

On Impulsive Sequential Fractional Differential Equations (ISFDE's)

Bilal Sami Mohammad Ghadaireh

Submitted to the
Institute of Graduate Studies and Research
in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Mathematics

Eastern Mediterranean University
February 2018
Gazimağusa, North Cyprus

Approval of the Institute of Graduate Studies and Research

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ali Hakan Ulusoy
Acting Director

I certify that this thesis satisfies the requirements as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics.

Prof. Dr. Nazim Mahmudov
Chair, Department of Mathematics

We certify that we have read this thesis and that in our opinion it is full adequate in scope and quality as a thesis for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics.

Prof. Dr. Nazim Mahmudov
Supervisor

Examining Committee

1. Prof. Dr. Nazim Mahmudov
2. Prof. Dr. Hamza Menken
3. Prof. Dr. Sonu Zorlu Ođurly
4. Prof. Dr. Hanlar Reşidođlu
5. Asst. Prof. Dr. Mehmet Ali Tut

ABSTRACT

In this thesis, we study the existence and uniqueness of a nonlinear impulsive sequential fractional differential equations of order $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ involving Liouville-Caputo fractional derivative supplemented with the separate boundary value conditions. The subject of boundary value problem and fractional differential equations are very important in many fields of science and engineering. In fact, both sequential fractional differential equations and Impulsive fractional differential equations are studied individually from various perspectives. However, this topic combining both of them to produce a wider case namely, impulsive sequential fractional differential equations. By doing so, a new existence and uniqueness results of solutions are provided for the problems.

Keywords: Nonlinear impulsive sequential fractional differential equations, Caputo fractional derivative, Banach fixed point theorem.

ÖZ

Bu tezde, ayrı sınır-değer koşullarıyla desteklenmiş, Liouville-Caputo kesirli türevi içeren, $\alpha \in (1,2]$ mertebeli doğrusal olmayan Impulsif sıralı kesirli diferansiyel denklemlerin varlık ve tekliği çalışılacaktır. Sınır-değer problemleri ve kesirli diferansiyel denklemler, temel bilimler ve mühendisliğin birçok alanında çok büyük önem taşımaktadır. Hem sıralı kesirli diferansiyel denklemler hem de impulsif kesirli diferansiyel denklemler ayrı ayrı farklı perspektiflerden çalışılmıştır. Ancak, bu iki tip diferansiyel denklemin birleştirilmesiyle elde edilen ve daha geniş bir sınıf oluşturan impulsif sıralı kesirli diferansiyel denklemler sadece bu tezde çalışılmıştır. Bu çalışmada, bu özel tipteki diferansiyel denklemlerin çözümü için yeni varlık ve teklik sonuçları elde edilmiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: doğrusal olmayan impulsif sıralı kesirli diferansiyel denklemler, Caputo kesirli türevi, Banach Sabit nokta teoremi.

DEDICATION

I am dedicating this thesis to my family

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

It is with a deep sense of academic fulfillment that I humbly acknowledge the following for their individual and collective efforts in my journey into this doctorate degree.

Firstly, I would like to appreciate the EMU community, especially the mathematics department for allowing me to become a part of it.

Secondly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my instructors from the beginning of this program to the very end of it.

To my supervisor, an intellectual giant, Prof. Dr. Nazim Mahmudov, your patience, guidance and tireless efforts all through my research work is incredibly amazing. I deeply appreciate and indeed owe you a debt of gratitude.

To my friends, whose helped and support me, all the love and respect to you.

To my fellow students, who walked through this path with me, we emerged victorious.

To my family, my very anchor, my pillar and firm backbone, your support and constant words of encouragement pulled me through.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	iii
ÖZ	iv
DEDICATION.....	v
ACKNOWLEDGMENT.....	vi
LIST OF SYMBOLS /ABBREVIATIONS	ix
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 GENERAL CONCEPTS OF FC AND FDE	6
2.1 Preliminaries of FC	6
2.1.1 GF.....	6
2.1.2 R-L Fractional Integration	7
2.1.3 R-L Fractional Derivative	8
2.2 Reviews of the FDE's	9
2.2.1 Contraction Mapping	9
2.2.2 FPT.....	9
2.2.3 Banach- FPT	10
2.2.4 Krasnoselskii's-FPT.....	10
2.2.5 Schauder's-FPT.....	10
2.2.6 Leary Schauder's-FPT	10
3 SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE- SFDEs.....	11
3.1 Methods of Solving ISFDE's with Separated BC's.....	11
3.1.1 Results of Nonlinear Impulsive- SFDE's.....	12
4 EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS	28
4.1 Existence and Uniqueness Results	28
4.1.1 Existence results of the problem (3.1)-(3.2)	29
4.1.2 Existence results of the problem (3.1)-(3.3)	35

4.1.3 Existence results of the problem (3.2)-(3.5)	44
4.2 Examples	50
4.2.1 Example of the problem (3.1)-(3.3)	50
4.2.2 Example of the problem (3.1)-(3.3)	51
4.2.3 Example of the problem (3.1)-(3.4)	52
4.2.4 Example of the problem (3.2)-(3.5)	53
5 CONCLUSION	54
REFERENCES	55

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BVP	Boundary Value Problem
BC's	Boundary Conditions
GF	Gamma Function
CD	Caputo derivative
IC's	Impulsive Conditions
FDE's	Fractional Differential Equations
FC	Fractional Calculus
L-C	Liouville-Caputo
FP	Fixed Point
FPT	Fixed Point Theorem
R&L- HL'S	Right and Left-Hand Limit's
R-L	Riemann-Liouville
LHS	Left Hand Side
RHS	Right Hand Side

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

The 1695 curiosity of L'Hopital in response to a letter by Leibniz's regarding the meaning of derivatives with integer order being generalizing to derivatives with non-integers has been an old but developed topic FC as a sect of calculus is a process of investigation as well as application of integrals and derivatives into a relative order [1], [2].

Recent work on BVP with FDE's have successfully been adopted and implemented in various scientific and engineering fields. The results and impact of BVP and FDE's have led to continuous interest and further research works on the field. BVP and FDE'S including the R-L fractional derivative or the Caputo fractional derivative have been gaining much importance to see [3]- [28].

On the other hand, the subjects of impulsive-FDR's and sequential -FDE's have been recently addressed by many researchers and it is paid more and more attention [29]- [40]. However, this thesis ties both of them to produce a wider case namely, impulsive sequential fractional differential equations.

A couple of FPT, which are Leray-Schauder's and Altman's were used, in 2011 [29], to find the existence of the solutions of the problem which is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^q u(t) &= f(t, u(t)), t \in [0, 1], 1 < q \leq 2, \\ au(0) - bu'(0) &= x_0 \quad cu(1) + du'(1) = x_1, \\ \Delta u(t_k) &= u(t_k^+) - u(t_k^-) = Q_k(u(t_k)), \\ \Delta u'(t_k) &= u'(t_k^+) - u'(t_k^-) = I_k(u(t_k)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \end{aligned}$$

with ${}^c D^q$ Caputo derivative of order $q \in (1, 2]$.

In [30], Liu and Haibo studied the following problem of nonlocal BVP with IFDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^q u(t) &= f(t, u(t), u'(t)), t \in [0, 1], 1 < q \leq 2, \\ \alpha u(0) + \beta u'(0) &= g_1(u), \quad \alpha u(1) + \beta u'(1) = g_2(u), \\ \Delta u(t_k) &= I_k(u(t_k)), \quad \Delta u'(t_k) = J_k(u(t_k)), t_k \in (0, 1) \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \end{aligned}$$

where $J = [0, 1]$, $F: J \times R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function.

In [31], Jianxin and Haibo studied the problem of nonlinear IFDE's with BC's that consider as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^q u(t) &= f(t, u(t), u(\omega(t))), t \in J', \\ u(t) &= 0, t \in [-r, 0] \\ \Delta u|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(u(t_k)), \quad \Delta u|_{t=t_k} = I_k^*(u(t_k)), k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

subject to the nonlinear BC's as follows:

$$g_0(u(t), u(T)) = 0, \quad g_0(u'(t), u'(T)) = 0$$

with ${}^c D^q$ Caputo derivative of order q .

In [32], Xiaoping, Fulai & Xuezhu studied the following anti-periodic BVP of IFDE's:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_0^q u(t) &= f(t, u(t)), t \in J' := J \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m\}, J = [0, 1], \\ \alpha u(0) + \beta u(1) &= 0, \quad \alpha u'(0) + \beta u'(1) = \sigma_2, \\ \Delta u(t_k) &= I_k, \quad \Delta u'(t_k) = J_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^c D_t^q$ the Caputo fractional derivative of order $q \in (1, 2]$.

In [33], Peiluan & Youlin studied the following impulsive-FDE with nonlocal BVP's:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_t^q u(t) &= f(t, u(t)), t \in J = [0, 1] \setminus \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p\}, \\ au(0) + bu(1) &= g_1(u), \quad au'(1) + bu'(1) = g_2(u), \\ \Delta u(t_k) &= I_k u(t_k^-), \quad \Delta u'(t_k) = J_k u(t_k^-), k = 1, 2, \dots, p, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^c D_t^q$ the Caputo fractional derivative of order $q \in (1, 2]$.

In 2016 [34], Shuai & Shuqin, discussed a IFDE's with BVP. They transferred the BVP into the equivalent integral equation. Banach- FPT and Schauder- FPT. They are used to acquire the existence of the solutions of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^\alpha x(t) &= f(t, x(t)), \alpha \in (1, 2], t \in J = [0, 1], t = t_k, \\ x(0) &= h(x), x(1) = g(x) \\ \Delta x|_{t=t_k} &= I_k(x(t_k)), \Delta x|_{t=t_k} = I_k^*(x(t_k)), k = 1, 2, \dots, m; t_k = (0, 1) \\ h(x) &= \min_j \frac{|x(\zeta_j)|}{\kappa + |x(\zeta_j)|}, g(x) = \max_j \frac{|x(\xi_j)|}{\lambda + |x(\xi_j)|}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_j < 1$, $0 < \xi_j$, $\zeta_j \neq t_i$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and κ, λ are given positive constants.

In [35], Nazim and Unul provided existence of solutions for the following IFDE's of order q with mixed BVP:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D_0^q x(t) &= f(t, x(t)), t \in [0, 1], 1 < q \leq 2, \\ x(0) + \mu_1 x'(1) &= \sigma_1, x(0) + \mu_2 x'(1) = \sigma_2, \\ \Delta x(t_k) &= x(t_k^+) - x(t_k^-) = I_k(x(t_k)), \\ \Delta x'(t_k) &= x'(t_k^+) - x'(t_k^-) = J_k(x(t_k)), k = 1, 2, \dots, q, \end{aligned}$$

with ${}^c D^q$ Caputo derivative of order $q \in (1, 2]$, $f \in (J \times R, R)$, $\varphi_k, I_k \in R \rightarrow R$ is a continuous function, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = 1$.

In 2013 [36], Bashir & Juan FPT used to establish the existence results for a sequential integro-differential equation of fractional order with some BC's, which is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^c D^\alpha + \lambda {}^c D^{\alpha-1})u(t) &= pf(t, u(t)) + qI^\alpha g(t, u(t)), t \in [0, 1], \\ u(t) &= 0, u(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^c D^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α .

In [37], Bashir & Sotiris obtained a new existence result by using standard FPT:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^q (D + \lambda)x(t) &\in f_1(t, x(t)), q \in (2, 3], 0 < t < 1, n < \xi < n-1, \\ x(0) &= 0, x'(1) = 0, \\ x'(0) &= 0, \dots, x^{n-1}(0) = 0, x(1) = \alpha x(\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^c D^q$ is the Caputo fractional derivatives, $f : [0,1] \times R \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(R)$ is a multivalued map, $\mathcal{F}(R)$ is the family of all subsets of R .

In [38], the standard FPT has been used to obtain some existence results of the solutions for following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^c D^\alpha + k {}^c D^{\alpha-1})x(t) &= f(t, x(t)), t \in [0,1], 2 < \alpha \leq 3 \\ x(t) = 0, x'(0) = 0, x(\zeta) &= a \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} x(s) ds, \beta > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^c D^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α . $0 < \eta < \zeta < 1$.

In [39], B, Ahmad analyzed the existence and uniqueness results of three-BVP and sequential fractional integral-differential, given as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^c D^q + \lambda {}^c D^{q-1})x(t) &= f(t, {}^c D^\beta x(t), I^\gamma x(t)), q \in (2,3], t \in [0,1], k > 0, \gamma < 1, \\ x(0) = 0, x'(1) &= 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x(\zeta_i) &= \lambda \int_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\delta-1}}{\Gamma(\delta)} x(s) ds, \delta \geq 1, 0 < \eta < \zeta < \dots < \zeta < 1, \end{aligned}$$

Here $D(\cdot)$ is the Caputo derivatives of fractional order (\cdot) , $f : [0,1] \times R^3 \rightarrow R$ is a given continuous function.

In [40], Alsaed, et al used Banach-FPT to develop the existence theory for the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^c D^q + k {}^c D^{q-1})u(t) &= f(t, u(t)), 1 < q \leq 2, t \in [0, T], \\ \alpha_1 u(0) + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u(\eta_i) + \gamma_1 u(T) &= \beta_1, \\ \alpha_2 u'(0) + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i u'(\eta_i) + \gamma_2 u'(T) &= \beta_2, \\ \alpha_3 u''(0) + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i u''(\eta_i) + \gamma_3 u''(T) &= \beta_3, \end{aligned}$$

with ${}^c D$ Caputo fractional derivative.

Moreover, in chapter 2 we will consider some concept of FC and FDE's and in chapter 3 we will consider the problems involving an Impulsive (SFDE's) with different BCs. The existence and uniqueness results of the solution of an Impulsive- SFDEs with BC's are obtained by using some theorems such as FPT, contraction mapping and Krasnoselskii's-FPT as we will see that in chapter 4. Next, we demonstrate the result of the existence and uniqueness by introducing some examples.

Chapter 2

GENERAL CONCEPTS OF FC AND FDE

This chapter consists of fundamental concepts, definitions and some theories of FC and FDE's that were employed to provide a solution to the upcoming problem in the next chapters.

2.1 Preliminaries of FC

In this section, we will review the definitions and properties of FC [1], [2].

2.1.1 GF

The GF is the one of basic mathematical function and it is used in many fields in applied sciences.

Theorem 2.1 1 If $\text{Re}(z) > 0$, then

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt. \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.2 Let $z > 0, n \in N$, define:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n-1)! n^z}{z(z+1)(z+2)\dots(z+n-1)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n-1)! n^z}{(z)_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.3 The Euler Maschoroni Constant (EMC)

The constant γ defined by

$$\gamma = \lim \left(\sum_{r=1}^p \frac{1}{r} \log p \right), \quad (2.3)$$

which is equal to 0.5772.

Properties 2.4 Some properties of the GF .

Let $z \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(1) \Gamma(n+1) = n!,$$

$$(2) \Gamma(z) = \Gamma(z+1) \frac{1}{z}, \text{ for negative value of } z,$$

$$(3) (z)_n = \frac{\Gamma(z+n)}{\Gamma(z)},$$

$$(4) \Gamma(z)\Gamma(z-1) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(z\pi)},$$

$$(5) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+z\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-z\right) = \pi \sec(z\pi),$$

$$(6) \Gamma(z) = z^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^z \left(1 + \frac{z}{n}\right)^{-1},$$

$$(9) \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} = z \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \left(n^{-z} \prod_{k=1}^z \left(1 + \frac{z}{k}\right) \right).$$

By using the above equations, we have

$$(1) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \pi,$$

$$(2) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right) = \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \frac{\pi}{2}} = \pi,$$

$$(3) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\pi}.$$

2.1.2 R-L Fractional Integration

Fractional integration can be defined in [29]:

Definition 2.5

We define the RHS of R-L Fractional integrals I_a^α of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function

$f : [a, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$I_a^\alpha f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t f(r)(t-r)^{\alpha-1} dr, \quad a > 0, t \in (a, b], \quad (2.4)$$

provided that the R-HS is point-wise defined on $[a, \infty)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes GF.

2.1.3 R-L Fractional Derivative

Let us the fractional derivative as follows:

Definition 2.6

The RHS of R-L Fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$, $n-1 < \alpha < n$, $n \in N$, is defined as

$$D_{a^+}^{\alpha} f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_a^t f(r) (t-r)^{n-\alpha-1} dr, t > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where the function f has absolutely continuous derivative up to the order $n-1$.

Definition 2.7

The CD of order α for a function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ can be written as

$${}^c D^{\alpha} f(t) = D_0^{\alpha} \left(f(t) - \sum_{x=0}^{m-1} t^x \frac{f^{(x)}(0)}{k} \right), t > 0, m-1 < \alpha < m. t > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Remark If $f \in C^m[0, \infty)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^c D^{\alpha} f(t) &:= \int_0^t \frac{f^{(m)}(r)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} (t-r)^{-\alpha+m-1} dr \\ &= I^{\alpha+m} f^{(m)}(t), m-1 < \alpha < m, t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.8

For $\alpha > 0$, the general solution of the FDE's ${}^c D^{\alpha} v(t) = 0$ is given by

$$x(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where $a_j \in R$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $n = -[-\alpha]$.

In view of Lemma 2.8, it follows that

$$I_0^{\alpha} ({}^c D_0^{\alpha} v)(t) = x(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1},$$

where $a_j \in R$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, $n = -[-\alpha]$.

Definition 2.9

The sequential derivative for a sufficiently smooth function due to Miller-Ross is defined

as

$$D^\delta f(t) = D^{\delta_1} D^{\delta_2} \dots D^{\delta_k} f(t), t > 0, \quad (2.7)$$

where $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$, is a multi-index.

In general, the operator D^δ at (2.7) can either be R-L or Caputo or any other kind of integro-differential operator. For instance,

$${}^c D^\alpha f(t) = D^{-(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right) f(t), n-1 < \alpha < n,$$

where $D^{-(n-\alpha)}$ is a fractional integral operator of order $n-\alpha$. Here we emphasize that

$$D^{-b} f(t) = I^b f(t), b = n - \alpha.$$

2.2 Reviews of the FDE's

In this section we will consider the basic definitions and theorems for the FDE's necessary for use in the subsequent chapters.

2.2.1 Contraction Mapping

Definition 2.10

Let (X, d) be a metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping, or contraction, if there exist a constant c , with $0 \leq c < 1$, such that

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq cd(x, y); x, y \in X.$$

Theorem 2.11

If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction mapping on a complete metric space (X, d) , then there is exactly one solution $x \in X$.

2.2.2 FPT

Definition 2.12 Given a set E and a function $f : E \rightarrow E$, $y^* \in E$ is a FP of f if and only if $f(y^*) = y^*$.

Theorem 2.13 If $E = [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : E \rightarrow E$ is continuous then f has a FP.

2.2.3 Banach- FPT

Let (E, d) be a non-empty complete metric space with a contraction mapping $f : E \rightarrow E$

. Then f admits a UFP y^* in E (i.e.) $f(y^*) = y^*$. Furthermore, x^* can be found as

follows: start with an arbitrary element y_0 in X and define a sequence $\{y_m\}$ by

$$y_m = f(y_{m-1}), \text{ then } y_m \rightarrow y^* .$$

2.2.4 Krasnoselskii's-FPT

Let E be a closed convex and a nonempty subset of a Banach space X . Let T_1, T_2 be the operators such that:

1- $T_1x + T_2y \in E$ whenever $x, y \in E$;

2- T_1 is compact and continuous;

3- T_2 is a contraction mapping.

Then there exists $z \in E$ such that $z = T_1x + T_2y$.

2.2.5 Schauder's-FPT

If B is a non-empty, convex and compact subset Banach space X and $T : B \rightarrow B$ a continuous function, then T has a FP in B .

2.2.6 Leary Schauder's-FPT

If B is a non-empty, convex, bounded and closed subset of Banach space X and

$T : B \rightarrow B$ compact and continuous map, then T has a FP in B .

Lemma 2.14

The set $F \subset PC([0,1], R^n)$ is relatively compact if and only if

1 – F is bounded , that is $\|x\| \leq C$ for each $x \in F$ and some $C > 0$;

2 – F is quasi-equicontinuous in $[0, T]$. That is to say that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist

$\gamma > 0$ such that if $x \in F; k \in N; s_1, s_2 \in (t_{k-1}, t_k]$, and $|s_1 - s_2| < \gamma, |x(s_1) - x(s_2)| < \varepsilon$.

Chapter 3

SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR IMPULSIVE- SFDEs

Now, this chapter examine the solution of the nonlinear impulsive- SFDE's with diverse BC's. Using the BC's on nonlinear impulsive- SFDE's we reached the solution in section 3.1.1. Furthermore, we arrived at the solutions of section 3.1.2 using the BC's (3.4) on nonlinear impulsive- SFDE's (3.1) and section 3.1.3 using the BC's (3.5) on nonlinear impulsive- SFDE's (3.2) .

3.1 Methods of Solving ISFDE's with Separated BC's.

We will consider different BC's and L-C type nonlinear impulsive- SFDE's as follows:

$$({}^c D^\alpha + \lambda {}^c D^{\alpha-1})v(t) = f(t, v(t)), \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (3.1)$$

$$({}^c D_{t_m^+}^{\beta_m} + \lambda {}^c D_{t_m^+}^{\beta_m-1})v(t) = f(t, v(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \beta_m \leq 2. \quad (3.2)$$

The first BC's can expressed as

$$z_1 v(0) + w_1 v(0) = y_1, \quad z_2 v(1) + w_2 v(1) = y_2. \quad (3.3)$$

The second BC's can expressed as:

$$cv(0) + {}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(0) = x_1, \quad dv(1) + {}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(1) = x_2, \quad (3.4)$$

and the third BC's can expressed as:

$$v(0) = \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} v(\eta), \quad v'(0) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

Supplemented with IC's

$$\Delta v(t_m) = v(t_m^+) - v(t_m^-) = Q_m(v(t_m)), \quad \Delta v'(t_m) = v'(t_m^+) - v'(t_m^-) = Q_m^*(v(t_m)),$$

$m = 1, 2, \dots, p$ where ${}^c D^\alpha$ and ${}^c D^\beta$ are the CD of order $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and $\beta \in (1, 2]$; and

$$f \in [0, 1] \times R \times R, \quad \alpha, \beta, z_1, z_2, w_1, w_2, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in R, \quad \lambda \in R^+, \lambda_m \in R, Q, Q^* \in C(R, R)$$

$$t_0 = 0, t_{p+1} = 1, J = [0, 1], J_0 = [0, t_1], J_1 = (t_1, t_2], \dots, J_p = [t_p, 1], J' = J \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_p\},$$

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p < t_{p+1} = 1. \quad \Delta v(t_m) = v(t_m^+) - v(t_m^-), \quad \Delta v'(t_m) = v'(t_m^+) - v'(t_m^-).$$

$v(t_m^+)$ and $v(t_m^-)$ represent the R and L -HL'S of the function $v(t)$ at $t = t_m$.

The Banach space can be written as:

$$PC(J) = \{v : J \rightarrow R \mid v \in C(J'), \text{ and } v(t_m^+), v(t_m^-) \text{ exist, and } v(t_m^+) = v(t_m^-), 1 \leq m \leq p\}$$

and the norm can be written as

$$\|v\|_{PC} = \sup_{t \in J} |v(t)|.$$

3.1.1 Results of Nonlinear Impulsive- SFDE's

We use the Lemma 2.8 associated with the nonlinear different type of equations (3.1)-(3.3), (3.1)-(3.4), (3.2)-(3.5).

Lemma 3.1 For $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and the continuous function $f : J \rightarrow R$, the solution of the following problem:

$$({}^c D^\alpha + \lambda {}^c D^{\alpha-1})v(t) = f(t, v(t)), 1 < \alpha \leq 2, 0 < t < 1,$$

$$z_1 v(0) + w_1 v'(0) = y_1, z_2 v'(1) + w_2 v''(1) = y_2,$$

$$\Delta v(t_m) = v(t_m^+) - v(t_m^-) = Q_m(v(t)), \Delta v'(t_m) = v'(t_m^+) - v'(t_m^-) = Q_m^*(v(t))$$

can be formulated

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \int_0^t e^{-m(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-m(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} w(1) \\ &+ h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{2,n} Q_n(v(t_n)) \\ &+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t), \\ &t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}), m = 0, 1, \dots, p, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (z_1 - \lambda w_1) z_2 - e^{-m} (z_2 - \lambda w_2) z_1 \neq 0, h_1(t) = \frac{(z_1 e^{-mt} - z_1 + \lambda w_1)(w_2 - \lambda z_2)}{\Delta}, \\ h_2(t) &= \frac{(z_1 e^{-mt} - z_1 + \lambda w_1) w_2}{\Delta}, h_3(t) = \frac{z_1 z_2 e^{-mt}}{\Delta} - \frac{e^{-m} z_1 (z_2 - \lambda w_1) w_2}{\Delta}, \\ h_4(t) &= \frac{z_1 z_2 e^{-mt}}{\Delta m} - \frac{e^{-m} z_1 (z_2 - \lambda w_1) w_2}{\Delta m}, \end{aligned}$$

$$N_{1,n}(t) = -\frac{e^{\lambda t_n} e^{-\lambda t} z_2 (z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta \lambda} + \frac{e^{\lambda t_n} e^{-\lambda} (z_1 - \lambda w_1) (z_2 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta \lambda}, \quad N_{2,n}(t) = \left(\frac{e^{\lambda t_n} e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right),$$

$$N_3(t) = \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda t} z_1}{\Delta} - \frac{(z_2 e^{-\lambda} - \lambda w_1 e^{-\lambda})}{\Delta} \right) y_1 - \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda t} z_1}{\Delta} - \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta} \right) y_2.$$

Proof: The equation (3.1) has a solution v on $t \in J$,

$$({}^c D^\alpha + \lambda {}^c D^{\alpha-1})v(t) = w(t).$$

Applying the operator $I^{\alpha-1}$ on both sides of the above equation, we get

$$I^{\alpha-1}({}^c D^\alpha + \lambda {}^c D^{\alpha-1})v(t) = I^{\alpha-1}w(t),$$

$$(D + \lambda)v(t) = c_0 + I^{\alpha-1}w(t),$$

which can be expressed as

$$D(e^{\lambda t} v(t)) = (c_0 + I^{\alpha-1}w(t))e^{\lambda t}.$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to t , we have

$$\int_0^t D e^{\lambda r} v(r) dr = \int_0^t c_0 e^{\lambda r} dr + \int_0^t e^{\lambda r} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr,$$

$$e^{\lambda t} v(t) = v(0) + \frac{c_0}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda t} - 1) + \int_0^t e^{\lambda r} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr,$$

$$v(t) = \frac{1}{e^{\lambda t}} \left(v(0) + \frac{c_0}{\lambda} (e^{\lambda t} - 1) + \int_0^t e^{\lambda r} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \right),$$

$$v(t) = \frac{v(0)}{e^{\lambda t}} + \frac{c_0}{\lambda} [1 - e^{-\lambda t}] + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda r} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr,$$

$$v(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \left(v(0) - \frac{c_0}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{c_0}{\lambda} + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda r} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr,$$

$$v(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \left(v(0) - \frac{c_0}{\lambda} \right) + \frac{c_0}{\lambda} + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr,$$

where

$$A_m = \left(v(0) - \frac{c_0}{\lambda} \right), \quad B_m = \frac{c_0}{\lambda}.$$

The general solution v of (3.1) on each interval $(t_m, t_{m+1}]$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$, can be written as

$$v(t) = e^{-\lambda t} A_m + B_m + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr, \quad \text{for } t \in J. \quad (3.6)$$

Next, solving the obtained linear equation (3.6) on J_0 , we get

$$v(t) = e^{-\lambda t} A_0 + B_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr, \quad t \in J_0. \quad (3.7)$$

where A_0 and B_0 are arbitrary constants. Taking the derivative to (3.7), we get

$$v'(t) = -\lambda e^{-\lambda t} A_0 - \lambda \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr + I^{\alpha-1} w(t), \quad (3.8)$$

Now, applying the BCs $z_1 v(0) + w_1 v(0) = y_1$, we have

$$z_1 v(0) = z_1 (A_0 + B_0),$$

$$w_1 v'(0) = -\lambda w_1 A_0,$$

then

$$(z_1 - \lambda w_1) A_0 + z_1 B_0 = y_1. \quad (3.9)$$

In general, for $t \in (t_m, t_{m+1}]$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= e^{-\lambda t} A_m + B_m + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr, \\ v'(t) &= -\lambda e^{-\lambda t} A_m - \lambda \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) ds + I^{\alpha-1} w(t), \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the BCs $z_2 v'(1) + z_2 v(1) = y_2$, at $t_{m+1} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(1) &= e^{-\lambda} A_p + B_p + \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr, \\ v'(1) &= -\lambda e^{-\lambda} A_p - \lambda \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr + I^{\alpha-1} w(1), \\ (z_2 e^{-\lambda} - \lambda w_2 e^{-\lambda}) A_p + z_2 B_p &= y_2 - (z_2 - \lambda w_2) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\ &\quad - w_2 I^{\alpha-1} z(1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Next, we have to find the current IC's as follows:

From $\Delta v'(t_m) = v'(t_m^+) - v'(t_m^-) = Q_m^*(v(t_m))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m^*(v(t_m)) &= -\lambda e^{-\lambda t_m} A_m + \lambda e^{-\lambda t_{m-1}} A_{m-1}, \\ A_m - A_{m-1} &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{\lambda t_m} Q_m^*(v(t_m)), m = 1, 2, \dots, p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Similarly, from $\Delta v(t_m) = v(t_m^+) - v(t_m^-) = Q_m(v(t_m))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m(v(t_m)) &= e^{-\lambda t_m} A_m - e^{-\lambda t_{m-1}} A_{m-1} + B_m - B_{m-1}, \\ B_m - B_{m-1} &= Q_m(v(t_m)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_m^*(v(t_m)), m = 1, 2, \dots, p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Next, it follows from (3.11) and (3.12)

$$A_p - A_m = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, 2, \dots, p-1. \quad (3.13)$$

$$B_p - B_m = \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, 2, \dots, p-1. \quad (3.14)$$

It follows that from $m=0$, from $(z_1 - \lambda w_1) A_0 + z_1 B_0 = y_1$, that

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1 - \lambda w_1) A_p + (z_1 - \lambda w_1) A_0 &= -\frac{1}{\lambda} (z_1 - \lambda w_1) \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)), \\ z_1 B_p - z_1 B_0 &= z_1 \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + \frac{z_1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1 - \lambda w_1) A_p + z_1 B_p &= y_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} (z_1 - \lambda w_1) \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\ &\quad + z_1 \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + \frac{z_1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Solving the last equation together (3.10), for A_p and B_p , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_p &= \frac{z_1(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \int_0^1 e^{\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr + \frac{z_1 w_2}{\Delta} I^{\alpha-1} w(1) \\
&+ \frac{z_1 z_2}{\Delta} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + \frac{z_1 z_2}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&- \frac{z_2(z_1 - \lambda z_1)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + \frac{z_2}{\Delta} y_1 - \frac{z_1}{\Delta} y_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_p &= -\frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \int_0^1 e^{\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\
&- \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1) w_2}{\Delta} I^{\alpha-1} w(1) - \frac{e^{-\lambda} z_1 (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) \\
&- \frac{e^{-m} z_1 (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1) e^{-m} (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&- \frac{e^{-\lambda} (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} y_1 + \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta} y_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = (z_1 - \lambda w_1) z_2 - e^{-\lambda} (z_2 - \lambda w_2) z_1 \neq 0$. Now, from the equation (3.13) and (3.14) it follows that

$$A_m = A_p + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, 2, \dots, p-1.$$

$$B_m = B_p - \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, 2, \dots, p-1.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
A_m &= -\frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \int_0^1 e^{\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\
&- \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1) w_2}{\Delta} I^{\alpha-1} w(1) \\
&- \frac{e^{-\lambda} z_1 (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) \\
&- \frac{e^{-\lambda} z_1 (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1) e^{-m} (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{(z_2 e^{-\lambda} - e^{-\lambda} \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} y_1 + \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta} y_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B_m = & -\frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \int_0^1 e^{\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\
& -\frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)\beta_2}{\Delta} I^{\alpha-1} w(1) - \frac{z_1(z_2 e^{-\lambda} - e^{-\lambda} \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda} z_1(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& +\frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)e^{-m}(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& -\sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& -\frac{e^{-m}(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} y_1 + \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta} y_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Multiplying the equation (4.15) by $e^{-\lambda t}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\lambda t} A_m = & -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(z_1 - \lambda w_1)(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \int_0^1 e^{\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(z_1 - \lambda w_1)w_2}{\Delta} I^{\alpha-1} w(1) \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda} z_1(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda} z_1(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& +\frac{e^{-m t}(z_1 - \lambda w_1)e^{-m}(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta m} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& +\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda}(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} y_1 + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta} y_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\lambda t} A_m + B_m = & -\frac{e^{-\lambda t} (z_1 - \lambda w_1)(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \int_0^1 e^{\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda t} (z_1 - \lambda w_1) w_2}{\Delta} I^{\alpha-1} w(1) \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda z_1} (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda z_1} (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& +\frac{e^{-\lambda t} (z_1 - \lambda w_1) e^{-\lambda (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& +\frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{e^{-\lambda t} e^{-\lambda (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}}{\Delta} y_1 \\
& +\frac{e^{-\lambda t} (z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta} y_2 - \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)(z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \int_0^1 e^{\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\
& -\frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1) w_2}{\Delta} I^{\alpha-1} w(1) - \frac{e^{-\lambda z_1} (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& -\frac{e^{-\lambda z_1} (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& +\frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1) e^{-\lambda (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}}{\Delta \lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{e^{-\lambda (z_2 - \lambda w_2)}}{\Delta} y_1 + \frac{(z_1 - \lambda w_1)}{\Delta} y_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\lambda t} A_m + B_m = & h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} w(1) \\
& + h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t). \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (3.17) into (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t) &= \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} w(r) dr \\
&+ h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} w(1) + h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&+ h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t), \\
&t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}), m = 0, 1, \dots, p,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The reverse (other side) of the Lemma follows by explicit computation. Prove of the Lemma is completed.

Lemma 3.2 for $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and the continuous function $f : J \rightarrow R$, the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
({}^c D^\alpha + \lambda {}^c D^{\alpha-1})v(t) &= w(t), 1 < \alpha \leq 2, 0 < t < 1, \\
cv(0) + {}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(0) &= x_1, dv(1) + {}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(1) = x_2, \\
\Delta v(t_m) = v(t_m^+) - v(t_m^-) &= \mathcal{Q}_m(v(t)), \Delta v'(t_m) = v'(t_m^+) - v'(t_m^-) = \mathcal{Q}_m^*(v(t)),
\end{aligned}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t) &= \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \\
&+ d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau \\
&+ d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&- \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t), \\
&t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}), m = 0, 1, \dots, p,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1(t) &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t} d - d}{d - \eta}, d_2(t) = \frac{\lambda - \lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{d - \eta}, \\
d_3(t) &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t} - 1}{d - \eta}, d_4(t) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} d - \eta}{d - \eta}, \\
d_5(t) &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t} d - \eta}{(d - \eta) \lambda}, p_{1,n} = \frac{\eta e^{\lambda t_n} - e^{\lambda t_n} e^{-\lambda t} d}{(d - \eta) \lambda},
\end{aligned}$$

$$p_{2,n} = \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda t_n}}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right),$$

$$d_6(t) = \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda t} d - \eta}{cd - c\eta} \right) x_1 + \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\lambda t}}{d - \eta} \right) x_2,$$

and

$$M_1(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_s^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} (s-\tau)^{\alpha-2} ds,$$

$$\int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds,$$

$$M_2(t, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_s^t (t-\tau)^{1-\alpha} M_1(\tau, s) d\tau$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^s e^{-\lambda(s-\tau)} I^{1-\alpha} w(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^s M_1(s, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 M_2(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$Y = \left(de^{-\lambda} - \lambda \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} e^{-\lambda s} ds \right).$$

Proof: The general solution of (3.1) and (3.4) on each interval $(t_m, t_{m+1}]$, $(m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p)$, can be written as

$$v(t) = e^{-\lambda t} a_m + b_m + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds, \text{ for } t \in (t_m, t_{m+1}], \quad (3.20)$$

where a_m and b_m are arbitrary constants. Now, consider (3.20) on $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ and take the Caputo derivative by using (3.20) on $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, we get that

$$v(t) = e^{-\lambda t} a_0 + b_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds, t \in J_0, \quad (3.21)$$

$${}^c D^{\alpha-1} v(t) = -\frac{\lambda a_0}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda s} (t-s)^{1-\alpha} ds$$

$$- \frac{m}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{1-\alpha} \left(\int_0^s e^{-\lambda(s-\tau)} I^{\alpha-1} w(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds, t \in J_0. \quad (3.22)$$

Next, for $t_{m+1} = 1$ we can write equation (3.20) as following

$$v(t) = e^{-\lambda} a_p + b_p + \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-s)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds. \quad (3.23)$$

To find the Caputo derivative by using equation (3.23), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(1) &= -\frac{\lambda a_p}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda s} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds \\
&\quad - \lambda \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left(\int_0^s e^{-\lambda(s-\tau)} I^{\alpha-1} w(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds, t \in J_0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Now, solving the first BC's, $cv(0) + {}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(0) = x_1$, $dv(1) + {}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(1) = x_2$, by using the following equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
cv(0) &= c(a_0 + b_0), \\
{}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(0) &= 0, \\
dv(1) &= de^{-\lambda} a_p + db_p + d \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-s)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds, \\
{}^c D^{\alpha-1}v(1) &= -\frac{\lambda a_p}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda s} (1-s)^{1-\alpha} ds - \lambda \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \left(\int_0^s e^{-\lambda(s-\tau)} I^{\alpha-1} w(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

then the BC's of (3.4) can be formulated as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
c(a_0 + b_0) &= x_1 \\
Ya_p + db_p &= x_2 - d \int_0^1 M_1(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + \lambda \int_0^1 M_2(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau - \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Furthermore, at this point we find the IC's by using the obtained linear equation (3.20).

For first IC's $\Delta v'(t_m) = v'(t_m^+) - v'(t_m^-) = \mathcal{Q}_m^*(v(t))$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
v'(t_m^+) &= -\lambda e^{-\lambda t_m} a_m - \lambda \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t_m-s)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds + w(t_m) I^{\alpha-1}, \\
v'(t_{m-1}^-) &= -\lambda e^{-\lambda t_{m-1}} a_{m-1} - \lambda \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t_{m-1}-s)} I^{\alpha-1} w(s) ds + I^{\alpha-1} w(t_{m-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta v'(t_m) &= -\lambda e^{-\lambda t_m} a_m + \lambda e^{-\lambda t_{m-1}} a_{m-1} = \mathcal{Q}_m^*(v(t_m)), \\
a_m - a_{m-1} &= -\frac{e^{\lambda t_m}}{\lambda} \mathcal{Q}_m^*(v(t_m)), \\
a_m &= a_{m-1} - \frac{e^{\lambda t_m}}{\lambda} \mathcal{Q}_m^*(v(t_m)), \\
a_{m+1} &= a_m - \frac{e^{\lambda t_{m+1}}}{\lambda} \mathcal{Q}_{m+1}^*(v(t_{m+1})), \\
a_p &= a_{m-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)),
\end{aligned}$$

$$a_m = a_p + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, \dots, p.$$

In the same process we find the second IC's $\Delta v(t_m) = v(t_m^+) - v(t_m^-) = \mathcal{Q}_m(v(t))$, we have

$$b_m = b_{m-1} + \mathcal{Q}_m(v(t_m)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{Q}_m^*(v(t_m)),$$

$$b_m = b_p - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, \dots, p.$$

By the above equations the IC's can be written as follows

$$a_m = a_p + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, \dots, p, \quad (3.26)$$

$$b_m = b_p - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)), m = 1, \dots, p. \quad (3.27)$$

If $m = 0$ then from $c(a_0 + b_0) = x_1$, we get that

$$c(a_p + b_p) = x_1 + c \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + \frac{c}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{c}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)).$$

Now, from last equation and (3.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_p &= -a_p + \frac{1}{c} x_1 + \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)), \\ b_p &= -\frac{\Upsilon}{d} a_p + \frac{1}{d} x_2 - \int_0^1 M_1(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + \frac{\lambda}{d} \int_0^1 w(\tau) M_2(1, \tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{d} \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau. \\ &= -a_p + \frac{1}{c} x_1 + \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\ &= -\frac{\Upsilon}{d} a_p + \frac{1}{d} x_2 - \int_0^1 M_1(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + \frac{\lambda}{d} \int_0^1 w(\tau) M_2(1, \tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{d} \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

then for a_p and b_p , we can get

$$\begin{aligned} a_p &= \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)c} x_1 - \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)} x_2 + \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 M_1(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 w(\tau) M_2(1, \tau) d\tau + \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad - \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\ &\quad + \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_p = & -\frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)c}x_1 + \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)}x_2 - \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 M_1(1,\tau)w(\tau)d\tau \\
& + \frac{m}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 M_2(1,\tau)w(\tau)d\tau - \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 w(\tau)d\tau \\
& + \frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)}\sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& - \frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Now, by (3.26) and (3.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
a_m = & \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)c}x_1 - \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)}x_2 \\
& + \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 M_1(1,\tau)w(\tau)d\tau \\
& - \frac{\lambda}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 M_2(1,\tau)w(\tau)d\tau + \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 w(\tau)d\tau \\
& - \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)}\sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& + \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda}\sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

also from (3.27) and (3.29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
b_m = & -\frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)c}x_1 + \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)}x_2 \\
& - \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 M_1(1,\tau)w(\tau)d\tau \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 M_2(1,\tau)w(\tau)d\tau - \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)}\int_0^1 w(\tau)d\tau \\
& + \frac{\Upsilon}{\lambda(d-\Upsilon)}\sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)}\sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& - \frac{\Upsilon}{\lambda(d-\Upsilon)}\sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& - \frac{1}{\lambda}\sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Multiplying equation (3.30) by $(e^{-\lambda t})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\lambda t} a_m &= \frac{de^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)c} x_1 - \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} x_2 \\
&+ \frac{de^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 M_1(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \\
&- \frac{me^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 M_2(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau \\
&- \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(x(t_n)) + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

We can find from (3.31) and (3.32) that

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\lambda t} a_m + b_m &= \frac{de^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)c} x_1 - \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} x_2 + \frac{de^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 M_1(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \\
&- \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 w(\tau) M_2(1, \tau) d\tau + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau \\
&- \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{\lambda(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \frac{e^{-\lambda t}}{v} \sum_{n=m+1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&- \frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)c} x_1 + \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)} x_2 - \frac{d}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 w(\tau) M_1(1, \tau) d\tau \\
&+ \frac{\lambda}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 M_2(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau - \frac{1}{(d-\Upsilon)} \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau \\
&+ \frac{\Upsilon}{\lambda(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p e^{\lambda t_n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \frac{\Upsilon}{(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&- \frac{\Upsilon}{\lambda(d-\Upsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-\lambda t} a_m + b_m &= \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \\
&+ d_3(t) \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau + d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&+ d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

Now, taking (3.33) into (3.20), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t) &= \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 w(\tau) d\tau \\
&\quad + d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} Q_n(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Where $t \in [t_m, t_{m+1})$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$.

Proof of the Lemma is completed.

Lemma 3.3 For a given $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ and a continuous function $f : J \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, the solution of

the following problem:

$$\left({}^c D_{t_m^+}^{\beta_m} + \lambda {}^c D_{t_m^+}^{\beta_m-1} \right) v(t) = w(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \beta_m \leq 2,$$

$$v(0) = \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} v(\eta), \quad v'(0) = 0, \quad v''(0) = 0,$$

$$\Delta v(t_m) = Q_m(v(t)), \quad \Delta Q'(t_m) = Q_m^*(v(t)), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

is given by

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{0^+}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds + \wp, \\ \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_m}^{\beta_m-1} w(r) dr + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-m(t-t_n)} \\ \times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(s) dr - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\ + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) + Q(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] + \wp, \\ t \in J, m = 1, 2, \dots, p \end{cases} \tag{3.35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\wp &= \left(1 - \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^{\eta_m} e^{-\lambda(r-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(s) ds \right) (\eta_m) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} e^{-\lambda(\eta_m-t_n)} \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t_n) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t_n) + Q(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: Assume that v is a solution of (3.2)-(3.5). For any $t \in J_0$, we have

$$v(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda t} a_1 + a_2, t \in J_0, \quad (3.36)$$

where a_1 and $a_2 \in R$. Differentiating the obtained linear equation (3.36) on J_0 , leads to

$$v'(t) = -\lambda \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t) - \lambda e^{-\lambda t} a_1, t \in J_0. \quad (3.37)$$

If $t \in J_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \int_{t_1}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda(t-t_1)} b_1 + b_2, \\ v(t) &= -\lambda \int_{t_1}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(t) - \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-t_1)} b_1, \end{aligned}$$

for some b_1 and $b_2 \in R$. Thus,

$$v(t_1^-) = \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda t_1} a_1 + a_2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t_1^-) &= -\lambda \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) - \lambda e^{-\lambda t_1} a_1, \\ v(t_1^+) &= b_1 + b_2, \\ v'(t_1^+) &= -\lambda b_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the IC's $\Delta v(t_1^+) = v(t_1^+) - v(t_1^-) = Q(v(t_1))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v(t_1) &= b_1 + b_2 - \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda t_1} a_1 + a_2 = Q_1(v(t_1)) \\ b_2 &= -b_1 + \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds - e^{-\lambda t_1} a_1 - a_2 + Q_1(v(t_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

also by using the IC's $\Delta v'(t_1^+) = v'(t_1^+) - v'(t_1^-) = Q_1^*(v(t_1))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v'(t_1^+) &= -\lambda b_1 + \lambda \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) - \lambda e^{-\lambda t_1} a_1 = Q_1^*(v(t_1)) \\ b_1 &= \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) + e^{\lambda t_1} a_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_1^*(v(t_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Now, taking (3.39) into (3.38), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= -\left(\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) + e^{-\lambda t_1} a_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_1^*(v(t_1)) \right) \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds - e^{-\lambda t_1} a_1 - a_2 + Q_1(v(t_1)) \\ b_2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) + Q_1(v(t_1)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_1^*(v(t_1)) + a_2, \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \int_{t_1}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda(t-t_1)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_1^*(v(t_1)) \right] \\ &\quad + \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) + Q_1(v(t_1)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_1^*(v(t_1)) \right] + e^{-\lambda t} a_1 + a_2, \\ &\quad t \in J_1. \end{aligned}$$

If $t \in J_2$, then

$$v(t) = \int_{t_2}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_2}^{\beta_2-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda(t-t_2)} c_1 + c_2,$$

$$v(t) = -\lambda \int_{t_2}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_2}^{\beta_2-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_2}^{\beta_2-1} w(t) - \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-t_2)} c_1,$$

For some $c_1, c_2 \in R$, thus

$$v(t_2^-) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda(t_2-t_1)} b_1 + b_2,$$

$$v'(t_2^-) = -\lambda \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(t_2) - \lambda e^{-\lambda(t_2-t_1)} b_1,$$

$$v(t_2^+) = c_1 + c_2,$$

$$v'(t_2^+) = -\lambda c_1.$$

In the same way we have to find the following IC's

$$\Delta v(t_2^+) = v(t_2^+) - v(t_2^-) = Q_2(v(t_2)),$$

$$\Delta v'(t_2^+) = v'(t_2^+) - v'(t_2^-) = Q_1^*(v(t_2)).$$

We can obtain

$$c_1 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(t_2) + e^{-\lambda(t_2-t_1)} a_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_2^*(v(t_2))$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_2) + Q_2(v(t_2)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_2^*(v(t_2)) + b_2.$$

Consequently,

$$v(t) = \int_{t_2}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_2}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) dr + e^{-\lambda(t-t_2)}$$

$$\times \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(t_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_2^*(v(t_2)) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(t_2) + Q_2(v(t_2)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_2^*(v(t_2)) + e^{-\lambda(t-t_1)} b_1 + b_2,$$

$$v(t) = \int_{t_2}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_2}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds + e^{-\lambda(t-t_2)}$$

$$\times \left[\int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-\lambda(t_2-s)} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(t_2) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_2^*(v(t_2)) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_1}^{\beta_1-1} w(t_2) + Q_2(v(t_2)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_2^*(v(t_2)) + e^{-\lambda(t-t_1)}$$

$$\times \left[\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda(t_1-s)} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_1^*(v(t_1)) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_0}^{\beta_0-1} w(t_1) + Q_1(v(t_1)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q_1^*(v(t_1)) + e^{-\lambda t} a_1 + a_2,$$

Where $t \in J_2$. Repeating the process in this way, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t) &= \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_m}^{\beta_m-1} w(s) ds + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \\
&\times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \quad (3.40) \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) + Q(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Applyinh the BC's, $v(0) = 0$, implies $a_1 = 0$. For $t \in J_m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} v(t) &= I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^r e^{-m(r-s)} I_{t_k}^{\beta_k-1} w(s) ds \right) (t) + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} \\
&\times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-m(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{m} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) - \frac{1}{m} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{(t-t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m+1)} e^{-m(t-t_n)} \left[\frac{1}{m} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) + Q(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{m} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
&+ \frac{(t-t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m+1)} a_2, \\
\sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} x(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^r e^{-\lambda(r-s)} I_{t_n}^{\beta_n-1} w(s) ds \right) (t) + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} I_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} \\
&\times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(s) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (t-t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m+1)} e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t) + Q(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (t-t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m+1)} a_2, \\
a_2 &= \left(1 - \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m+1)} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^{\eta_m} e^{-\lambda(r-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} w(s) ds \right) (\eta_m) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} e^{-\lambda(\eta_m-t_n)} \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(s) ds + I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t_n) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
&\left. + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m+1)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} w(t_n) + Q(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the value of a_j , ($j=1,2$) in (3.36) and (3.40), we obtain (3.35).

Conversely, assume that v is a solution of the impulsive sequential fractional integral equation (3.35), then by a direct computation, it follows that the solution given by (3.35) satisfies (3.5). The proof is completed.

Chapter 4

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS

This chapter answers the existence & uniqueness of the equations (3.1)-(3.3), (3.1)-(3.4) and (3.2)-(3.5) by using some theories such as Banach-FPT and Krasnoselskii's- FPT.

4.1 Existence and Uniqueness Results

We are going to show the solution of problems (3.1)-(3.3), (3.1)-(3.4) and (3.2)-(3.5) by using the existence and uniqueness theorem. To start, we will state and prove the main results using the following hypotheses.

(H_1) $f: J \times R \rightarrow R$ is a jointly continuous function.

(H_2) \exists a constant $L_f > 0$ such that

$$|f(t, v) - f(t, u)| \leq |v - u| L_f, \quad v, u \in R, t \in J.$$

(H_3) \exists a positive constants $K_Q, K_{Q^*}, L_Q, L_{Q^*}$, such that

$$|Q_m^*(v) - Q_m^*(u)| \leq L_{Q^*} |v - u|, \quad |Q_m(v) - Q_m(u)| \leq L_Q |v - u|,$$

$$|Q_m(v)| \leq K_Q, \quad |Q_m^*(v)| \leq K_{Q^*}.$$

From (H_1)-(H_3) we have that

$$|f(t, v)| \leq K_f + L_f |v|, \quad v \in R, t \in J, \quad K_f := \sup \{|f(t, 0)| : 0 < t \leq 1\},$$

$$|Q_m^*(v)| \leq L_{Q^*} |v| + K_{Q^*}, \quad |Q_m(v)| \leq L_Q |v| + K_Q.$$

(H_4) $|f(t, v)| \leq \Phi(t)$, for $(t, v) \in J \times R$ where $\Phi \in L^p(J)$, $\rho(0, \alpha - 1)$.

(H_5) $\exists \mathcal{G}_f \in PC(J, R)$ and $\Psi: R^* \rightarrow R^*$ continuous and nondecreasing such that

$$|f(t, v)| \leq \mathcal{G}(t) \mu(\|v\|), \quad \text{for all } (t, v) \in J \times R,$$

(H_6) \exists an a number $N > 0$ such that

$$\frac{N}{L_T \|\mathcal{G}\| \mu(N)} > 1.$$

(H_7) \exists a nonnegative function $a(t) \in C(0, 1)$ such that

$$|f(t, v)| \leq a(t) + \xi |v|^\sigma, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

4.1.1 Existence results of the problem (3.1)-(3.2)

In view of the lemma 3.1, we can reconstruct the problem (3.1)-(3.3) as a FP problem.

Consider the operator $T : PC(J, R) \rightarrow PC(J, R)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
v(t) = & \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr \\
& + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} f(1, v(1)) + h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} Q_n(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t), \\
& t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}), m = 0, 1, \dots, p,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

It is clear that T is well defined due to (H_1) and $PC(J, R)$ into itself.

Theorem 4.1 Suppose that (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) are holds. If

$$\begin{aligned}
L_T = & \left(\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (1 + \|h_1\|) + \frac{1}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} \|h_2\| \right) L_f + (1 + \|h_3\|) p(L_Q) \\
& + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|) p(L_{Q^*}) + \|N_3\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Then the equation (3.1)-(3.3) has a unique solution on J .

Proof: Step1: T maps $B_r = \{v \in PC([0,1], R), \|v\| \leq r\}$ into itself for some $r > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
r > & (1 - L_T)^{-1} \left(\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (1 + \|h_1\|) + \frac{1}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} \|h_2\| \right) L_f \\
& + (1 + \|h_3\|) p(L_Q r + K_Q) + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|) \\
& p(L_{Q^*} r + K_{Q^*}) + \|N_3\|.
\end{aligned}$$

For $t \in J_m, m = 0, 1, \dots, p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| = & \left| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr \right. \\
& + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} f(1, v(1)) + h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
& \left. + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} Q_n(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r))| dr + |h_1(t)| \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r))| dr \\
&+ |h_2(t)| I^{\alpha-1} |f(1, x(1))| + |h_3(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| + |v_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^p |N_{1,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |N_{2,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + |N_3(t)|,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r)) - f(r, 0)| + f(r, 0) dr \\
&+ |h_1(t)| \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r)) - f(r, 0)| + f(r, 0) dr \\
&+ |h_2(t)| I^{\alpha-1} |f(1, v(1)) - f(r, 0)| + f(r, 0) + |h_3(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| \\
&+ |h_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=1}^p |N_{1,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |N_{2,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + |N_3(t)|,
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &\leq \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) (L_f r + K_f) + |h_1(t)| \frac{1^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) (L_f r + K_f) \\
&+ |h_2(t)| \frac{1^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (L_f r + K_f) + |h_3(t)| p(L_Q r + K_Q) + |h_4(t)| p(L_{Q^*} r + K_{Q^*}) \\
&+ |N_{1,n}(t)| p(L_{Q^*} r + N_{Q^*}) + |N_{2,n}(t)| p(L_{Q^*} r + N_{Q^*}) + |N_3(t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the following estimation in what follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \left(\int_0^r (r-\tau)^{(\alpha-1)} v(\tau) d\tau \right) dr \right| &\leq \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t}) t^{(\alpha-1)}}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} \|v\|_{PC} \\
&= \frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} \|v\|_{PC}, v \in PC(J, R).
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &\leq \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (|h_1(t)| + 1) + \frac{|h_2(t)|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) (L_f r + K_f) \\
&+ (1 + |h_3(t)|) p(L_Q r + K_Q) + (|h_4(t)| + |N_{1,n}(t)| + |N_{2,n}(t)|) \\
&p(L_{Q^*} r + K_{Q^*}) + |N_3(t)|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $Tv \in B_r$. Thus $TB_r \in B_r$.

Step2. T is a contraction operator on $PC(J, R)$. Let $v, u \in B_r$. Then $\forall t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t) - Tu(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr \right. \\
&\quad + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} f(1, v(1)) + h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t) \right| \\
&\quad - \left| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, u(r)) dr + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, u(r)) dr \right. \\
&\quad + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} f(1, u(1)) + h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n)) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n)) + N_3(t) \right|, \\
|Tv(t) - Tu(t)| &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r)) - f(r, u(r))| dr \\
&\quad + |h_1(t)| \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-s)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r)) - f(r, u(r))| dr \\
&\quad + |h_2(t)| I^{\alpha-1} |f(1, v(1)) - f(1, u(1))| \\
&\quad + |h_3(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n))| \\
&\quad + |h_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n))| \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^p |N_{1,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n))| \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |N_{2,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n))| \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n))|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t) - Tu(t)| &\leq \left(\left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} (1 + |h_1(t)|) + \frac{|h_2(t)|}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) (L_f) \right. \\
&\quad + (1 + |h_3(t)|) p(L_Q) + (|h_4(t)| + |N_{1,n}(t)| + |N_{2,n}(t)|) \\
&\quad \times p(L_{Q^*}) + |N_3(t)| \Big) \|v - u\|_{PC} \\
&= L_T \|v - u\|_{PC}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is a contraction mapping on $PC(J, R)$ due to condition (4.2). By applying the well-known Banach-contraction mapping principle we see that the operator T has a unique- FP on. Therefore, the problem (3.1)-(3.3) has a unique solution.

Theorem 4.2 Suppose that $(H_1), (H_3)$ and (H_4) holds. If

$$(1 + |h_3(t)|) p(L_Q) + (|h_4(t)| + |N_{1,n}(t)| + |N_{2,n}(t)|) p(L_{Q^*}) + |N_3(t)| < 1.$$

Then the equation (3.1)-(3.3) has a unique solution on J .

Proof: Let $B_r = \{v \in PC(J, R), \|v\|_{PC} \leq r\}$. We can choose

$$r \geq \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda}) 1^{\alpha-\rho-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha - \rho - 1}{\rho - 1} \right)} (1 + \|h_1\|) + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}}{\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha - \rho - 1}{\rho - 1} \right)} \|h_2\| \right) \\ + (1 + \|h_3\|) pL_Q + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|N_3\|.$$

The operators T_1 and T_2 on B_r are defined as:

$$(T_1 v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr \\ + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} f(1, v(1)), \\ (T_2 x)(t) = h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} Q_n(v(t_n)) \\ + \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t).$$

Step1 $T_1 v + T_2 u \in B_r$. For $v, u \in B_r$.

For any $v, u \in B_r$ and $t \in J_m$, using Holder is inequality with the assumption (H_1) we get

$$\int_0^t |e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r))| dr \leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^r (r-\tau)^{\alpha-2} f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right) dr \\ \leq \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda t})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^r (r-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{1-\rho}} \right)^{1-\rho} \left(\int_0^r (\Phi(r))^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \leq \frac{t^{\alpha-\rho-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda t}) \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}(J)}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha - \rho - 1}{1 - \rho} \right)^{1-\rho}}.$$

$$I^{\alpha-1} v(1) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha-2} |f(r, v(r))| dr \\ \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \left(\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{1-\rho}} \right)^{1-\rho} \left(\int_0^1 (\Phi(r))^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\ \leq \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}(J)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha - \rho - 1}{1 - \rho} \right)^{1-\rho}}.$$

$$\int_0^1 \left| e^{-\pi(1-r)} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| dr \leq \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} (1-e^{-\lambda}) \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 v + T_2 u\|_{PC} &\leq \frac{\|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}(J)}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}} + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} (1-e^{-\lambda}) \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}} h_1(t) + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}} h_2(t) \\ &\quad + (1 + \|h_3\|) pL_Q + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|N_3\|. \\ \|T_1 x + T_2 y\|_{PC} &\leq \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}} + (1 + h_1(t)) + \frac{1^{\alpha-\sigma-1} \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}} h_2(t) \right) \\ &\quad + (1 + \|h_3\|) pL_Q + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|N_3\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T_1 v + T_2 u\|_{PC} \leq a, \quad T_1 v + T_2 u \in B_r.$$

Step 2. T_1 is compact and continuous. The continuity of f implies that T_1 is continuous, also T_1 is uniformly bounded on B_a as

$$\|T_1 v\|_{PC} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}} + (1 + h_1(t)) + \frac{1^{\alpha-\sigma-1} \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho} \right)^{1-\rho}} h_2(t) \right) \leq r.$$

For equicontinuity on $[0, t_1]$, let $v \in B_r$ and for any $s_1, s_2 \in [0, t_1], s_1 < s_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_1 v)(s_2) - (T_1 v)(s_1)| &= \int_0^{s_2} e^{-\lambda(s_2-r)} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau \right) dr \\ &\quad + v_1 \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau \right) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{h_2}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha-2} |f(r, v(r))| dr \\ &\quad - \int_0^{s_1} e^{-\lambda(s_1-r)} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau \right) dr \\ &\quad + v_1 \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} \left(\int_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau \right) dr \\ &\quad + \frac{h_2}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\alpha-2} |f(r, v(r))| dr, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(T_1 v)(s_2) - (T_1 v)(s_1)| &\leq \left(e^{-m(s_2)} - e^{-m(s_1)} \right) \int_0^{s_2} e^{mr} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) dr \\
&\quad \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{-m(s_2-r)} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) dr \\
&\quad + |h_1(t) - h_1(t)| \int_0^1 e^{-k(1-r)} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) dr \\
&\quad + |h_2(t) - h_2(t)| \int_0^1 \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} dr.
\end{aligned}$$

It tends to zero as $s_1 \rightarrow s_2$. This implies that T_1 is equicontinuous on the interval $[0, t_1]$. In general, for the time $(t_m, t_{m+1}]$, similarly one can obtain the same inequality, which yields that T_1 is equicontinuous on $(t_m, t_{m+1}]$. Together with the PC-type Arzela-Ascoli (Lemma 3.14) theorem, we can conclude that $T_1 : B_r \rightarrow B_r$, T_1 is continuous and compact.

Step 3. It is clear that T_2 is contraction mapping. Thus, all the assumptions of the Krasnoselskii's theorem are satisfied. In consequence, the Krasnoselskii's theorem is applied and hence the problem (3.1)-(3.3) has at least one solution on J .

Theorem 4.3 Suppose that (H_5) and (H_6) holds. Then our BVP (3.1)-(3.3) has at least one solution on J .

Proof: Consider the operator $T : PC(J, R) \rightarrow PC(J, R)$ defined by (4.1). Clearly, it is obvious that T is continuous and compact.

T maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $PC(J, R)$. Repeating the same process in Step2 Theorem 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) ds + h_1(t) \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) dr \right. \\
&\quad + h_2(t) I^{\alpha-1} f(1, v(1)) + h_3(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + h_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^p N_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p N_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + N_3(t) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r))| dr + |h_1(t)| \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} |f(r, v(r))| dr \\
&+ |h_2(t)| I^{\alpha-1} |f(1, v(1))| + |h_3(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |Q_n(v(t_n))| + |h_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |Q_n^*(v(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^p |Q_n(v(t_n))| |N_{1,n}| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |Q_n^*(v(t_n))| |N_{2,n}| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |Q_n^*(v(t_n))| + |N_3(t)|, \\
|Tv(t)| &\leq \int_0^t e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{G}\mu(|v|) dr + |h_1(t)| \int_0^1 e^{-\lambda(1-r)} I^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{G}\mu(|v|) dr \\
&+ |h_2(t)| I^{\alpha-1} \mathcal{G}\mu(|v|) + |h_3(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |Q_n(v(t_n))| + |h_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |Q_n^*(v(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^p |N_{1,n}| |Q_n(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |N_{2,n}| |Q_n^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |Q_n^*(v(t_n))| + |N_3(t)|, \\
\|T_1v + T_2u\|_{PC} &\leq \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})\mathcal{G}\mu(|v|)}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} h_1(t) + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}\mathcal{G}\mu(|v|)}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} h_2(t) \\
&+ (1 + \|h_3\|) pL_Q + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|N_3\|. \\
\|T_1v + T_2u\|_{PC} &\leq \mathcal{G}\mu(|v|) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} + (1 + h_1(t)) + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} h_2(t) \right) \text{Now,} \\
&+ (1 + \|h_3\|) pL_Q + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|N_3\|.
\end{aligned}$$

construct the set $\Lambda = \{v \in PC(J, R) : \|v\| < N\}$. The operator $T : \Lambda \rightarrow PC(J, R)$ is continuous and completely continuous. From the choice of Λ , there is no $v \in \partial\Lambda$ such that $v = \lambda Tv, 0 < \lambda < 1$. As a consequence of the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type, we deduce that T has a FP $v \in \partial\Lambda$, which concludes that the problem (3.1)-(3.3) has at least one solution.

4.1.2 Existence results of the problem (3.1)-(3.3)

In view of the Lemma 3.2, we can transform the problem (3.1)-(3.4) into a FP problem.

Consider the operator $T : PC(J, R) \rightarrow PC(J, R)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(Tv)(t) &= \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&+ d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&+ d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $t \in [t_m, t_{m+1})$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$. It is obvious that T is well defined due to (H_1) and sends $PC(J, R)$ into itself.

Theorem 4.4 Suppose that (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) hold. If

$$\begin{aligned}
L_r &= \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} (1 + \|d_1\|) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1) \|d_2\| + \|d_3\| \right) L_f \\
&+ (1 + \|d_4\|) pL_Q + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|d_6\| \\
&< 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

then the problem (3.1)-(3.4) has a unique solution on J .

Proof: Step1: T maps $B_r = \{v \in PC([0, 1], R), \|v\| \leq r\}$ into itself for some $r > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
r &> (1 - L_r)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) (1 + \|d_1\|) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1) \|d_2\| + \|d_3\| \right) L_f \\
&+ (1 + \|d_4\|) p(L_Q r + K_Q) + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) p(L_{Q^*} r + K_{Q^*}) + \|d_6\|.
\end{aligned}$$

For $t \in J_m$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\
&+ d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&+ d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&\left. + \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau + |d_1(t)| \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau \\
&+ |d_2(t)| \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau + |d_3(t)| \int_0^1 |f(\tau, v(\tau))| d\tau \\
&+ |d_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| + |d_5(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=1}^p |p_{1,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p |p_{2,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + |d_6(t)|,
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &\leq \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) |-f(\tau, 0) + f(\tau, v(\tau))| + |f(\tau, 0)| d\tau \\
&+ |d_1(t)| \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) |-f(\tau, 0) + f(\tau, v(\tau))| + |f(\tau, 0)| d\tau \\
&+ |d_2(t)| \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) |f(\tau, x(\tau)) - f(\tau, 0)| + |f(\tau, 0)| d\tau \\
&+ |d_3(t)| \int_0^1 |f(\tau, v(\tau)) - f(\tau, 0)| + |f(\tau, 0)| d\tau \\
&+ |d_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| + |d_5(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^p |p_{1,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |p_{2,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + |d_6(t)|, \\
|(Tv)(t)| &\leq \frac{1^{\alpha-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (L_f r + K_f) + |d_1(t)| \frac{1^{\alpha-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (L_f r + K_f) \\
&+ |d_2(t)| \frac{1^{\alpha-1}(\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (L_f r + K_f) + |d_3(t)| p(L_{\mathcal{Q}} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}}) \\
&+ |d_4(t)| p(L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}^*}) + |p_{1,n}(t)| p(L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}^*}) + |p_{2,n}| p(L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}^*}) \\
&+ p(L_{\mathcal{Q}} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}}) + |d_6(t)| \\
&< r, \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)} (1 + \|d_1\|) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1) \|d_2\| + \|d_3\| \right) (L_f r + K_f) \\
&+ (1 + \|d_4\|) p(L_{\mathcal{Q}} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}}) + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) p(L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}^*}) + \|d_6\|
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| \leq & \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)}(1+\|d_1\|) + \frac{(\lambda+e^{-\lambda}-1)}{\lambda}\|d_2\| + \|d_3\| \right) (L_f r + K_f) \\
& + (1+\|d_4\|) p(L_{\mathcal{Q}} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}}) + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) p(L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} r + K_{\mathcal{Q}^*}) + \|d_6\| \\
& < r.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $Tv \in B_r$. Thus $TB_r \in B_r$.

Step2: T is a contraction operator on Let $v, u \in B_r$. Then for each $t \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t) - (Tu)(t)| = & \left| \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\
& + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
& + d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
& + \sum_{n=k+1}^p p_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=k+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t) \left. \right| \\
& - \left| \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\
& + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, u(\tau)) d\tau \\
& + d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n)) \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n)) + d_6(t) \left. \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tx)(t) - (Ty)(t)| &= \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) |f(\tau, v(\tau)) - f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\
&+ |d_1(t)| \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) |f(\tau, v(\tau)) - f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\
&+ |d_2(t)| \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) |f(\tau, v(\tau)) - f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\
&+ |d_3(t)| \int_0^1 |f(\tau, v(\tau)) - f(\tau, u(\tau))| d\tau \\
&+ |d_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n))| \\
&+ |d_5(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^p |p_{1,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n(u(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n))| \\
&+ \sum_{n=m+1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) - \mathcal{Q}_n^*(u(t_n))| + |d_6(t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t) - (Tu)(t)| &\leq \left(\left(\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} (1 + \|d_1\|) + \frac{(\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1)}{\lambda} \|d_2\| + \|d_3\| \right) (L_f r + K_f) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 + \|d_4\|) p (L_Q r + K_Q) + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) p (L_{Q^*} r + K_{Q^*}) \right) \|v - u\|_{PC} \\
&= L_T \|v - u\|_{PC}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is a contraction mapping on $PC(J, R)$ due to condition (4.4). By applying the well-known Banach-contraction mapping we see that the operator T has a unique -FP on $PC(J, R)$. Therefore, the problem (3.1)-(3.4) has a unique solution.

The second result is based on Krasnoselskii's- FPT. We state a known result due to Krasnoselskii's which is needed to prove the existence of at least one solution of (3.1)-(3.4).

Theorem 4.5 Assume that (H_1) , (H_3) and (H_4) hold. If

$$(1 + \|d_4\|) p L_Q + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) p L_{Q^*} < 1,$$

then the BVP (3.1)-(3.3) has at least one solution on J .

Proof: Let $B_r = \{v \in PC(J, R), \|v\|_{PC} \leq r\}$. We choose

$$r \geq \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} (1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1}\right)^{1-\rho}} (1+\|d_1\|) + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} (\lambda+e^{-\lambda}-1)}{\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1}\right)^{1-\rho}} \|d_2\| \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1}\right)} \|d_3\| \right) + (1+\|d_4\|) pL_Q + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|d_6\|.$$

The operators T_1 and T_2 on B_r are defined as:

$$(T_1 v)(t) = \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\ + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau. \\ (T_2 v)(t) = d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n(v(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} Q_n(v(t_n)) \\ + \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p Q_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t).$$

Step 1. For any $v, u \in B_r$ and $t \in J_m$, using the assumption (H_4) with the Holder inequality we get

$$\left| \int_0^1 M_2(1, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} (1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1}\right)^{\rho-1}} (\lambda+e^{-\lambda}-1), \\ \left| \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) w(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq \int_0^t \left| e^{-\lambda(t-r)} I^{\alpha-1} f(r, v(r)) \right| dr \\ \leq \int_0^t \left| e^{-\lambda(t-r)} \left(\int_0^r \frac{(r-\tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} f(r, v(\tau)) d\tau \right) \right| dr \\ \leq \left(\frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^r (r-\tau)^{\frac{\alpha-2}{1-\rho}} \right)^{1-\rho} \left(\int_0^s (\Phi(s))^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\ \leq \frac{(1-e^{-\lambda t}) \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}(J)}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha-1) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 v + T_2 u\|_{PC} &\leq \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} + \|d_1\| \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} + \|d_2\| \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(\lambda+e^{-\lambda}-1)}{\lambda\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} \|d_3\| + (1+\|d_4\|) pL_Q + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} \\ &< 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1 v + T_2 u\|_{PC} &\leq \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} (1+\|d_1\|) + \|d_2\| \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(\lambda+e^{-\lambda}-1)}{\lambda\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\|d_3\| 1^{\alpha-\rho-1}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} \right) + (1+\|d_4\|) pL_Q + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) pL_{Q^*} + \|d_6\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T_1 v + T_2 u\|_{PC} \leq r, \text{ so } T_1 v + T_2 u \in B_r.$$

Step 2. T_1 is compact and continuous. The continuity of f implies T_1 is continuous, also T_1 is uniformly bounded on B_r as

$$\|T_1 v\|_{PC} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^\rho}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} (1+\|d_1\|) + \|d_2\| \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}(\lambda+e^{-\lambda}-1)}{\lambda\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} + \|d_3\| \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{1-\rho}\right)^{1-\rho}} \right).$$

For equicontinuity on $[0, t_1]$, let $v \in B_r$ and for any $s_1, s_2 \in [0, t_1], s_1 < s_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_1 v)(s_2) - (T_1 v)(s_1)| &= \left| \int_0^{s_2} M_1(s_2, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} M_1(s_2, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\quad - \left| \int_0^{s_1} M_1(s_1, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(T_1 v)(s_2) - (T_1 v)(s_1)| &\leq \int_0^{s_1} |M_1(s_2, \tau) - M_1(s_1, \tau)| f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{s_1}^{s_2} M_1(s_2, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&\quad + |d_1(t) - d_1(t)| \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&\quad + |d_2(t) - d_2(t)| \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&\quad + |d_3(t) - d_3(t)| \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

It tends to zero as $s_1 \rightarrow s_2$.

This implies that T_1 is equicontinuous on the interval $[0, t_1]$. In general, for the time $(t_m, t_{m+1}]$, we similarly obtain the same inequality, which yields that T_1 is equicontinuous on the interval $(t_m, t_{m+1}]$. Together with the PC-type Arzela-Ascoli (Lemma 3.14) theorem, we can conclude that $T_1 : B_r \rightarrow B_r, T_1$ is continuous and compact.

Step 3. It is clear that T_2 is a contraction mapping. Thus, all the assumptions of the Krasnoselskii's theorem are satisfied. In consequence, the Krasnoselskii's theorem is applied and hence the problem (3.1)-(3.4) has at least one solution on J .

Theorem 4.6 Suppose that (H_5) and (H_6) hold. Then the BVP (3.1)-(3.4) has at least one solution on J .

Proof: Consider the operator $T : PC(J, R) \rightarrow PC(J, R)$ defined by (4.3). Clearly, it is obvious that T is continuous and compact. T Maps bounded sets into bounded sets in $PC(J, R)$. Repeating the same process in Step2 theorem 4.6.

For a positive number r , let $B_r = \{v \in PC(J, R), \|v\|_{pc} \leq r\}$ be bounded sets in $PC(J, R)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_1(t) \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \right. \\
&\quad + d_2(t) \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau + d_3(t) \int_0^1 f(\tau, v(\tau)) d\tau \\
&\quad + d_4(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) + d_5(t) \sum_{n=1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=1}^p p_{1,n} \mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n)) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=m+1}^p p_{2,n} \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + \sum_{n=m+1}^p \mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n)) + d_6(t) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &\leq \int_0^t M_1(t, \tau) \mathcal{G}\mu(\|v\|) d\tau + |d_1(t)| \int_0^1 M_1(t, \tau) \mathcal{G}\mu(\|v\|) d\tau \\
&\quad + |d_2(t)| \int_0^1 M_2(t, \tau) \mathcal{G}\mu(\|v\|) d\tau + |d_3(t)| \int_0^1 \mathcal{G}_f \mu(\|v\|) d\tau \\
&\quad + |d_4(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| + |d_5(t)| \sum_{n=1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=1}^p |p_{1,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n(v(t_n))| \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |p_{2,n}| |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{n=m+1}^p |\mathcal{Q}_n^*(v(t_n))| + |d_6(t)|,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tx)(t)| &\leq \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-\sigma-1} (1-e^{-\lambda}) \|\mathcal{G}\| \mu(\|v\|)}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1} \right)} + \frac{1^{\alpha-\sigma-1} (1-e^{-\lambda}) \|\mathcal{G}\| \mu(\|v\|)}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1} \right)} \|d_1\| \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1^{\alpha-\sigma-1} \|\mathcal{G}\| \mu(\|v\|)}{\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1} \right)} (\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1) \|d_2\| + \frac{1^{\alpha-\sigma-1} \|\mathcal{G}\| (\|v\|)}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1} \right)} \|d_3\| \right) \\
&\quad + (1 + \|d_4\|) pK_{\mathcal{Q}} + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) pK_{\mathcal{Q}^*} + \|d_6\|.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|(Tv)(t)| &\leq \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} (1-e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1} \right)^{\rho-1}} (1 + \|d_1\|) + \|d_2\| \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1} (\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1)}{\lambda \left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1} \right)^{\rho-1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \|d_3\| \frac{1^{\alpha-\rho-1}}{\left(\frac{\alpha-\rho-1}{\rho-1} \right)^{\rho-1}} \right) \|\mathcal{G}\| \mu(\|v\|) + (1 + \|d_4\|) pK_{\mathcal{Q}} \\
&\quad + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) pK_{\mathcal{Q}^*} + \|d_6\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, construct the set $\Lambda = \{v \in PC(J, R) : \|v\| < N\}$. The operator $T : \Lambda \rightarrow PC(J, R)$ is

continuous and completely continuous. From the choice of Λ , there is no $v \in \partial\Lambda$ such

that $v = \lambda T v$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. As a consequence of the nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type, we deduce that T has a FP $v \in \partial \Lambda$, which concludes that the problem (3.1)-(3.4) has at least one solution.

4.1.3 Existence results of the problem (3.2)-(3.5)

In view of the Lemma 3.3, we can transform the problem (3.2)-(3.5) into a FP problem.

Define an operator $T : PC(J) \rightarrow PC(J)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
Tv(t) = & \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_m}^{\beta_m-1} f(s, v(s)) ds + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \\
& \times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} f(s, v(s)) ds - \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} f(s, v(s)) - \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} f(t_n, v(t_n)) + Q(v(t_n)) + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right] \\
& + \left(1 - \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^{\eta_m} e^{-\lambda(r-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} f(s, v(s)) ds \right) (\eta_m) \right. \\
& + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} e^{-\lambda(\eta_m-t_n)} \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} f(t_n, v(t_n)) ds + I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} f(t_n, v(t_n)) \right] \\
& \left. \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right) + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} f(t_n, v(t_n)) + Q(v(t_n)) \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{\lambda} Q^*(v(t_n)) \right\}. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.7 Suppose that (H_1) , (H_3) and (H_7) hold. If

$$L_T < L_f \frac{\max_{1 \leq m \leq p} (t - t_m)}{m \min_{1 \leq m \leq p} \Gamma(\beta_m)} + \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_4 < 1 \tag{4.7}$$

then the problem (3.2)-(3.5) has a unique solution on J .

Proof: Show that $T : PC(J) \rightarrow PC(J)$ is a completely continuous operator

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| &= \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_m}^{\beta_m-1} |f(s, v(s))| ds + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \\
&\times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s))| ds + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |\mathcal{Q}^*(v(t_n))| \right] \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(t_n, v(t_n))| + |\mathcal{Q}(v(t_n))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |\mathcal{Q}^*(v(t_n))| \right] \\
&+ \left(1 - \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_k - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^{\eta_m} e^{-\lambda(r-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s))| ds \right) (\eta_m) \right. \\
&+ \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} e^{-\lambda(\eta_m-t_n)} \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s))| ds + I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(t_n, v(t_n))| \right. \\
&+ \left. \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |\mathcal{Q}^*(v(t_n))| + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_k}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right. \\
&\left. \left. \times \left[\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(t_n, v(t_n))| + |\mathcal{Q}(v(t_n))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |\mathcal{Q}^*(x(t_n))| \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| &\leq L_f \frac{(t-t_m)}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_m)} + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[L_f \frac{(t_n-t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_f \frac{(t_n-t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \\
&+ \sum_{n=1}^m \left[L_f \frac{(t_n-t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{m \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_{\mathcal{Q}} + L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] + \Delta \left\{ \left(L_f \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m + \beta_m + 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \left[L_f \frac{(t_n-t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_f \frac{(t_n-t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \\
&\left. + \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \left[L_f \frac{(t_n-t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_{\mathcal{Q}} + L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| \leq & L_f \frac{\max_{1 \leq m \leq p} (t - t_m)}{m \min_{1 \leq m \leq p} \Gamma(\beta_m)} \\
& + \left[L_f \sum_{n=0}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_f \sum_{n=0}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right] \\
& + \left[L_f \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + \sum_{n=0}^m L_{\mathcal{Q}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^m L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right] + \Delta \left(L_f \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m + \beta_m + 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} \\
& + \left[L_f \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m} (t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha_m + 1) \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_f \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m} (t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha_m + 1) \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right] + \left[L_f \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m} (t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha_m + 1) \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} \right. \\
& \left. + \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} L_{\mathcal{Q}} + \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$|Tv(t)| \leq L_f \frac{\max_{1 \leq m \leq p} (t - t_m)}{m \min_{1 \leq m \leq p} \Gamma(\beta_m)} + \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 + \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_4 = \Omega,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_0 &= \left[L_f \sum_{n=0}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_f \sum_{n=0}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right] \\
\Lambda_1 &= \left[L_f \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + \sum_{n=0}^m L_{\mathcal{Q}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^m L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right], \Lambda_2 = \Delta \left(L_f \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m + \beta_m + 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1}, \\
\Lambda_3 &= \left[L_f \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m} (t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha_m + 1) \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_f \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m} (t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha_m + 1) \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right], \\
\Lambda_4 &= \left[L_f \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m} (t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha_m + 1) \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + \Delta \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} L_{\mathcal{Q}} + \right. \\
& \left. \frac{\Delta}{\lambda} \sum_{m=1}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} L_{\mathcal{Q}^*} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $Tv \in B$. Thus $TB \in B$. On the other hand, for any $t \in J_m$, $0 < m < p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tv)'(t)| &\leq \lambda \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_m}^{\beta_m-1} |f(s, v(s))| ds + \int_{t_m}^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta_m-1}}{\Gamma(\beta_m-1)} |f(s, v(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^p \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^t I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s))| ds + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \frac{(t_n-s)^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\Gamma(\beta_{n-1}-1)} |f(s, v(s))| ds \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \left| Q^*(v(t_n)) \right| \right| \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tv)'(t)| &\leq L_f |\lambda| \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_m}^{\beta_m-1} ds + L_f \int_{t_k}^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta_m-1}}{\Gamma(\beta_m-1)} ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^p \left[L_f \int_{t_{n-1}}^t I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} ds + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| L_f \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} \frac{(t_n-s)^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\Gamma(\beta_{n-1}-1)} ds + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| L_{Q^*} \right], \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $s_1, s_2 \in J_m$ with $s_1 < s_2$ and $0 < m < p$, we have

$$|(Tv)(s_1) - (Tv)(s_2)| \leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} |(Tv)'(s)| ds \leq \ell(s_2 - s_1).$$

This implies that Tv is equicontinuous on all J_m , $m = 0, 1, \dots, p$. Consequently, Arzela-Ascoli theorem ensures that the operator $T: PC(J) \rightarrow PC(J)$ is a completely continuous operator. Next show that the operator maps B into B . For that, let us choos

$R \geq \max \left\{ 2\mu, (2L_\sigma)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \right\}$ and define a ball $B = \{v \in PC(J, R) : \|v\| \leq R\}$. For any $v \in B$,

by the conditions (H_2) and (H_6) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| \leq & \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] ds + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \\
& \times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] ds + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |Q^*(v(t_n))| \right] \\
& + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} [a(t_n) + \xi |v(t_n)|] + |Q(v(t_n))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |Q^*(v(t_n))| \right] \\
& + \left(1 - \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^{\eta_m} e^{-m(r-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] ds \right) (\eta_m) \right. \\
& + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} e^{-m(\eta_m-t_n)} \\
& \times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] ds + I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} [a(t_n) + \xi |v(t_n)|] + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |Q^*(v(t_n))| \right] \\
& \left. + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} [a(t_n) + \xi |v(t_n)|] + |Q(v(t_n))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right| |Q^*(v(t_n))| \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tv(t)| \leq & [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \frac{(t-t_m)}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_m)} + \sum_{n=0}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \\
& \times \left[[a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_{Q^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \\
& + \sum_{n=0}^m \left[[a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_Q + L_{Q^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \\
& + \Delta \left([a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m + \beta_m + 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=0}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \\
& \times \left[[a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + [a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_{Q^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \\
& + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \left[[a(s) + \xi |v(s)|] \frac{(t_n - t_{n-1})^{\beta_{n-1}-1}}{\lambda \Gamma(\beta_{n-1})} + L_Q + L_{Q^*} \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$|Tv(t)| \leq \Omega(\|a\|_C + \xi R).$$

This implies $T : B \rightarrow B$. Hence, we conclude that $T : B \rightarrow B$ is completely continuous. It follows from the Schauder-FPT that the operator T has at least one fixed point. That is problem (3.2)-(3.5) has at least one solution in B .

Theorem 4.8 Assume that there exist a nonnegative function $W \in C(J, R^+)$ and nonnegative constants M, Z such that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, v) - f(t, u)| &\leq W(t)|v - u|, \quad t \in J, v, u \in R, \\ |Q_m(v) - Q_m(u)| &\leq M|v - u|, \quad |Q_m^*(v) - Q_m^*(u)| \leq Z|v - u|, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in J, v, u \in R$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Furthermore, the assumption $\mu(W) < 1$ holds. Then the problem (3.2)-(3.5) has a unique solution on J .

Proof: For $v, u \in B$ and for each $t \in J$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Tv(t) - Tu(t)| &\leq \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} I_{t_m}^{\beta_m-1} |f(s, v(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s)) - f(s, u(s))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} |Q^*(v(t_n)) - Q^*(u(t_n))| \right| \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^m e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(t_n, v(t_n)) - f(t_n, u(t_n))| \right| \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |Q(v(t_n)) - Q(u(t_n))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} |Q^*(v(t_n)) - Q^*(u(t_n))| \right| \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(1 - \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \right)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} \left(\int_{t_m}^{\eta_m} e^{-\lambda(\eta_m-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \right) (\eta_m) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} e^{-\lambda(\eta_m-t_n)} \left[\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} e^{-\lambda(t_n-s)} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(s, v(s)) - f(s, u(s))| ds \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(t_n, v(t_n)) - f(t_n, u(t_n))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} |Q^*(v(t_n)) - Q^*(u(t_n))| \right| \right] \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{m=0}^p \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\lambda_m (\eta_m - t_m)^{\alpha_m}}{\Gamma(\alpha_m + 1)} \left[\left| \frac{1}{\lambda} I_{t_{n-1}}^{\beta_{n-1}-1} |f(t_n, v(t_n)) - f(t_n, u(t_n))| \right| \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + |Q(v(t_n)) - Q(u(t_n))| + \left| \frac{1}{\lambda} |Q^*(v(t_n)) - Q^*(u(t_n))| \right| \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\mu(W) \leq 1$, we have $|Tv(t) - Tu(t)| \leq \Omega \|v - u\|$. Therefore, T is a contraction mapping on $PC(J, R)$ due to condition (4.5). By applying the well-known Banach's contraction mapping we see that the operator T has a unique FP on $PC(J, R)$. Therefore, the problem (3.2)-(3.5) has a unique solution.

4.2 Examples

4.2.1 Example of the problem (3.1)-(3.3)

Consider the problem (3.1)-(3.2):

$$\left({}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2 {}^c D^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) v(t) = L(t^2 + \sin t + 1 + \tan^{-1} v), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \frac{3}{2} \leq 2,$$

$$v(0) + v(0) = 0, \quad v'(1) + v'(1) = 0,$$

$$\Delta v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{\left\| v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right\|^2}{1 + \left\| v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right\|^2}, \quad \Delta v'(t_m) = \frac{\left\| v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right\|^2}{1 + \left\| v\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \right\|^2},$$

Here $t \in [0, 1]$, let $z_1 = 1, z_2 = 1, w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1, \alpha = (3/2), \lambda = 2, y_1, y_2 = 0,$

$L_Q, L_{Q^*}, L_f = 0.01, f(t, v(t)) = L(t^2 + \sin t + 1 + \tan^{-1} v)$ and since $0.88 < \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) < 0.89.$

Solution:

$$\Delta = (1-2) - (e^{-2} - 2e^{-2}) = -0.865, \quad h_1(0) = \frac{(1-1+2)(1-2)}{-0.865} = 2.312,$$

$$h_2(0) = \frac{(1-1+2)}{-0.865} = -2.312, \quad h_3(0) = \frac{1}{-0.865} - \frac{(e^{-2} - 2e^{-2})}{-0.865} = 1.312,$$

$$h_4(0) = \frac{1}{2\Delta} - \frac{(e^{-2} - 2e^{-2})}{2\Delta} = 0.656, \quad N_{1,n}(0) = -\frac{(1-2)}{2\Delta} + \frac{(1-2)(e^{-2} - 2e^{-2})}{2\Delta} = 0.656,$$

$$N_{2,n}(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0, \quad N_3(0) = \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} - \frac{(e^{-2} - 2e^{-2})}{\Delta} \right) 0 - \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} - \frac{(1-2)}{\Delta} \right) 0 = 0,$$

and

$$h_1(1) = \frac{(e^{-2} - 1 + 2)(1-2)}{-0.865} = 1.135, \quad h_2(1) = \frac{(e^{-2} - 1 + 2)}{\Delta} = 1.312,$$

$$h_3(1) = \frac{e^{-2}}{\Delta} - \frac{(e^{-2} - 2e^{-2})}{\Delta} = -0.33, \quad h_4(t) = \frac{e^{-2}}{2\Delta} - \frac{(e^{-2} - 2e^{-2})}{2\Delta} = 0.156,$$

$$N_{1,n}(t) = -\frac{e^2 e^{-2} (1-2)}{2\Delta} + \frac{e^2 (1-2)(e^{-2} - 2e^{-2})}{2\Delta} = 1.152,$$

$$N_{2,n}(t) = \left(\frac{e^2 e^{-2}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = 0.002,$$

$$|f(t, v) - f(t, u)| \leq L|v - u + \tan^{-1}v - \tan^{-1}u| \leq L|v - u|.$$

$$L_T = \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)}(1 - e^{-\lambda})(1 + \|h_1\|) + \frac{1^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)}\|h_2\| \right) L_f \\ + (1 + \|h_3\|)p(L_Q) + (\|h_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|)p(L_Q)L_{Q^*} + \|N_3\|.$$

$$L_T = \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}(1 - e^{-2})(1 + 2.312) + \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}2.312 \right) 0.01 \\ + (1 + 1.312)0.01 + (0.656 + 1.152 + 0.002)0.01,$$

$$L_T = 0.042 + 0.248 < 1.$$

Therefore, by (4.2), Impulsive (SFDE's) with (BVP'S) has a unique solution on $[0, 1]$.

4.2.2 Example of the problem (3.1)-(3.3)

Consider the problem (3.1)-(3.3):

$$\left({}^c D^{\frac{5}{3}} + \frac{2}{3} {}^c D^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) v(t) = \frac{1}{(t+121)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|v(t)|}{1+|v(t)|} + \tan^{-1} v(t), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \frac{5}{3} \leq 2,$$

$$v(0) + v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) + v(1) = 0,$$

$$\Delta v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\left\|v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\|^2}{1 + \left\|v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\|^2}, \quad \Delta v'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\left\|v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\|^2}{1 + \left\|v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\|^2},$$

Here $t \in [0, 1]$, let $z_1 = 1, z_2 = 1, w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1, \alpha = (8/3), \lambda = \frac{2}{3}, y_1, y_2 = 0,$

$$L_Q, L_{Q^*}, L_f = 0.02, \quad f(t, v(t)) = \frac{1}{(t+121)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|v(t)|}{1+|v(t)|} + \tan^{-1} v(t).$$

Solution:

$$|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| \leq |\tan^{-1}x - \tan^{-1}y| \leq |x - y|.$$

$$L_T = \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)}(1 - e^{-m})(1 + \|h_1\|) + \frac{1^{\alpha-1}}{\lambda\Gamma(\alpha)}\|h_2\| \right) L_f \\ + (1 + \|h_3\|)p(L_Q) + (\|v_4\| + \|N_{1,n}\| + \|N_{2,n}\|)p(L_Q)L_{Q^*} + \|N_3\|.$$

$$L_T = \left(\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2}(1.5)} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{2}{3}} \right) (1+0.190) + \frac{0.570}{(1.5)} 2.312 \right) 0.02$$

$$+(1+0.709)0.02+(1.155+0.358+0.002)0.02,$$

$$L_T = 0.019+0.034+0.038=0.397 < 1.$$

Therefore, by (4.2), Impulsive -SFDE's with BVP has a unique solution on $[0,1]$.

4.2.3 Example of the problem (3.1)-(3.4)

Consider the problem (3.1)-(3.4):

$$\left({}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2 {}^c D^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) v(t) = L(t^2 + \sin t + 1 + \tan^{-1} v), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \frac{3}{2} \leq 2,$$

$$v(0) + {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} v(0) = 0, \quad v(1) + {}^c D^{\frac{3}{2}} v(1) = 0,$$

$$\Delta v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\left\| v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\|^2}{1 + \left\| v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\|^2}, \quad \Delta v'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{\left\| v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\|^2}{1 + \left\| v\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right\|^2},$$

Here $t \in [0,1]$, let $z_1=1, z_2=1, w_1=1, w_2=1, \alpha=(3/2), \lambda=2, y_1, y_2=0$,

$L_Q, L_{Q^*}, L_f = 0.01, f(t, v(t)) = L(t^2 + \sin t + 1 + \tan^{-1} v)$ and since $0.88 < \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) < 0.89$.

Solution:

$$|f(t, v) - f(t, u)| \leq |v - u + \tan^{-1} v - \tan^{-1} u| \leq L_T |v - u|.$$

$$L_T = \left(\frac{1^{\alpha-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda})}{\lambda \Gamma(\alpha)} (1 + \|d_1\|) + \frac{(\lambda + e^{-\lambda} - 1)}{\lambda} (\|d_2\| + \|d_3\|) \right) L_f$$

$$+ (1 + \|d_4\|) p L_Q + (\|d_5\| + \|p_{1,n}\| + \|p_{2,n}\|) p L_{Q^*} + \|d_6\|$$

$$L_T = \left(\frac{1}{2(0.902)} (1 - 0.135) (1 + \|0.471\|) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 0.135) (\|1.277\| + \|0.471\|) \right) L_f$$

$$+ (1 + \|1.652\|) 0.02 + (\|0.826\| + \|6.054\| + \|0.002\|) 0.02 + \|0\|$$

$$L_T = 0.224 < 1.$$

Therefore, by (4.4), Impulsive- SFDE's with BVP has a unique solution on $[0,1]$.

4.2.4 Example of the problem (3.2)- (3.5)

Consider the problem (3.2)-(3.5):

$$\left({}^c D_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_m} + m {}^c D_{t_m^+}^{\alpha_{m-1}}\right)v(t) = \frac{\left[3v(t) + e^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)v(t)}\right]e^t}{2 + v^4(t)} + \frac{\cos(2t+5)}{\sqrt{3+v(t)}}|v(t)^\sigma| \quad (4.8)$$

$, 0 < t \leq 1, t \neq \frac{3}{4}, m = 1, 2, \dots, p$

$$\Delta v\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = 11 \sin^2 v\left(\frac{3}{4}\right), \Delta v'\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{v\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)}{2\left(1 + \left|v\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right|\right)},$$

$$\Delta v(0) = \sum_{m=0}^1 \lambda_m I_{t_m}^{\alpha_m} v(\eta_m) + \frac{1}{2}, \Delta v'(0) = 0,$$

where $t \in [0, 1]$, $\beta_0 = (5/4)$, $\beta_1 = 1$, $\beta = (8/5)$, $\alpha_0 = (1/2)$, $\alpha_1 = (5/3)$, $m_1 = (2/5)$, $m_1 = (3/7)$, $\eta_1 = (1/2)$, $\eta_2 = (4/5)$.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, v, u)| &= \left| \frac{\left[3v(t) + e^{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)v(t)}\right]e^t}{2 + v^4(t)} + \frac{\cos(2t+5)}{\sqrt{3+v(t)}}|v(t)^\sigma| \right| \\ &\leq \frac{e^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}|v|^\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $a(t) = \frac{e^2}{2}$, $\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$, $L_Q = 11$, $L_{Q^*} = \frac{1}{2}$, and the conditions of Theorem 4.8 hold.

Thus, by Theorem 4.7 impulsive- SFDE's with BVP (4.7) has at least one solution $[0, 1]$.

Chapter 5

CONCLUSION

In this thesis, the existence (and uniqueness) results of a nonlinear impulsive sequential fractional differential equations of order $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ involving Liouville-Caputo fractional derivative supplemented with the separate boundary value conditions are studied. Both sequential fractional differential equations and impulsive fractional differential equations are studied individually from various perspectives. A new result on the existence of a solution is established by using different fixed point theorems. An example is presented to illustrate the result. Using the technique based on the concept of measure of noncompactness and the fixed point theory a new existence results will be established in future works.

REFERENCES

- [1] Keith, B., & Oldham, J. S. (1974). *The Fractional Calculus*, Academic Press, New York, London.
- [2] Miller, K., & Ross, B. (1993). *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*. Wiley, New York.
- [3] Gion, W. H. E. (1995). Nonnenmacher, A fractional calculus approach of selfsimilar protein dynamics, *Biophys. J.* 68, 46-53.
- [4] Ralf. M., Schick, W., Kilian, HG. Nonnenmacher, TF. (1995). Relaxation in filled polymers: a fractional calculus approach. *J. Chem. Phys.* 103, 7180-7186.
- [5] Delbosco, D., & Rodino, L. (1996). Existence and uniqueness for a nonlinear fractional differential equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 204, 609-625.
- [6] Igor, P. (1999). *Fractional Differential Equations*. Academic Press, San Diego.
- [7] Ralf, M., & Joseph, K. (2000). Boundary value problems for fractional diffusion equations, *Phys. A* 278, 107-125.
- [8] Shuqin, Z. (2003). Existence of positive solutions for some class of nonlinear fractional equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 278: 136-148.

- [9] Xue, C., & W. Ge. (2005). The existence of solutions for multi-point boundary value problem *at resonance ACTA Mathematica Sinica*, 48, pp. 281-290.
- [10] Anatoly, A. K., Hari, M. S., & Juan, J. T. (2006). In Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. *North-Holland Mathematics Studies 204. Elsevier, Amsterdam.*
- [11] Chuanzhi, B (2008). Triple positive solutions for a boundary value problem of nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Electron. J Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*
- [12] Hussein, A., & Salem, H. (2009). On the fractional order m-point boundary value problem in reflexive Banach spaces and weak topologies *J. Comput. Appl. math*, 224 (2009), pp. 565-572.
- [13] Benchohra, M., Hamani, S, Ntouyas, Sk. (2009). Boundary value problems for Differential equations with fractional order and nonlocal conditions. *Nonlinear. Anal.* 2009, 71: 2391-2396.
- [14] Lakshmi, q., V, L. S., & Vasundhara, D. J. 2009. Theory of Fractional Dynamic Systems. *Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cambridge.*
- [15] Zhanbing, B. (2009). On positive solutions of a nonlocal fractional boundary value problem. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 2010, 72: 916-924.
- [16] Yuan, S., Tian & Zhanbing, B. (2010). Existence results for the three-point

impulsive boundary value problem involving fractional differential equations.
59, Issue 8, Pages 2601-2609.

- [17] Nickolail, K. (2010) A boundary value problem of fractional order at resonance. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*
- [18] C, F., LI, X, N. L.,Yong, Z. (2010). Existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59: 1363--1375.
- [19] Feng, W., Sun., Han, Z., & Zhao, Y. 2011). Existence of solutions for a singular system of nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Comput. Math. Apply*, 2011, 62: 1370-1378.
- [20] Zhao, Y., Shurong, S., Han, Z., Zhang, M. (2011). Positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 2011, 217: 6950-6958.
- [21] Sihua, L., & Jihui, Z. (2011). Existence of multiple positive solutions for m-point fractional boundary value problems on an infinite interval. *Math. Comput. Model.* 54, 1334-1346.
- [22] Xinwei, S. (2011). Solutions to boundary value problem of fractional order on unbounded domains in a Banach space. *Nonlinear Anal.* 74, 2844-2852.
- [23] JinRong, W., & Yong, Z. (2011). A class of fractional evolution equations

and optimal controls. *Nonlinear Anal, Real World Appl.*

- [24] Yong, Z., Shurong, S., Han, Z., & Qiuping, L. (2011). The existence of multiple positive solutions for boundary value problems of nonlinear fractional differential equations. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 16: 2086-2097.
- [25] Zhanbing, B., & Weichen, S. (2012). Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for singular fractional boundary value problems. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63, 1369-1381.
- [26] Shurong, S., Qiuping, L., & Yana, L. (2012). Existence and uniqueness of solution for a coupled system of multi-term nonlinear fractional differential equation. *Comput. Math. Apply.* 64:3310-3320.
- [27] Ravi, P.A., Donal, O'R., & Svatoslav, Stan. (2012). Positive solutions for mixed problems of singular fractional differential equations. *Math. Nachr.* 285, 27-41.
- [28] Lihong, Z., Bashir, A., Guotao, W., & Ravi, P.A. (2013). Nonlinear fractional integro-differential equations on unbounded domains in a Banach space. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 249, 51-56.
- [29] Xuhuan, W. (2011). Impulsive boundary Value Problem for nonlinear differential Equations with Fractional order. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications.* Vol 62. Pages 2383-2391.

- [30] Liu, Y., & Haibo, C. (2011). Nonlocal boundary value problem for impulsive differential equations of fractional order. *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2011, Article ID 404917.
- [31] Jianxin, C., & Haibo, C. (2012). Impulsive fractional differential equations with nonlinear boundary condition. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*. 55, 303-311.
- [32] Xiaoping, Li., Fulai, C., & Xuezhu, Li. (2013). Generalized anti-periodic boundary value problems of impulsive fractional differential equations, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 18 no. 1, pp. 28–41.
- [33] Peiluan, Li., & Youlin, Shang. (2014). Impulsive Problems for Fractional Differential Equations with Nonlocal Boundary Value Conditions. *Abstract and Applied analysis*, Article ID510808, 13 pages.
- [34] Shuai, Y., & Shuqin, Z. (2016). Impulsive boundary value problem for a fractional differential equation. *Boundary Value Problems*. 203.
- [35] Nazim, M., & Unul, S. (2017). On existence of BVP's for impulsive fractional differential equations. *Advances in Difference Equations*. 2017: Article ID 15.
- [36] Bashir, A., & Juan, J. N. (2013). Boundary Value Problems for a Class of Sequential Integrodifferential Equations of Fractional Order. *Journal of Function Spaces and Applications Volume 2013*.

- [37] Bashir, A., Sotiris, K. N., Ravi, P. A., & Ahmed, A. (2014). On higher-order sequential fractional differential inclusions with nonlocal three-point boundary conditions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014, Article ID 659405.
- [38] Ahmed, A., Sotiris, K. N., Ravi, P. Agarwa., & Bashir, A. (2015). On Caputo type sequential fractional differential equations with nonlocal integral boundary conditions. *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol33.
- [39] Bashir, A., Sotiris, K., Ravi, P., & Ahmed, A. (2016). Existence Sequential fractional integro-differential equation with nonlocal multi-point and strip conditions. *Boundary Value Problems*.
- [40] Ahmed, A., Bashir, A., & and Mohammed, H. A. (2017). Sequential fractional differential equations and unification of anti-periodic and multi-point boundary conditions. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 10, 71-83.