 48 | 10 | 71 | 345 | 9.2 | 50 |
| 49 | 11.3000002 | 118 | 463 | 7.8 | 35 |
| 50 | 11.3000002 | 121 | 728 | 8.2 | 86 |
| 51 | 12.8000002 | 68 | 383 | 7.4 | 57 |
| 52 | 10 | 112 | 316 | 10.4 | 57 |
| 53 | 6.69999981 | 109 | 388 | 8.9 | 94 |

Table 4.2: Calculating the Parameters and Simple Linear Regression Equation

| $x_{i}$ | $y_{i}$ | $x_{i}^{2}$ | $y_{i}^{2}$ | $x_{i} y_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 150 | 50 | 22500 | 2500 | 7500 |
| 150 | 61 | 22500 | 3721 | 9150 |
| 150 | 54 | 22500 | 2916 | 8100 |
| 155 | 54 | 24025 | 2916 | 8370 |
| 155 | 63 | 24025 | 3969 | 8765 |
| 155 | 59 | 24025 | 3481 | 9145 |
| 155 | 61 | 24025 | 3721 | 9455 |
| 160 | 68 | 25600 | 4624 | 10880 |
| 160 | 65 | 25600 | 4225 | 10400 |
| 175 | 77 | 30625 | 5929 | 13475 |
| 175 | 83 | 30625 | 6889 | 14525 |
| 175 | 72 | 30625 | 5184 | 12600 |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{12} y_{i}$ |  |  |  |
| $=1915$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i}^{2}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{12} y_{i}^{2}$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{12} x_{i} y_{i}$ |  |
| $=306675$ | $=50075$ | $=123365$ |  |  |

Table 5.1: Raw Data for Responses of an Oxidizing Chemical Gas Multisensor Device

| No | $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{X}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.6 | 150 | 11.9 | 13.6 | 48.9 |
| 2 | 2 | 112 | 9.4 | 13.3 | 47.7 |
| 3 | 2.2 | 88 | 9.0 | 11.9 | 54.0 |
| 4 | 2.2 | 80 | 9.2 | 11.0 | 60.0 |
| 5 | 1.6 | 51 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 59.6 |
| 6 | 1.2 | 38 | 4.7 | 11.2 | 59.2 |
| 7 | 1.2 | 31 | 3.6 | 11.3 | 56.8 |
| 8 | 1 | 31 | 3.3 | 10.7 | 60.0 |
| 9 | 0.9 | 24 | 2.3 | 10.7 | 59.7 |
| 10 | 0.6 | 19 | 1.7 | 10.3 | 60.2 |
| 11 | 1 | 14 | 1.3 | 10.1 | 60.5 |
| 12 | 0.7 | 8 | 1.1 | 11.0 | 56.2 |
| 13 | 0.7 | 16 | 1.6 | 10.5 | 58.1 |
| 14 | 1.1 | 29 | 3.2 | 10.2 | 59.6 |
| 15 | 2 | 64 | 8.0 | 10.8 | 57.4 |
| 16 | 2.2 | 87 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 60.6 |
| 17 | 1.7 | 77 | 6.3 | 10.8 | 58.4 |
| 18 | 1.5 | 43 | 5.0 | 10.5 | 57.9 |
| 19 | 1.6 | 61 | 5.2 | 9.5 | 66.8 |
| 20 | 1.9 | 63 | 7.3 | 8.3 | 76.4 |
| 21 | 2.9 | 164 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 81.1 |
| 22 | 2.2 | 79 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 79.8 |
| 23 | 2.2 | 95 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 71.2 |
| 24 | 2.9 | 150 | 11.2 | 9.8 | 67.6 |
| 25 | 4.8 | 307 | 20.8 | 10.3 | 64.2 |
| 26 | 6.1 | 401 | 24.0 | 9.6 | 67.8 |
| 27 | 3.9 | 197 | 12.8 | 9.1 | 64.0 |
| 28 | 1.5 | 61 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 63.4 |
| 29 | 1 | 26 | 2.6 | 8.2 | 60.8 |
| 30 | 1.7 | 55 | 5.9 | 8.3 | 58.5 |
| 31 | 1.9 | 53 | 6.4 | 7.7 | 59.7 |
| 32 | 1.4 | 40 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 61.8 |
| 33 | 0.8 | 21 | 1.9 | 7.0 | 62.3 |
| 34 | 1 | 10 | 1.1 | 6.1 | 65.9 |
| 35 | 0.6 | 7 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 65.0 |
| 36 | 0.8 | 17 | 1.8 | 6.8 | 62.9 |
| 37 | 1.4 | 33 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 65.1 |
| 38 | 4.4 | 202 | 17.9 | 7.3 | 63.1 |
| 39 | 3.1 | 208 | 14.0 | 13.2 | 41.7 |
| 40 | 2.7 | 166 | 11.6 | 14.3 | 38.4 |
| 41 | 2.1 | 114 | 10.2 | 15.0 | 36.5 |
| 42 | 2.5 | 140 | 11.0 | 16.1 | 34.5 |


| 43 | 2.7 | 169 | 12.8 | 16.3 | 35.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | 2.9 | 185 | 14.2 | 15.8 | 37.0 |
| 45 | 2.8 | 165 | 12.7 | 15.9 | 37.2 |
| 46 | 2.4 | 133 | 11.7 | 16.9 | 34.3 |
| 47 | 3.9 | 233 | 19.3 | 15.1 | 39.6 |
| 48 | 3.7 | 242 | 18.2 | 14.4 | 43.4 |

Table 5.2: PCs Obtained from the Data in Table 5.1

| No | $\hat{Y}_{1}$ | $\hat{Y}_{2}$ | $\hat{Y}_{3}$ | $\hat{Y}_{4}$ | $\hat{Y}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -51.2958 | -6.55886 | -0.71852 | 0.486873 | -0.07102 |
| 2 | -13.2883 | -9.19938 | -0.48149 | 0.286172 | -0.14154 |
| 3 | 10.92332 | -3.76615 | -1.44858 | -0.61339 | 0.123353 |
| 4 | 19.1301 | 1.972395 | -2.32466 | -0.92397 | 0.056385 |
| 5 | 48.20622 | 0.367261 | -1.86954 | -0.11958 | 0.014408 |
| 6 | 61.27249 | -0.53828 | -1.17857 | 0.610047 | -0.04442 |
| 7 | 68.22813 | -3.18514 | -0.47894 | 0.873281 | 0.172366 |
| 8 | 68.38279 | 0.104629 | -0.31623 | 1.075778 | -0.02553 |
| 9 | 75.41526 | -0.48042 | 0.112678 | 1.432308 | 0.059821 |
| 10 | 80.46869 | -0.08528 | 0.498217 | 1.4733 | -0.1452 |
| 11 | 85.49178 | 0.0198 | 0.602083 | 1.450214 | -0.02292 |
| 12 | 91.30817 | -4.61843 | 0.482642 | 1.418906 | 0.148377 |
| 13 | 83.38254 | -2.27696 | 0.601319 | 1.273893 | 0.000521 |
| 14 | 70.37346 | -0.27035 | 0.035788 | 0.665405 | 0.074105 |
| 15 | 35.06707 | -1.13928 | -1.68892 | -1.23481 | 0.110077 |
| 16 | 12.16195 | 2.94574 | -1.93635 | -1.11286 | -0.0484 |
| 17 | 22.25349 | 0.326824 | 0.001645 | 0.671607 | 0.018008 |
| 18 | 56.23252 | -1.4166 | -0.42989 | 0.002421 | 0.169158 |
| 19 | 38.61827 | 8.180982 | -0.47473 | 0.994041 | 0.057752 |
| 20 | 36.87886 | 17.91423 | -2.48843 | 0.055122 | -0.06456 |
| 21 | -63.9237 | 26.72317 | -1.0652 | 1.508279 | -0.21049 |
| 22 | 20.94877 | 21.84709 | -3.38511 | 0.022975 | -0.09596 |
| 23 | 4.677818 | 13.78364 | -1.80796 | 0.859323 | 0.017674 |
| 24 | -50.4828 | 12.56047 | -0.56733 | 0.68511 | 0.057903 |
| 25 | -207.802 | 15.42761 | 0.486806 | 0.134771 | -0.19429 |
| 26 | -301.597 | 22.92727 | 2.767571 | 1.587757 | 0.139016 |
| 27 | -97.5887 | 11.05685 | 1.612268 | 0.445571 | 0.57609 |
| 28 | 38.5421 | 5.16245 | 1.344803 | -0.02524 | -0.02067 |
| 29 | 73.47937 | 1.209124 | 1.460391 | -0.22801 | -0.02553 |
| 30 | 44.26238 | 0.09448 | 0.921924 | -1.58942 | 0.06085 |
| 31 | 46.27435 | 1.249953 | 0.685031 | -2.31366 | 0.154229 |
| 32 | 59.47322 | 2.947638 | 1.695152 | -1.40048 | 0.015653 |
| 33 | 78.59231 | 2.691527 | 2.433926 | -0.55224 | -0.15028 |


| 34 | 89.7634 | 5.971768 | 2.480882 | -0.57658 | -0.13831 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 92.71788 | 4.905603 | 2.363833 | -0.68419 | -0.21121 |
| 36 | 82.60945 | 3.230938 | 2.281146 | -0.7858 | -0.14735 |
| 37 | 66.57454 | 5.992453 | 1.14925 | -1.8708 | -0.03295 |
| 38 | -102.913 | 10.72592 | -0.3985 | -4.29991 | 0.173653 |
| 39 | -109.538 | -11.09 | 2.00457 | 0.080806 | -0.15887 |
| 40 | -67.6461 | -16.2441 | 1.383758 | 0.401827 | 0.067493 |
| 41 | -15.7882 | -20.4353 | -0.36651 | -0.45317 | -0.0401 |
| 42 | -41.8603 | -21.5636 | -0.07351 | 0.492976 | 0.183013 |
| 43 | -70.8465 | -19.204 | -0.21888 | 0.700529 | -0.01778 |
| 44 | -86.8285 | -17.1995 | -0.24299 | 0.289267 | -0.11809 |
| 45 | -66.7921 | -17.8679 | -0.31095 | 0.50056 | 0.087007 |
| 46 | -34.9407 | -22.1494 | -1.42233 | 0.259372 | 0.047786 |
| 47 | -134.926 | -12.6893 | -1.50634 | -1.60781 | -0.18364 |
| 48 | -143.667 | -8.35297 | -0.36307 | -0.46784 | -0.3053 |

Table 6.2: Row Data about the Heating Load Process

| No | $Y_{1}$ | $X_{1}$ | $X_{2}$ | $X_{3}$ | $X_{4}$ | $X_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 21.33 | 0.98 | 514.50 | 294.00 | 110.25 | 7.00 |
| 2 | 28.28 | 0.90 | 563.50 | 318.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 3 | 27.30 | 0.86 | 588.00 | 294.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 4 | 24.93 | 0.82 | 612.50 | 318.50 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 5 | 37.73 | 0.79 | 637.00 | 343.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 6 | 29.40 | 0.76 | 661.50 | 416.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 7 | 10.90 | 0.74 | 68600 | 245.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 8 | 11.67 | 0.71 | 710.50 | 269.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 9 | 11.74 | 0.69 | 735.00 | 294.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 10 | 12.14 | 0.66 | 759.50 | 318.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 11 | 16.78 | 0.64 | 784.00 | 343.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 12 | 12.04 | 0.62 | 808.50 | 367.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 13 | 26.47 | 0.98 | 514.50 | 294.00 | 110.25 | 7.00 |
| 14 | 34.33 | 0.90 | 563.50 | 318.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 15 | 30.89 | 0.86 | 588.00 | 294.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 16 | 28.51 | 0.82 | 612.50 | 318.50 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 17 | 41.68 | 0.79 | 637.00 | 343.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 18 | 33.67 | 0.76 | 661.50 | 416.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 19 | 13.43 | 0.74 | 686.00 | 245.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 20 | 14.27 | 0.71 | 710.50 | 269.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 21 | 14.28 | 0.69 | 735.00 | 294.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 22 | 13.65 | 0.66 | 759.50 | 318.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 23 | 19.37 | 0.64 | 784.00 | 343.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 24 | 14.27 | 0.62 | 808.50 | 367.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 25 | 25.95 | 0.98 | 514.50 | 294.00 | 110.25 | 7.00 |
| 26 | 34.20 | 0.90 | 563.50 | 318.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 27 | 30.91 | 0.86 | 588.00 | 294.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 28 | 28.79 | 0.82 | 612.50 | 318.50 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 29 | 41.07 | 0.79 | 637.00 | 343.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 30 | 14.11 | 0.71 | 710.50 | 269.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 31 | 13.51 | 0.69 | 735.00 | 294.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 32 | 14.86 | 0.66 | 759.50 | 318.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 33 | 19.30 | 0.64 | 784.00 | 343.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 34 | 14.37 | 0.62 | 808.50 | 367.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 35 | 26.14 | 0.98 | 514.50 | 294.00 | 110.25 | 7.00 |
| 36 | 34.14 | 0.90 | 563.50 | 318.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 37 | 27.40 | 0.86 | 588.00 | 294.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 38 | 28.68 | 0.82 | 612.50 | 318.50 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 39 | 34.29 | 0.79 | 637.00 | 343.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 40 | 33.85 | 0.76 | 661.50 | 416.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 41 | 13.49 | 0.74 | 686.00 | 245.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 42 | 14.14 | 0.71 | 710.50 | 269.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |


| 43 | 14.40 | 0.69 | 735.00 | 294.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | 13.46 | 0.66 | 759.50 | 318.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 45 | 19.29 | 0.64 | 784.00 | 343.00 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 46 | 14.09 | 0.62 | 808.50 | 367.50 | 220.50 | 3.50 |
| 47 | 25.87 | 0.98 | 514.50 | 294.00 | 110.25 | 7.00 |
| 48 | 29.34 | 0.90 | 563.50 | 318.50 | 122.50 | 7.00 |
| 49 | 25.81 | 0.86 | 588.00 | 294.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |
| 50 | 36.87 | 0.79 | 637.00 | 343.00 | 147.00 | 7.00 |

Table 6.8: Row Data PCs

| No | $\hat{Y}_{1}$ | $\hat{Y}_{2}$ | $\hat{Y}_{3}$ | $\hat{Y}_{4}$ | $\hat{Y}_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -316.2185 | 345.047 | 10.509 | 329.697 | 188.382 |
| 2 | -347.573 | 374.247 | 10.627 | 361.302 | 206.178 |
| 3 | -371.908 | 351.588 | -3.233 | 382.481 | 213.553 |
| 4 | -384.700 | 378.608 | 1.464 | 396.491 | 222.959 |
| 5 | -397.486 | 405.625 | 6.1683 | 410.508 | 232.365 |
| 6 | -398.729 | 482.322 | 29.430 | 417.354 | 243.801 |
| 7 | -459.406 | 309.841 | -37.323 | 459.062 | 245.085 |
| 8 | -472.193 | 336.859 | -32.620 | 473.078 | 254.490 |
| 9 | -484.974 | 363.875 | -27.911 | 487.101 | 263.896 |
| 10 | -497.760 | 390.892 | -23.208 | 501.118 | 273.302 |
| 11 | -510.542 | 417.908 | -18.499 | 515.141 | 282.707 |
| 12 | -523.323 | 444.924 | -13.791 | 529.165 | 292.113 |
| 13 | -316.218 | 345.047 | 10.509 | 329.697 | 188.382 |
| 14 | -347.573 | 374.247 | 10.627 | 361.302 | 206.178 |
| 15 | -371.908 | 351.588 | -3.233 | 382.481 | 213.553 |
| 16 | -384.700 | 378.6081 | 1.464 | 396.491 | 222.959 |
| 17 | -397.486 | 405.625 | 6.168 | 410.508 | 232.365 |
| 18 | -398.729 | 482.322 | 29.430 | 417.354 | 243.801 |
| 19 | -459.406 | 309.8417 | -37.323 | 459.062 | 245.085 |
| 20 | -472.193 | 336.859 | -32.620 | 473.078 | 254.490 |
| 21 | -484.974 | 363.875 | -27.911 | 487.101 | 263.896 |
| 22 | -497.760 | 390.892 | -23.208 | 501.118 | 273.302 |
| 23 | -510.542 | 417.908 | -18.499 | 515.141 | 282.707 |
| 24 | -523.323 | 444.924 | -13.791 | 529.165 | 292.113 |
| 25 | -316.218 | 345.047 | 10.509 | 329.697 | 188.382 |
| 26 | -347.573 | 374.247 | 10.627 | 361.302 | 206.178 |
| 27 | -371.908 | 351.588 | -3.233 | 382.481 | 213.553 |
| 28 | -384.700 | 378.608 | 1.464 | 396.491 | 222.959 |
| 29 | -397.486 | 405.625 | 6.168 | 410.508 | 232.365 |
| 30 | -472.193 | 336.859 | -32.620 | 473.078 | 254.490 |
| 31 | -484.974 | 363.875 | -27.911 | 487.101 | 263.896 |
| 32 | -497.760 | 390.892 | -23.208 | 501.118 | 273.302 |
| 33 | -510.542 | 417.908 | -18.499 | 515.141 | 282.707 |
| 34 | -523.323 | 444.924 | -13.791 | 529.165 | 292.113 |
| 35 | -316.218 | 345.047 | 10.509 | 329.697 | 188.382 |
| 36 | -347.573 | 374.247 | 10.627 | 361.302 | 206.178 |
| 37 | -371.908 | 351.588 | -3.233 | 382.481 | 213.553 |
| 38 | -384.700 | 378.608 | 1.464 | 396.491 | 222.959 |
| 39 | -397.486 | 405.625 | 6.168 | 410.508 | 232.365 |
| 40 | -398.729 | 482.322 | 29.430 | 417.354 | 243.801 |
| 41 | -459.406 | 309.841 | -37.323 | 459.062 | 245.085 |
| 42 | -472.193 | 336.859 | -32.620 | 473.078 | 254.490 |
| 43 | -484.974 | 363.875 | -27.911 | 487.101 | 263.896 |
| 44 | -497.760 | 390.892 | -23.208 | 501.118 | 273.302 |


| 45 | -510.542 | 417.908 | -18.499 | 515.141 | 282.707 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | -523.323 | 444.924 | -13.791 | 529.165 | 292.113 |
| 47 | -316.218 | 345.047 | 10.509 | 329.697 | 188.382 |
| 48 | -347.573 | 374.247 | 10.627 | 361.302 | 206.178 |
| 49 | -371.908 | 351.588 | -3.233 | 382.481 | 213.553 |
| 50 | -397.486 | 405.625 | 6.168 | 410.508 | 232.365 |

