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We consider the interesting "near-horizon test" reported in S. E. P. Bergliaffa and Y.E. C. de O. Nunes, Phys. Rev. D 84, 084006 (2011) for any static, spherically symmetric black hole solution admitted in $f(R)$ gravity. Before adopting the necessary conditions for the test, however, revisions are needed as we point out in this Comment.
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In order to derive the necessary conditions for the existence of static, spherically symmetric (SSS) black holes, we consider the series expansions of all expressions in the vicinity of the event horizon [1].

Our four-dimensional action that represents the Einstein- $f(R)$ gravity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \kappa} \int \sqrt{-g} f(R) d^{4} x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\kappa=8 \pi G$, and $f(R)$ is a real arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar $R$. The four-dimensional SSS black hole's line element is chosen to be as [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
d s^{2}= & -e^{-2 \Phi}\left(1-\frac{b}{r}\right) d t^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{b}{r}\right)} d r^{2}+r^{2}\left(d \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta d \varphi^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi$ and $b$ are two unknown real functions of $r$ and at the horizon, $r=r_{0}$, we have $b\left(r_{0}\right)=b_{0}=r_{0}$.

Variation of the action with respect to the metric gives the following field equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{R} R_{\mu}^{\nu}-\frac{f}{2} \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}-\nabla^{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} f_{R}+\delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \square f_{R}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $f_{R}=\frac{d f}{d R}, \square=\nabla^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_{\mu}\left(\sqrt{-g} \partial^{\mu}\right)$, and $\nabla^{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} h=g^{\lambda \nu} \nabla_{\lambda} h_{, \mu}=g^{\lambda \nu}\left(\partial_{\lambda} h_{, \mu}-\Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\beta} h_{, \beta}\right)$ [2,3]. This leads to the field equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{R} R_{t}^{t}-\frac{f}{2}+\square f_{R}=\nabla^{t} \nabla_{t} f_{R}  \tag{4}\\
& f_{R} R_{r}^{r}-\frac{f}{2}+\square f_{R}=\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} f_{R}  \tag{5}\\
& f_{R} R_{\theta}^{\theta}-\frac{f}{2}+\square f_{R}=\nabla^{\theta} \nabla_{\theta} f_{R} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

which are independent. Note that the $\varphi \varphi$ equation is identical with the $\theta \theta$ equation. By adding the four equations (i.e., $t t, r r, \theta \theta$, and $\varphi \varphi$ ) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{R} R-2 f+3 \square f_{R}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]which is the trace of Eq. (3). We note that this is not an independent equation from the other three equations. One may consider this equation with only two of the others. In other words, if one considers the latter equation with the other three equations, two of them become identical. The Eqs. (3-6) of Ref. [1] involve unfortunate errors so that we evaluate each Ricci tensor component in some detail. In the following we shall expand the unknown functions about the horizon that will determine the near-horizon behavior. To do so we introduce
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon x=r-r_{0}, \quad|\epsilon| \ll 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi=\Phi_{0}+\Phi_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon x+\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{2} x^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{9}\\
b=b_{0}+b_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon x+\frac{1}{2} b_{0}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{2} x^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{10}\\
f=f_{0}+f_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon x+\frac{1}{2} f_{0}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{2} x^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{11}\\
R=R_{0}+R_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon x+\frac{1}{2} R_{0}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{2} x^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{12}\\
F=\frac{d f}{d R}=F_{0}+F_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon x+\frac{1}{2} F_{0}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{2} x^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{13}\\
E=\frac{d^{2} f}{d R^{2}}=E_{0}+E_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon x+\frac{1}{2} E_{0}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{2} x^{2}+\ldots,  \tag{14}\\
H=\frac{d^{3} f}{d R^{3}}=H_{0}+H_{0}^{\prime} \epsilon x+\frac{1}{2} H_{0}^{\prime \prime} \epsilon^{2} x^{2}+\ldots, \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which a prime denotes derivative with respect to $r$. Another notation is such that $Y_{0}=Y\left(r_{0}\right), Y_{0}^{\prime}=\left.\frac{d Y}{d r}\right|_{r=r_{0}}$, $Y_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\left.\frac{d^{2} Y}{d r^{2}}\right|_{r=r_{0}}$ and so on, in which $Y$ represents any function used here. We evaluate also the near-horizon form of $\square f_{R}$ and the other similar terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square f_{R}=\frac{d^{3} f}{d R^{3}} g^{11}\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\frac{d^{2} f}{d R^{2}} \square R \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square R=\left(R^{\prime \prime}+\frac{R^{\prime}}{r}-\Phi^{\prime} R^{\prime}\right)\left(1-\frac{b}{r}\right)+\frac{R^{\prime}}{r}\left(1-b^{\prime}\right), \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, up to the first order in $\epsilon$ it would read

$$
\square f_{R} \simeq-\frac{E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)}{r_{0}}-\frac{\left(H_{0} R_{0}^{\prime 2}-\left(E_{0} \Phi_{0}^{\prime}-E_{0}^{\prime}\right) R_{0}^{\prime}+2 E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)+R_{0}^{\prime} E_{0} b_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{r_{0}} \epsilon x .
$$

Similarly, the other terms to the first order read

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{t} \nabla_{t} f_{R}= & -g^{t t} \frac{d^{2} f}{d R^{2}} R^{\prime} \Gamma_{t t}^{r} \simeq-\frac{E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)}{2 r_{0}}-\frac{r_{0} E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)\left[E_{0}^{\prime} R_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}+E_{0}\left(R_{0}^{\prime \prime} r_{0}-2 R_{0}^{\prime}\left[1+\Phi_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}\right]\right)\right]}{2 r_{0}^{2}} \epsilon x,  \tag{18}\\
\nabla^{r} \nabla_{r} f_{R} & =g^{r r}\left(R^{\prime \prime} \frac{d^{2} f}{d R^{2}}+R^{\prime 2} \frac{d^{3} f}{d R^{3}}-\frac{d^{2} f}{d R^{2}} R^{\prime} \Gamma_{t t}^{r}\right) \\
& \simeq-\frac{E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)}{2 r_{0}}-\frac{r_{0} E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}^{\prime \prime}+\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)\left[R_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}\left(2 R_{0}^{\prime} H_{0}+E_{0}^{\prime}\right)-E_{0}\left(2 R_{0}^{\prime}-3 r_{0} R_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]}{2 r_{0}^{2}} \epsilon x, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\theta} \nabla_{\theta} f_{R}=-g^{\theta \theta} \frac{d^{2} f}{d R^{2}} R^{\prime} \Gamma_{\theta \theta}^{r} \simeq-\frac{E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)}{r_{0}^{2}} \epsilon x . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, the Ricci tensor components become

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}^{t}=\frac{b_{0}^{\prime \prime}-3\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right) \Phi_{0}^{\prime}}{2 r_{0}}+\frac{\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)\left[\left(2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime 2}-5 \Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) r_{0}+2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right]-b_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(3 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}+1\right)+r_{0} b_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}}{2 r_{0}^{2}} \boldsymbol{\epsilon x} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{r}^{r}=R_{t}^{t}+\frac{2\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right) \Phi_{0}^{\prime}}{r_{0}^{2}} \epsilon x \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\varphi}^{\varphi}=R_{\theta}^{\theta}=\frac{b_{0}^{\prime}}{r_{0}}-\frac{\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)\left(\Phi_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}+2\right)-b_{0}^{\prime \prime} r_{0}+2}{r_{0}^{3}} \epsilon x . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we rewrite the field equations up to the first order in $\epsilon$. After matching the zeroth order terms we find from (4) and (5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)\left(3 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} F_{0}+E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime}\right)+F_{0} b_{0}^{\prime \prime}-f_{0} r_{0}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

while from (6) it yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)\left(F_{0}-E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}\right)+F_{0}-\frac{1}{2} f_{0} r_{0}^{2}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{\left[\left(2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime 2}-5 \Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right) r_{0}^{2}+2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}-4\right]\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)-r_{0}^{2}\left(3 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} b_{0}^{\prime \prime}-b_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)-4+b_{0}^{\prime \prime} r_{0}}{r_{0}^{3}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike the result of Eq. (14) in Ref. [1], here from Eqs. (26) and (27) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{0}}{F_{0}}=2 R_{0}-\frac{6 b_{0}^{\prime}}{r_{0}^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{F_{0}}{E_{0}}=\frac{R_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)}{4 b_{0}^{\prime}-R_{0} r_{0}^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (11)-(15), one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=\frac{f_{0}^{\prime}}{R_{0}^{\prime}} ; \quad E_{0}=\frac{F_{0}^{\prime}}{R_{0}^{\prime}} ; \quad H_{0}=\frac{E_{0}^{\prime}}{R_{0}^{\prime}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these equations one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{0}}{F_{0}}=-2 \frac{\left(3 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}+1\right)\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)+1-b_{0}^{\prime \prime} r_{0}}{r_{0}^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{0}}{E_{0}}=\frac{r_{0}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right) R_{0}^{\prime}}{6 b_{0}^{\prime}-3 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)+b_{0}^{\prime \prime} r_{0}-2 R_{0} r_{0}^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

knowing that the explicit form of $R_{0}$ and $R_{0}^{\prime}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}=\frac{2+b_{0}^{\prime \prime} r_{0}+\left(2-3 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} r_{0}\right)\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)}{r_{0}^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

As we mentioned before, Eq. (7) is not independent and is identically satisfied. After the zeroth order terms one may look at the first order equations from which upon combination of Eqs. (4) and (5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}\left(H_{0} R_{0}^{\prime 2}+E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime \prime}+E_{0} R_{0}^{\prime} \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right)+2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} F_{0}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which consequently implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H_{0}}{E_{0}}=\frac{\left(4 R_{0}^{\prime \prime}+6 R_{0}^{\prime} \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(b_{0}^{\prime}-1\right)+\left(R_{0}^{\prime \prime}+R_{0}^{\prime} \Phi_{0}^{\prime}\right)\left(4-R_{0} r_{0}^{2}\right)}{\left(R_{0} r_{0}^{2}-4 b_{0}^{\prime}\right) R_{0}^{\prime 2}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other equations are rather complicated so that we will not write them openly.

Our conclusion for a general SSS black hole solution in $f(R)$ gravity is that the necessary conditions for an $f(R)$ gravity to have $b_{0}=r_{0}$ type of solution are given by (28)-(31). To have a Schwarzschild-like black
hole with $b(r)=M=$ constant, these conditions reduce to the simpler condition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{0}}{F_{0}}=2 R_{0} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, for example, in $f(R)=\alpha(R+\beta)^{n}$, with $\alpha, \beta$ constants, metric is viable only for $n=\frac{1}{2}$. We add that for the Schwarzschild case (i.e. $n=1, \alpha=1$, $\beta=0$ ) condition (34) is trivially satisfied so that the "near-horizon test" concerns non-Schwarzschild SSS metrics in $f(R)$ gravity. Our result also conforms with Ref. [4].
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